```
0322
 1
                   SOUTHEAST ALASKA SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
                            VOLUME III
 7
 8
 9
10
                          TELECONFERENCE
11
                          March 24, 2022
12
                            9:00 a.m.
13
14
15
16
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
17
18
   Donald Hernandez, Chair
19 Calvin Casipit
20
   Michael Douville
    Albert Howard
21
22
    Ian Johnson
23
   Harvey Kitka
24
    Cathy Needham
25
    Patricia Phillips
26
   Robert Schroeder
    James Slater
27
28
    John Smith
29
    Louie Wagner
30
    Frank Wright
31
32
33
34
    Regional Council Coordinator, DeAnna Perry
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
    Recorded and transcribed by:
43
44
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
45
    135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2
46
    Anchorage, AK 99501
47
    907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
48
49
50
```

0323	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Teleconference - 03/24/2022)
4	
5	(On record)
6	
7	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I see it is 9:00
8	o'clock. I'll ask if Frank Wright has called in yet
9	and if he's ready to do a roll call to see if we have
10	our full membership here this morning.
11	
12	MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, I'm here, Don.
13	
14	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good morning,
15	Frank.
16	
17	MR. WRIGHT: Good morning.
18	
19	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do you want to
20	call the roll and see if we've got everybody yet.
21	
22	MR. WRIGHT: Ian Johnson.
23	
24	(Teleconference interference -
25	participants not muted)
26	
27	MR. WRIGHT: Is that you Ian.
28	
29	MR. JOHNSON: Can you hear me?
30	
31	MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, got you.
32	
33	MR. JOHNSON: Okay, thanks.
34	
35	MR. WRIGHT: Cal Casipit.
36	
37	MR. KITKA: This is Harvey Kitka.
38	
39	MR. WRIGHT: I didn't call you yet,
40	Harvey.
41	
42	(Laughter)
43	
44	MR. KITKA: Oh, I just turned my phone
45	on.
46	
47	MR. WRIGHT: Okay.
48	
49	Cal Casipit.
50	

0324	
1 2	(No comments)
3 4	MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville.
5 6 here.	MR. DOUVILLE: Michael Douville is
7	
8 9	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mike.
10 11	Jim Slater.
12 13	MR. SLATER: Jim Slater is here.
14 15 it over.	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. Glad you made
16	
17 18	Bob Schroeder.
19 20	MR. SCHROEDER: Bob Schroeder's here.
21 22	MR. WRIGHT: All right, thanks, Bob.
23	Albert Howard.
24 25	(No comments)
26 27	MR. WRIGHT: Albert Howard.
28 29	(No comments)
30 31	MR. WRIGHT: Don Hernandez is here.
32 33	Patricia Phillips.
34 35	MS. PHILLIPS: Here.
36 37	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Patty.
38 39	Louie Wagner.
40	
41 42	MR. WAGNER: Here.
43 44	MR. WRIGHT: John Smith.
45 46	MR. SMITH: Here.
47 48	MR. WRIGHT: Cathy Needham.
48 49 50	MS. NEEDHAM: Here.
50	

MR. WRIGHT: Cal Casipit.

3 (No comments)

MR. WRIGHT: We have a quorum, Mr.

6 Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Frank. Good morning everybody. Yeah, we're going to pick up where we left off this morning in new business after we hear some public testimony. I'm hoping that last night that people that had suggestions for proposals were able to get together with Staff and flesh out some wording on those. If we have that, we'll hopefully get to that this morning. So keep that in mind. But otherwise we'll start our morning with public testimony that's not related to agenda items, any topic of concerns to folks. If there's anybody that's on the line that's called in that would like to do a public testimony I'd appreciate it if you'd let us know now so I can kind of get an idea who we have and decide who would go first. So anybody on the line that would like to do public testimony this morning, go ahead and unmute your phones if you're muted and let us know that you're there.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Maybe we don't have anybody ready to go this morning. We'll be a little flexible if somebody calls in here in the near term, we can allow that. But otherwise I think I'd like to get started this morning.

As I said we were working our way through the new business. The main topic of new business is call for fisheries proposals and we will hopefully have some of those to talk about this morning. Other than that we have some discussion topics and briefings to hear. I think I'll hold off on the finishing up the proposals until maybe the last item on our new business in case people are still working on those.

So one topic we haven't gotten to yet is receiving public testimony protocol, and this is a discussion item and it's going to be led by Tom Kron from OSM.

0326 1 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy. 4 5 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, I believe Joel 6 Jackson, the President of Organized Village of Kake is 7 on the line now. He was having a little bit of a hard time getting into the meeting because I accidentally 8 9 transposed a number on the passcode. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, okay, yep, 12 those things happen. We're always amenable to 13 receiving public testimony. We'll hold off on the 14 public testimony protocol and hear from Mr. Jackson 15 first. So Mr. Jackson, if you're on the line go ahead you have the Council's attention. 16 17 18 MR. JACKSON: Can you hear me now? 19 20 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: yeah, I hear you 21 fine. 22 23 MR. JACKSON: Okay. Well, I didn't 24 know you guys had talked about the villages and 25 communities around Southeast, I had asked, I think it 26 was Board of Fish to close their bays off to commercial 27 crabbing and we had been looking to do that for quite 28 awhile, so long as I've been on the council. We're 29 looking to close it off from what's called Point 30 McCartney, that's just outside our community -- it's 31 not too far, probably about six, eight miles, and then 32 Kiku Point (ph), which is probably approximately 10, 12 33 miles and that would effectively close off our bay to 34 commercial fishing or -- yeah, commercial type 35 activity. Because just like I had stated before, we 36 have to protect our resources and these crab have been 37 hit hard for, I don't know, the last 30, 40 years and they're mostly by outside boats that come here and set 38 39 their crab gear. And we've seen the crab population go 40 down in numbers and they're smaller and smaller and 41 then those nasty little critters, the sea otters moved 42 in on us so that's impacted it quite a bit. And of 43 course the crabbers were the first ones to say the sea

otters is the one that's making on our crab, nevermind

the thousands of pots that dump in our bay. But when

they dump their pots in our bay, all the way from up

inside Port Camden up inside Rocky Pass over towards

and then even right in our boat harbor, Kiku Straits

Hamilton Bay, those places are just full of crab pots,

44

45

46

47

48

49

0327
1 out to in front of town are full of pots.

We do set some subsistence pots but we're lucky to catch a few crab. And that's a great concern to us as a community. I've instructed a few of our resource directors that we hire over the years to try to find a way to do that and then I get on this call, what was it, the day before yesterday and I was surprised, and I was what the heck, you know, then I learned it has to go to the Board of Fish and it sounds like from what I saw and read yesterday that pretty much all those requests were granted to shut those places off.

And compared to a lot of bays around Southeast our bay is relatively small and we do get sportfishermen in here too. So it's just -- you know, I thought I'd bring this to you guys and at least get it on the record. And then hopefully we could come up with a plan to present to the Board of Fish if that's where it has to go. And from my understanding they meet every four years and that's quite a length of time to only meet, you know, once every four years.

So, you know, that's my predicament and I appreciate any comments or questions, you know, suggestions, whatever. Because I'm, you know, we're to the point now I'm afraid our dungeness crab -- we do have a little bit of snow crab, we do have a little king crab, and even when they're fishing those -- those a little bit of snow crab and king crab, we got boats coming in here and setting up the bay. But there really isn't anything in numbers anymore and we're getting concerned and I get calls about the limited amount of crab we have, dungeness, snow, king crab.

So that's what I wanted to present today. Like I said, if anybody have any questions, comments, whatever, I welcome it, or suggestions.

(Pause)

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, are you there.

(No comments)

47 MS. NEEDHAM: Okay, I'll go ahead

and....

```
0328
 1
                     MR. JACKSON: Maybe I put him back to
 2
     sleep.
 3
 4
                     (Laughter)
 5
 6
                     MS. NEEDHAM: He might have dropped
 7
     off, sometimes the phone system's....
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I'm here.
10
11
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Are you back, Don.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm back, yeah.
14
15
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Okay.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sometimes you got
18
     to hit the buttons a little harder, and it didn't
19
     register there. Okay. Yeah, are there any questions
20
     for Mr. Jackson.
21
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
22
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
25
    Cathy.
26
27
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
28
     President Jackson, do you know if Kake has a State
29
     customary and traditional use determination for the
30
     areas that you're concerned about for crab?
31
32
                     MR. JACKSON: Not to my knowledge,
33
    would that be the first step?
34
35
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Well, I think that.....
36
37
                     (Teleconference interference -
38
     participants not muted)
39
40
                     MS. NEEDHAM: I think that is something
     that we can also help find out for you. And the reason
41
42
    why I ask the question is at this -- I did attend this
43
     last Board of Fish meeting and there were a number of
44
    proposals that were submitted that were for closures
45
     to the crab commercial fisheries in and around nearby
46
     the local area of a community and those proposals that
47
    had a customary and traditional use determination for
48
     their local area, the Board of Fish closed those areas
49
     for commercial fishing in order to provide, you know, a
50
```

reasonable opportunity for subsistence users. That was the justification they used. And it turned out there was a number of proposals that different communities did submit and so it actually really kind of gets your attention at what the Board of Fish did, that it was pretty broad supportive thing.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$ knowing if you have a State C&T for that would be helpful.

And then it is a Board of Fish process, however, this Council did -- one of the communities, the community of Hydaburg put in a proposal and then this Council did write a support comment to the Board of Fish to actually support their closure. We didn't know about the other communities that submitted proposals but we did know about the Hydaburg one and so we did support that commercial closure. And like I don't know that it necessarily overly helped because the Board of Fish still was going to close those things as long as the community had a C&T determination so I think that that is the helpful part of it.

And then the other comment I was going to make back to you is the Organized Village of Kasaan had this issue, or concern as well and they were very successful in getting an out of cycle Board of Fish proposal to be heard at the Board level and they were able to get a closure in Kasaan Bay out of cycle, which is not necessarily very common but it's not unprecedented. And what the Organized Village of Kasaan had when they did that was they had somebody who basically gave the Board of Fish some local data. So President Ron Leighton fished -- like crabbing in Kasaan Bay was able to document like his catches and compare it to past catches as a subsistence user and he was able to take that data, their C&T determination and do an out of cycle Board of Fish proposal.

So I think those are some tools that you might -- that the Organized Village of Kake might have in their tool box to do that and if you could document, you know, the impact that you've had, which I think that you guys have a lot of good information about your impacts, you might be able to take that as a course of action as well.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

```
0330
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy.
 2
    That's pretty helpful.
 3
 4
                     (Teleconference interference -
 5
    participants not muted)
 6
 7
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chair.
 8
 9
                     MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, Louie.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I hear several
    people talking and -- was that Frank.
12
13
14
                     MR. WRIGHT: Yeah.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.
17
18
                     MR. WRIGHT: There's got to be a way
19
    because in Hoonah, I don't know how many years ago that
    we had closed off commercial fishing behind the island
20
21
    from crab there and moved up just a little ways, Gain
22
    Creek there's a line there that goes across that
23
     commercial can't go in there, so there is a way. So I
24
     -- I don't know how many years ago it was but no
25
     commercial fishermen can go in there. So maybe you can
26
    do a little research and find out how Hoonah did it but
27
    it was -- but it's been done. IT could be done. So we
    got two areas that are shut off to commercial fishing.
28
29
30
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks,
33
    Frank.
34
35
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair.
36
37
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Was there somebody
40
     else who....
41
42
                     MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair.
43
44
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: .....wanted to say
47
     something. I hear John, go ahead, John.
48
49
                     REPORTER: Okay one at a time please.
50
```

```
0331
 1
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, I think it was
 2
    me, Cal, I have a question....
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, Cal, sorry.
 5
 6
                     MR. CASIPIT: .....as well.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sorry, go ahead,
     Cal. Yep, go ahead, Cal.
 9
10
11
                     MR. CASIPIT: It's not really a
12
     question but it pertains to Member Needham's question
13
     about C&T of crab for Kake. I do have a copy of --
14
     well, it's the latest copy 20/2021 statewide
15
     subsistence and personal use regulations. District --
     I believe Kake is in District 9 and there is a positive
16
17
     determination for shellfish except shrimp, king crab
18
     and tanner crab in the waters of.....
19
20
                     (Teleconference interference -
21
    participants not muted)
22
23
                     MR. CASIPIT: .....north of the
24
     latitude of Point Ellis. So with that verbal
     description, Mr. Jackson, is that -- does that cover
25
26
     your area because if it does you already do have a
27
     positive customary and traditional use determination
28
     for dungeness crab?
29
30
                     MR. JACKSON: I would have to check on
31
     that. I mean we've had Staff look at this and, of
32
     course, they claimed they couldn't find anything. But
33
    back in the 1970s, or late '60s we had a map of our
34
     customary and traditional areas and it encompassed all
35
     the way down to the south of Kuiu Island over to
36
     Baranof, all of Baranof shore on this side of Chatham
37
     Strait, all the way down the channel to Admiralty, all
38
     the shores along there and then all the way up to
39
     Hobart Bay, it jumped over towards just outside of
     Petersburg, Wrangell, the tip of Prince of Wales and
40
41
     it's all the way back out to the southern tip of Kuiu
42
    Islands. And that was recognized by the State of
43
    Alaska and it was stamped and approved by them, and it
44
    was called the Goldschmidt and Haas map. So I know
45
     that map that we had and it is filed with the State.
46
    And, you know, it encompasses -- I think we had
47
     somebody calculate all that and it encompassed about
48
     1.2 million acres of that whole area, land and water.
49
     So, you know, but I'll have to check with my Staff and
50
```

```
0332
    make sure -- I'm pretty sure we more than likely filed
    our customary and traditional use of the crab and
 2
     everything else in our area.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 6
    Cal.
 7
 8
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, John Smith.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead. Go
11
     ahead, John.
12
13
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, I hear another echo
14
    on the data and even on looking on where funds may be
15
     to do some test fishing there for the crab and seeing
16
     what the numbers are looking like in all the different
17
    bays that are there.....
18
19
                     (Teleconference interference -
20
    participants not muted)
21
22
                     MR. SMITH: .....Rocky Point, Hamilton
23
     Bay, (Indiscernible - interference - coughing)....
24
25
                     (Teleconference interference -
26
    participants not muted)
27
28
                     MR. SMITH: .....you know all those
29
     different areas and understanding more of whose pots
30
     are sitting outside of Kake, you know, is Petersburg
31
     and other communities.....
32
33
                     (Teleconference interference -
34
    participants not muted)
35
36
                     MR. SMITH: .....coming into that area,
37
    you know, I.....
38
39
                     (Teleconference interference -
40
    participants not muted)
41
42
                     MR. SMITH: ....see Fish and Game.....
43
44
                     REPORTER: Excuse me.
45
46
                     MR. SMITH: Can you hear me?
47
48
                     REPORTER: Yes. Excuse me, this is
49
     Tina the reporter....
50
```

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: But we can hear the people in the background as well though.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

REPORTER: Right. If people could please check your line, make sure you're muted with the star, six, please. Somebody's talking on a phone in another conversation and we'd like to be able to hear the speaker, what he has to say, so everybody, unless you're Mr. Smith, please mute.

9 10 11

12

13

MS. PERRY: I'll reach out to Tom Kron. Tom, I can see you on the camera and I think maybe either your video mic is on your phone might be on so if you could check to make sure you're muted.

14 15 16

Thank you.

17 18

REPORTER: And whoever's coughing also, please, could you mute your phone. Go ahead, Mr. Smith.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

19

MR. SMITH: Thank you. Thank you, (In Tlingit). Of understanding -- let me see if I can get back -- okay, understanding, you know, who's fishing outside of Kake there, you know, whether the communities are coming from Petersburg, you know, there's other communities there of, you know, maybe a partnership with the Fish and Game to kind of scope it out, make a trip and see who's fishing there and getting data. Not to discourage the fishing but just even getting opportunity to find out how many fish each one of those pots are actually catching. If there's a low number we need to shut it down like Mr. Jackson is saying. And by test fishing that would bring that number up. And, you know, to me, you know, I grew up in Hoonah myself and, you know, I'm representing Juneau here but, you know, saying right around Gartini Creek, like he was speaking about earlier, you know, the numbers went down there because of overfishing. Well, to me, just close the whole bay out and make people, you know, travel a little further, you know, or test and find another location and let that settle for awhile and grow again and this is by us testing. But we need data and how do we get that data and I don't know if that's available but, you know, that's where the community needs to come in too of reporting. It's really important, and there's the teaching piece of us trying to teach and -- and get that to the community, that it's important for all of us, even the commercial

1 fishermen, are they sending in data and telling how many fish -- or crab they're actually doing, that's 2 key. You know, and if too much is being -- and numbers 4 are down, needs to be shut down. 5 6 Respect to the (In Tlingit), the Crab 7 people. 8 9 Gunalcheesh. Ah. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 12 John. Anybody else on the Counsel have questions or 13 suggestions for Mr. Jackson. 14 15 MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, Louie Wagner. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Louie. 18 19 MR. WAGNER: President Jackson, in 20 Ketchikan area here, on Gravina, Bostwik Inlet has been 21 closed for approximately 15 years and they also closed 22 Clover Pass and Knudsen Cove to all commercial fishing 23 and only for subsistence so if that can help any. So 24 there's precedence that has been set so it shouldn't be 25 too big a problem. 26 27 Thank you. 28 29 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Louie. 30 Anybody else, a question, suggestion or comment. 31 32 (No comments) 33 34 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I think 35 we're done, a lot of helpful suggestions there. Yeah, 36 and I think the Regional Council would be willing to 37 support you in whatever you try and do there if you get 38 us the best available information to look at so thank 39 you very much Mr. Jackson. 40 41 MR. JACKSON: Yes, and thank you for 42 your time this morning. I appreciate all the comments 43 and suggestions and we'll definitely start working on 44 it because I think this is a very crucial point. We don't do anything -- may collapse and be like our 45 46 herring that years ago disappeared from here because

they overfished it and still hasn't recovered. So I

get Staff on it now that I know what direction to go

need to move rather quickly on this and I'll definitely

0334

47

48

49

```
0335
     and, hopefully, you know, we'll get it done.
 2
 3
                     Thank you again, and I heard you guys
 4
     were going to talk about -- a little bit about co-
 5
     management, so if you don't mind I'll hang out for a
 6
     little bit.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, yeah,
 9
     absolutely, yeah, stay on the line.
10
11
                     MR. JACKSON: All right, thank you,
12
     sir.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks,
15
     again, look -- yep, look forward to hearing from you
16
     again. So in the meantime has there been anybody else
17
     on the line that would like to give public testimony to
18
     the Council this morning.
19
20
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna.
23
24
                     MS. PERRY: I understand that Matt
25
     Jackson with the Southeast Alaska Conservation Council
26
     is now with us.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
29
30
                     MR. JACKSON: Thank you, I'm here, can
31
     everyone here me.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good -- yep,
34
     yeah, good morning Mr. Jackson. We got a lot of Mr.
35
     Jackson's....
36
37
                     MR. JACKSON: Thank you very much.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.
40
41
                     MR. JACKSON: No relation to Joel,
42
     although I have a lot of respect for him.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay.
45
46
                     MR. JACKSON: Yeah, Matt Jackson here.
47
     I'm an organizer for Southeast Alaska Conservation
48
     Council and I'm here because SEACC is, you know, we're
49
     coming out of this pandemic and climate change, as we
50
```

all know has been having a lot of impacts on our communities on the resources, you know, just to speak generally about the last issue. We know that increasing acidity in the ocean is causing problems for crab resources and so on. And I'm here to share with the Council that this summer and into 2023 SEACC is going to be traveling to Communities and seeking input on issues of concern and potential policies --solutions to those issues of concern in areas around climate change, but also around the young growth transition. And I wanted to alert the Council to this because, you know, we really value your perspectives. We realize that you do a lot of this work already, you know, hearing folks concerns. And I don't follow this Council as closely as I should but I wanted to make myself available. My email is M-A-T-T at SEACC.org.

And some of the questions we're going to be asking, you know, in terms of young growth we are not necessarily opposed to a certain young growth forestry industry but what we do want to make sure is that communities have the chance to say which watersheds and which areas they would like to see managed to -- to turn back into old growth for the fish and wildlife habitats benefits that old growth give. And so we're going to be having listening sessions where folks can kind of mark pins on maps, the watersheds and the areas that they don't necessarily want to see cut for young growth, but they want to see managed into old growth forests again, you know, multihundred year time scale, those kind of protections.

And in the area of climate change we are going to be, you know, asking folks in different communities what the biggest challenges that they're experiencing are but also, you know, perhaps with some of the opportunities that they see to address those challenges. And, you know, I am -- I want to share this with the Council, both so that I can learn from you but also I'm curious what questions you think might be the most important for SEACC to be asking.

That's all I really wanted to share with the Council. If there's questions or ideas from you right now I'm very eager to take them, but mostly I just wanted to inform the Council and share my contact information. It's M-A-T-T at SEACC.org., and I'd be happy to have more in-depth conversations and keep interested members informed of what we're doing.

```
0337
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 2
    Matt. Maybe there are some questions or comments from
     Council members. So anybody on the Council.
 4
 5
                     (No comments)
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Not hearing
 8
     anybody else but, Matt, I'm glad you brought that to
     the Council's attention. You know I see when you're
 9
10
    talking about young growth management, I see that as a
11
    potential, very important issue here ahead of us on the
12
     Tongass. And how that all relates to our changing
13
     climate, I think, is a real strong connection there.
14
    You know all the reading and research.....
15
16
                     (Teleconference interference -
17
    participants not muted)
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....that I have
20
     done and conversations on what may happen with young
21
     growth harvest I see -- I see a potential for.....
22
23
                     (Teleconference interference -
24
     participants not muted)
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....this young
27
     growth industry that's being talked about in the future
28
     as being even more -- potentially more damaging.....
29
30
                     (Teleconference interference -
31
    participants not muted)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....than what
34
    we've lost in old growth because.....
35
36
                     REPORTER: I need the person that's
37
     talking over Don.....
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
40
     .....(indiscernible - overriding conversation).....
41
42
                     REPORTER: Don, I can't hear you.
43
     I'm sorry but I can't hear you. It's not picking up on
44
    the recording -- well, it is, but so is the other
45
     conversation.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yep, I can
48
    hear that.
49
```

0338 1 REPORTER: Can whoever's having the 2 conversation, laughing, and enjoying your conversation, could you please mute your phone. 4 5 (Teleconference interference -6 participants not muted) 7 8 REPORTER: Does somebody recognize 9 their voice, if they do please ask them to mute. 10 11 MR. JOHNSON: Can you please mute your 12 phone. 13 14 REPORTER: Because I can't type what 15 you're saying, Don, with the other conversation 16 recording simultaneously over you. So if somebody 17 recognizes their voice please text them and ask them to 18 please mute. 19 20 (Pause - for unmuted conversation) 21 22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I think 23 they're gone. 24 25 REPORTER: Awesome, so go ahead, Don. 26 27 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Yes, so I 28 just see the potential for even greater harm happening 29 if we move into a really large scale second growth 30 harvest. Given the fact that the old growth harvest 31 was so concentrated in the highest value stands for 32 wildlife and fisheries habitat, and, you know, those 33

need to be restored. That's extremely important. A very intense second growth harvest would almost certainly be geared towards almost 100 percent export market. It wouldn't do a whole lot of good for our local economy. Those stands need to be, you know, brought back to old growth conditions, preferably with the benefits to fish and wildlife habitat. And the other big factor is, and I haven't really, you know, heard much about this from the Forest Service or haven't seen much research on it but in this -- in this condition here on the Tongass Forest, after a second growth harvest, the regeneration for what would essentially be a third growth I think would just be disastrous. I don't think this country is capable of sustaining a multiple rotation Forest. And if you get started cutting second growth we're looking at ecological disaster I think. So it has to be taken a

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

3

4

5

real close look at and everybody needs to be involved.

That's just my feeling and I feel pretty strongly about that. So thank you for bringing it to our attention, Matt. And I look forward to maybe meeting with you more in the future.

6 7

Anybody else on the Council.

8 9

10 MR. SCHROEDER: Mr. Chair, this is Bob 11

Schroeder.

12

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Bob.

13 14 15

16

17

18

MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, thanks, Matt, for coming our way. I know the Regional Advisory Council has worked pretty closely with SEACC on the Roadless Rule -- opposition to the Roadless Rule and our comments.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

I've got a couple of things for you today. One, just a really interesting article that I saw early this morning in addition to sequestering carbon, that major forests actually cause a -- it's kind of like a bio-physical cooling to our world. So this is something else that the Tongass is particularly beneficial to. So perhaps all of us have known that the Tongass has always been cool. That's a joke.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

The other thing, just over the last six months or a year, I noted that SEACC has been working with Harvey Kitka and other people in Sitka on the herring issue. And basically I'm wondering if you see SEACC working on general species conservation because a major concern to the Regional Advisory Council is basically species depletion, not only herring but also some of our other marine resources, particularly salmon due most likely to -- with respect to salmon, most likely to ocean acidification and warming and other climate effects. So if you could just give us an idea of if SEACC may make this a bit of a program component as you go forward.

42 43 44

And congratulations on your new job.

45 46

47

48

MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Bob. I wasn't necessarily prepared to speak on this publicly. think what I can -- I can say one thing which is that SEACC is getting involved in the herring issue. We

```
0340
```

haven't historically taken on single species issues but we did see a need for that with Pacific herring. Some of you may be familiar that there used to be herring stocks, abundant herring stocks, abundant enough to 5 meet all the community subsistence needs for the immediate areas everywhere in Southeast from Ka*Shaks 6 7 and Lynn Canal and now we really only have Sitka Sound, anything approaching its former abundance, and then 9 kind of a second population in the Craig area and 10 everywhere else is pretty destitute. We are trying to 11 partner with and be supportive of Sitka Tribe of 12 Alaska. They have a long history of owning this issue 13 and we are still -- we are still kind of debriefing 14 from the Board of Fish meeting that was just last week, 15 but the reason that I'm glad that you mentioned this is 16 I'm actually kind of heartbroken this morning, the 17 Western Mariner Tug oil spill sheen has spread into 18 Hayward Strait as of this morning and is like -- the 19 sheen is approaching the herring spawning grounds. And 20 today is the 33rd anniversary of the Exxon Valdez and 21 this isn't any official publications from DEC yet, it's 22 just been -- it's been overheard on the radio that 23 ADF&G had to call off their test sets because of the 24 diesel sheen in Hayward Strait so I -- that's breaking 25 news for you all. But it's something that will 26 require, I think, some adaptive management from Fish 27 and Game, and some strong oversight from the -- from 28 the public to make sure that the impacts from this oil 29 spill don't negatively affect subsistence users or the 30 herring population. 31 32 (Teleconference interference -33 participants not muted) 34 35 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, 36 thanks for that news Matt. Anybody else on the Council 37 with a question or a comment for Matt. 38 39 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, John has his 40 hand up, I think he'd like to go next. 41 42 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, go ahead, 43 John. 44 45 MR. SMITH: Yeah, can you hear me? 46 47 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I hear you 48 fine, John. 49

0341 1 MR. SMITH: Hello. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, we can hear 4 you fine. 5 6 MR. SMITH: Okay, thank you, Matt. 7 Thank you for your introduction and your respect to us Kwaan and (In Tlingit), the Tree people and the Plant 8 9 people. You know I echo what I was sharing earlier, I 10 think it was the other day about the respect to the 11 garden, you know, we went into corporations and Huna 12 Totem, Sealaska, even the -- I'm not sure the Forest 13 Service, if they have logged some of the trees and, you 14 know, respecting that there should be some laws, and 15 rules and regs, maybe there is, I'm the new guy. But I 16 pulled a page up the other day of title -- all the 17 titles and was kind of observing a lot of the rules, 18 laws, and regulations but understanding that when we 19 take from the Earth we need to take care of it. And I 20 did see a lot of that as I flew over, you know, I did a 21 lot of trips to Angoon in the last couple years with 22 the -- with the partnership with the Forest Service and 23 the community and the tribe and the school district to, 24 you know, uplift the garden that was over there and 25 just pulling the community together, realizing the 26 trees and how -- made me sad in a lot of ways seeing 27 that. But it -- understanding that we need to take 28 care of those things. And like when the young trees, 29 you know, they get all crowded up and they're not being 30 thinned, we can even pull them up and save their roots 31 and transplant them somewhere else, you know, having 32 funds and money, but making sure that people that are 33 logging like Huna Totem, Sealaska and other

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

34

35

36

37

38

So I really encourage that there's a lot of laws, rules and regs. i know that logging's coming back again, and there's a call for it, and I know the corporations are talking about it. There needs to be a different process of it, a more respectful process for respecting what the Tree people do for us. They absorb all that bad carbon and they deplete it, you know, and, you know, I see a lot of people that are harvesting bull kelp and others for

corporations are taking care of that and making sure.

You know the carbon issue that we're having, I truly

believe that's the key, the ocean makes a big percent

we -- carbon, they absorb that.

of the oxygen for our people and the relationship that

we have with the plants that they support that and that

Thank you.

about the herring.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, John. Any other Council members with a question or a comment for Matt Jackson.

food, but also they're doing it to grow it to absorb the carbon and then dropping it down to deplete it and that's what's saving these bays. So even the Forest Service, Fish and Game and others, I really encourage

even NOAA is right here, to be testing and studying these bays, but encouraging the -- the growing of the

kelp and other things that are going to absorb that negative carbon that -- and I'm really sad to hear

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I think we're done here. Matt, thank you very much for joining us this morning and giving us your contact information. I think some of us probably will want to keep in touch with you so, once, again, thank you.

MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Don.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And now I'll check one more time, is there anybody else who has called in since we started this public testimony session who'd like to testify this morning.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, not hearing anybody so I think that will probably conclude our public testimony for this morning. So now moving back to the agenda, like I say the next item up was discussion topic on receiving public testimony and its protocol.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So it's very timely. I think Tom Kron from OSM, I think was going to lead us through that discussion, so Tom, if you're there.

MR. KRON: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is not

an action item but we wanted to gather input from the Councils on it. Basically the Federal Subsistence Management Program gathers input on proposals four different times during the process, which I think many of the Council members are familiar with.

There's information gathered during the proposal process, which we're in right now for fisheries. The second opportunity for public comments occurs after the proposals have been submitted to the Federal Register. The third opportunity, for fisheries, for example, will be at your Council meeting this fall, this coming fall. And then the fourth opportunity for public comments is at the Board meeting when the fisheries proposals will be considered next year.

Now, prior to the fall 2022 meetings when the Councils are going to discuss fisheries proposals and closure reviews we're requesting Council input on how you would like to receive written public comments. Once OSM receives input from the Councils on permanent guidance we will update the written framework accordingly. And, again, this is not an action item but we would like to hear from you what your thoughts are.

In the Council's opinion, what -- and I'll go through a list here, maybe, again, Mr. Chair, if you could gather comments from your Council -- in the Council's opinion -- No. 1 -- what is the best way to encourage public feedback to the Councils.

No. 2. Would the Council prefer to receive comments from the public orally or in writing.

 No. 3. Would it be helpful to the Council if OSM requested the written public comments submitted to your Council Coordinator prior to the meeting.

 $$\operatorname{No.}\ 4.$$ Is it better for the Councils if receive public comments that are read verbatim into the record or summarized.

No. 5. Do you have any other suggestions regarding the ways of improving written or oral public testimony procedures during the Council meetings.

And, again, these five questions are just to generate some thoughts but we would like to hear from you. It's not an action item but we would like to hear from the Council what your thoughts are on this issue.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Tom. I guess I'm kind of wondering myself, like when and how would you like to hear answers to those questions. Are we having a discussion now or should we put together some kind of a written, I don't know, a letter or document addressing these questions or -- I mean maybe -- there's probably questions from the Council right now to you, I would assume, but kind of get into it in more depth, would you be looking for like a letter from the Council on this, you think.

MR. KRON: Again, we've asked all 10 Councils these same set of questions and I think most of them basically gave us feedback during the Council meeting. To my knowledge no letters have come in. It's your choice how to respond but, again, what we're hoping to do is just to hear from you, to hear some feedback if you have any.

Thank you.

 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay. Well, maybe before we get any further I should see if there's any questions or comments from Council members now.

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I had a question. Are there — is our discussion only pertaining to receiving public testimony on proposals for Federal regulation changes or is it going to eventually be some type of policy about public testimony on even non-agenda items and that kind of thing? Are we only talking about proposals or is it a broader thing?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ KRON: Mr. Chair. The current question relates to regulatory proposals, so, again,

```
0345
 1
     fisheries regulatory proposals coming up next fall, and
     then there'll be wildlife proposals after that. So the
 2
     current question relates to proposals only.
 4
 5
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Follow up, Cathy.
 8
 9
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Not on that. I'm still
10
     formulating my thoughts, thank you, Mr. Chair.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay. So --
13
     yeah, Tom, this is Don again. Yeah, I think I
14
     understand where you're coming from here. And, like I
15
     say, we have a procedure, you know, in our regulatory
16
     process....
17
18
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Hi Chris.
19
20
                     (Teleconference interference -
21
    participants not muted)
22
23
                     REPORTER: Whoever's calling for Chris
24
     could you please mute your phone.
25
26
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is.....
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Tina.
29
30
                     MS. PERRY: ....DeAnna.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Was that DeAnna.
33
34
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. If you'd
35
     like we could go through these questions one by one and
36
     I could capture -- Tom and I can capture a little bit
37
     of the feedback for each of these, if you'd like to do
38
     that. I know I have these questions in front of me and
39
     it looks like Ian has also posted those in chat for
40
     those folks that are on the videoconference. That's
     just one suggested way to go through this item.
41
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, that should
44
     be helpful to have them someplace where people could
     look at them. You say they're in the chat box, uh, I
45
46
     don't know if you could put it up on the screen but --
47
     yeah.
48
49
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, some of us are
50
```

not on Teams, this is Cal. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I know, yeah, I realize that. MS. PERRY: And I'll be happy to kick us off with the first question if you'd like, verbally, and I do have it on the screen too for folks who would like to look at it. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, if everybody isn't able to look at it maybe we'll just take them one by one and read them orally and address them that way. MS. PERRY: Okay. So the first question was, and, again, as Tom mentioned this is regarding public comments on proposals. So in the Council's opinion, what are the best way to encourage public feedback to the Councils. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody have any suggestions there. MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy. MS. NEEDHAM: I think that that is the -- that question, in particular, I think that we could be doing a better job about that. I don't think that there's a huge amount of education about our process and so, at least, the regulatory cycle part of it, like we all know it day in and day out, but when you look across the broader landscape of Southeast Alaska, most folks don't know how it works. And so encouraging people to engage

And so encouraging people to engage means that they need to understand, you know, how our process works and at what point and times they have the opportunity to provide testimony to us. And then it's also helpful at the same time to show -- or to talk to them how that is incorporated into our process, like how -- like how is that utilized after they do take the time to come to our Council meetings. And so I don't know, like, what the best way to encourage that feedback is but I feel like there needs to be something associated with an education campaign that lets people know more about our process. Our process is very different than like other regulatory processes for fish

```
0347
 1
     and wildlife. So I think whatever we decide in terms
     of encouragement, I think a public outreach campaign
     should kind of be a part of that.
 4
 5
                     And then I don't have Facebook but my
 6
     understanding is like putting information out over
 7
     Facebook sometimes can be a really effective way of
     communicating and that may be a good way -- social
 9
    media might be a good way to have some kind of social
10
    media policy on encouraging public feedback, might be
11
     an option.
12
13
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
16
    Cathy. Is there anybody else on this topic, what's the
17
    best way to encourage public feedback.
18
19
                     MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, Louie.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Louie.
22
23
                     MR. WAGNER: For us here in Metlakatla,
     to have the information, we don't receive any
24
25
     information, we haven't anyway, but our secretary at
26
     our council chambers would be a good one for us, for
27
     any of that to come in and so she could get it to the
28
    rest of the community. That would be very helpful.
29
30
                     Thank you.
31
32
                     Yeah, and not everyone has Facebook and
33
    that includes me.
34
35
                     (Laughter)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yeah.
38
39
                     (Laughter)
40
41
                     MR. WAGNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Louie.
44
     Yeah, like I say in just about every community there
45
     would be some key people to be in contact with for
46
     sure, you just kind of have to identify those. But,
47
     yeah, anybody else on the Council with a suggestion.
48
49
                     MR. KITKA: Mr. Chair, Harvey Kitka.
```

0348 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey. 2 3 MR. KITKA: I know that it'd be nice to 4 have at least some little brochure or something sent to the education systems. I know that -- I think it's 5 Heather from Sitka -- she's the one that's head of the 6 7 AC here in Sitka, she's got a class over at the University of Alaska that teaches how to talk to 9 Council, things like that, and I was just wondering if 10 some of this could be brought to the -- brought to 11 their attention, or a brochure sent to them or 12 something. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, 15 Harvey. Yeah, that's a good suggestion. I don't know there might be other school systems we're not aware of 16 17 that are, you know, teaching essentially government 18 classes and how to internet with government that might 19 -- this information might fit into that. That's a good 20 way to get the younger folks informed and, of course, 21 once they become adults they will still retain that 22 information. 23 24 Anybody else. 25 26 MR. SLATER: Mr. Chair, hi, this is 27 Jim. 28 29 30 31 32

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I heard Jim for sure and somebody else.

MR. SLATER: Yeah, hi, I'll go and then Ian. One thing I thought of was that we -- the local RAC members for different communities could raise up areas that were -- or proposals that might concern the community. But also there may be the Fish and Game Advisory Committees. If we notified them of proposals or topics that were affecting the communities, that would be another avenue.

I think, in general, people aren't going to comment unless there's some local interest -some local issue that's going to affect them directly so if we could make the local communities aware of issues that were affecting them and their surrounding areas, that would definitely generate more comments.

49 50

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45 46

47

Thanks. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, that's a good observation. Thank you for that. Was there somebody else also. MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, this is Ian. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, Ian.

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, definitely agree with everything that's been said so far and I guess I can't emphasize enough Cathy's point in just focusing on education. You know a lot of these proposals are really jargon heavy and that's a fast way to shut down people. And so just helping -- anything you can do to break down that barrier. And Harvey had a good suggestion there, bring it to schools and seminars. see in the chat John mentioned radio and paper. actually find that that problem corner show gets a lot of engagement, and I'm not saying that that's the answer but there is a lot of usership on the radio and that's a possibility. And I was going to bring up ACs well, like the State ACs for bringing these issues up. So reaching out to the various heads of those is definitely a good way.

And then I've been -- I mean really to me this question is all about the co-management topics that we're going to talk about later but, like, if you have investment within communities to engage in these topics and develop these proposals, like you're going to organically grow the ability for communities to actually engage in this process and to me that's like at the heart of really the co-management discussion, is helping build the base of understanding for people and making sure that they are active contributors to these processes and that's data, public feedback, it's just building capacity for that.

So the best way to encourage public feedback is invest in communities to be a part of the management system. And then also have spent a lot of time, personally, thinking about more technologically savvy ways of displaying the proposals and I think to Jim's point about community impact, it would be really nice if the proposal process included a map, you know,

call it a Google map, or whatever, a weather map, you click on a point -- I click on Port Frederick in Hoonah and it just does a spacial search for every current proposal that affects those waters. And I just think that that would be a really easy way -- a really easy way for people to at least filter down the proposals that are most pertinent to them and that could encourage community feedback, rather than trying to wade through text on text on text, trying to determine which ones are pertinent to their area. And I guess I would suggest if we were going to pursue something like that it not just be for this Federal process, but that it be a cooperative project across agencies, a common hub, so to speak, to submit proposals for Board of Game, Board of Fish, Federal proposal processes where folks could access and filter that information more easily.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, very good, thank you, Ian. Good comments. Is there somebody else with a comment.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah, I think you got some good suggestions there Tom. And I would say at a minimum that you could do a better job of -- like Mr. Jackson pointed out to yesterday, you know, if there's a proposal that directly affects an area we should probably, at a minimum, at least, contact local Advisory Committees and any affected tribes and make them aware of it. I think that would be helpful. And like I say that's at a minimum.

 $\label{eq:condition} \mbox{Anybody else with a last word on question No. 1.}$

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's go to question No. 2. Would the Council prefer to receive comments from the public orally or in writing. And I guess I have one quick question on this Tom, when you say in writing, are you including email comments?

MR. KRON: Yeah, I think so, Mr. Chair.

one, would the Council prefer to receive comments from the public orally or in writing.

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.

MS. NEEDHAM: I don't think it should be an either or situation. I think we should receive comments from the public orally and in writing. I think that getting — if people will take the time to come to our meeting and engage with us, they should always be given the opportunity to provide oral testimony on not only just proposals but anything that comes before us. However, recognizing that it is — there are a lot of — we have a lot of engaged users in this region but they don't necessarily have the funding to come to our meetings and participate, that we should absolutely give them the opportunity to provide it in writing.

And if this whole discussion or the reasons why we're being asked this question has anything to do with the fact that last meeting cycle we have some very engage -- we had a lot of engagement for some of our proposals this last cycle and I know that there might have been a little bit of frustration about people providing written comments and then coming and presenting their comments orally and that it was almost verbatim to the written comments -- if that's what this whole conversation or policy that is trying to get built is about, I think that I'm a little bit irritated by that. Because I think we need to be building a public record, we need to be -- that is -- I mean that's our job, our job is to build a public record about these things. It provides opportunity for people to weigh in on proposals that affect them in any way and if they choose to meet a proposal comment deadline period but still want to spend the money to come to us and talk to us about the issue and engage with us, they should be able to also give that exact testimony before us and then maybe we could even have dialogue about it where we ask them questions.

And so I don't think we should be choosing one mechanism over another, I think we should be encouraging the public and people who are affected by regulatory changes. We should be considering them on all their views and they should be able to provide

0352 public comment on things that are important to them and that impact them in both oral or written or any other way that they feel is going to give us the feedback 4 that we need. 5 6 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 7 8 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep, thank you, 9 Cathy. Any other Council members have comments on 10 receiving public, either oral or written comments. 11 12 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal. 13 14 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chair, this is Frank. 15 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal. 17 And I think I might have heard Frank also. 18 19 MR. CASIPIT: Okay. I kind of have an 20 addendum to Cathy's point. I agree with her 100 percent 21 on what she just said but I do have an addition to 22 that. And, again, it goes back to last meeting and if 23 this is all over what happened last meeting, well, you 24 know, I was kind of frustrated with that because I was 25 reading through public comments and reading about a 26 Fish and Game report that we didn't have and apparently 27 the public did. And there was some kind of reason why we didn't -- we, Council members, didn't get that 28 29 report, that written report in our Council materials. 30 And I think I talked about it at the last meeting but 31 there are words in my head echoing around by former 32 Chairs Bill Thomas and John Littlefield about --33 especially in terms of agencies, to have their reports 34 to us before the meeting and not give it to us orally 35 at the meeting, because it makes it real hard to 36 incorporate that information from agencies and read it 37 along with our Staff analysis that we have and it just -- it's really frustrating -- it was really frustrating 38 39 to me that I was reading a report that Fish and Game 40 had apparently done for several weeks and the public 41 had for several weeks that I didn't have. It just -- I 42 don't know, if that can be resolved, that would be 43 wonderful. 44 I don't know if OSM Staff is working 45 46 with Fish and Game on information sharing protocols or 47 whatever but I know we were doing that quite a few

years ago but I don't know where any of that is now but

that's a suggestion there. Having the agency reports

48

49

0353 ahead of time would be -- would be really good. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yep, I know 4 we've had this discussion many times many times in the 5 past, Cal. So, yeah. But that's -- like I say that's 6 not really public testimony although it relates to the 7 public testimony. But, yeah, glad you brought that 8 out. 9 10 I do have, you know, a lot of concerns 11 about oral or written testimony but it more relates to 12 Question No. 5, so I'll kind of hold off there. 13 14 I think, Frank, did you have a question 15 or comment. 16 17 MR. WRIGHT: A comment, Mr. Chair. 18 What we're doing is -- what we're talking about is what 19 we already do, you know, people coming and speaking to 20 us and, you know, some people are new at speaking and 21 it's probably pretty scary for them but -- and then written testimony and -- and I totally agree with what 22 23 Cathy says because, you know, it's just -- we're an 24 organization that needs public testimony so that we can 25 move on proposals, if we didn't have their input then 26 we wouldn't -- we wouldn't be very functional. So, you 27 know, I think written and oral or even just over the 28 phone, you know, even testimony over the phone is what 29 we need. 30 31 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 32 33 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 34 Frank. Any other Council want to talk to this. 35 36 MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez. 37 38 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Patty, go ahead. 39 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. I'm back on 40 41 long distance so I'm not so broken up. Thank you. 42 43 So, you know, I was recently appointed 44 after a few year absence but I was appointed back in 1993, I believe, and when I brought forth concerns as a 45 RAC Member, Staff was very willing and, you know, 46 47 stepped right up with the helping of proposals to be

submitted to -- you know through the Federal process.

But over the years we've heard from, you know, members

48

49

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

of the public, their knowledge and, you know, how they think things should be changed and maybe there could be like a facilitator of sort, a dedicated facilitator of sort that could, you know, when they hear with someone with a public comment that could be submitted as some form of proposal, that there could be a follow up. mean if, you know, when they submit comment -- when they're providing comment we learn their name but, you know, is there a way to follow up with contacting them and saying, hey, we have this process or the State has this process, like Mr. Joel Jackson today. He talked about crab and so there was suggestions on, you know, how he could bring forth his concerns and to which body and so that would develop trust -- further trust in this system, you know, that has been in place for about 30 years or so. I mean so -- is there a way for that to happen and anyways that's my comment, thanks.

17 18 19

20

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, yeah, I understand what you're saying there Patty. Is there anybody else.

212223

MR. SLATER: Mr. Chair, this is Jim.

24 25

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Jim.

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MR. SLATER: Yeah, hi, I wanted to say that I agree with everything I've heard today and especially with what Cathy said, that we need to build public record and listen to comments. But I have some thoughts on the previous meeting that we had and while we need to listen to -- build a public record, the way things went last time it seemed like a very small group of people were able to inundate the Council with the same comments over and over again and they would write them, and then testify verbally, sometimes multiple times throughout the conference, the same thing, and then also submit written comments to DeAnna for that day and so there was basically three records per person or four even if they orally commented twice. So it seemed like it somehow wasn't represented -- and at a minimum we should do something to note that the person has already commented, written -- if they're going to comment again orally or if they're submitting the same comments again, for it to be read during the meeting, that we somehow recognize that and take that into account whether they have to give out -- whether -what's changed since their -- maybe their written comments or so on, just so that the Council members can

0355 identify what's going on. 2 3 That's all, thank you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, very good 6 Jim. And I want to talk about that more when we get 7 into question No. 5, you know, procedures during the Council meeting so, yeah, I'm kind of holding off until 9 Question No. 5. But anybody else comments on Question 10 No. 2. 11 12 (No comments) 13 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, let's move 15 on to Question No. 3, and I'll read it: 16 17 Would it be helpful to the Council if OSM requested the written public comments submitted to 18 19 your Council Coordinator prior to the meetings within a 20 certain time period and the Coordinator, in turn, will 21 summarize the comments and present them to the Council 22 during the meeting. 23 24 Okay. 25 26 Would it be helpful if OSM requests the 27 written public comments submitted to the Coordinator 28 prior to the meetings within a certain time period and 29 the Coordinator, in turn, will summarize the comments 30 and present them to the Council during the meeting. 31 32 Any thoughts on that. 33 34 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna. 35 36 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 37 DeAnna. 38 39 MS. PERRY: I thought I might be able to add a little bit of more information so that the 40 41 Council kind of knows where we came up with this 42 particular question. And I would also like to say that 43 this process, or this discussion that we're having 44 wasn't prompted from what happened at the Southeast 45 meeting, there were quite a few issues that happened in 46 many Councils last go-around. One, in particular, that 47 3 kind of speaks to, is in the past if someone wanted 48 to provide a comment for the Council's meeting or for

instance they were on vacation or they were at work,

49

1 they couldn't get away and call in, they could send an email to us Council Coordinators a couple days ahead 2 and we would read that into the record. There were comments made by folks at the last cycle that that was 5 inappropriate, that these were late comments. So what 6 we're trying to do now is try to educate the public and 7 try to get, you know, the best way for the Council to receive these comments and this No. 3 speaks to that. 8 9 In the Federal Register it gives that written public 10 comment period which is open at the very beginning when 11 the proposal is first published. And then those 12 comments get rolled into or considered in the analysis 13 that's done on that proposal. But as Tom mentioned 14 earlier, there are four different opportunities for the 15 public to comment throughout the proposal period and so when we were hearing a lot of comments at our meeting 16 17 saying, well, you accepted these late comments, you 18 know, that you shouldn't have accepted them, that's 19 where No. 3 is kind of coming from.

20 21

So I just wanted to give you a little context in that.

222324

25

26

I actually got a bunch of form letters for one meeting and those had to be summarized like two days prior to the meeting and those folks in opposition had quite a bit to say about that.

272829

 $$\operatorname{So},$$ anyway, I hope that context helps you. Thank you.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, DeAnna. And I know, you know, the purpose of public comments is to inform the Council as to, you know, making a decision on a proposal. And the purpose of having a comment period end is so that written public comments can be incorporated into our meeting books, it gets sent out, you know, with the rest of the analysis and we can see all that and consider it. And then, of course, we also offer this opportunity to give public testimony, you know, in person at the meeting which, of course, can also sway the Council's opinion at times. So we're kind of asked to consider written public comments, you know, ahead of time, but then we're also asked to consider public comments that come in during the course of the meeting. So I don't know it's kind of a double standard there. And then of course the email comments come in, to me, I consider them essentially like same as oral comments but people just

0357 don't care to be on the phone, they'll just email them in and expect them to be read into the record. And then, of course, the next question also deals with --4 or this question also deals with, you know, should 5 those be summarized or how to deal with those. So, 6 yeah, so those are the issues. 7 8 And okay I'll offer up the other 9 Council members if..... 10 11 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chair. 12 13 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:they have 14 some comments on that. Go ahead, who was that? 15 16 MR. SMITH: It's me, John. 17 18 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, John. 19 20 MR. SMITH: Yeah, I truly believe that, 21 you know, we did this the other day where we opposed a 22 situation with Mr. Jackson, we all voted and so I see 23 that happening right here, right now, and so I truly 24 believe that it's nice to have the paper and be able to 25 review it as a committee member, to understand and 26 maybe even study more to make a good vote, you know, 27 for the people. So that would be encouraged. But also 28 to encourage that we -- we can make a movement right 29 quick when we believe that it's for the people and it's 30 for the best benefit of it that we should do it at any 31 time, even like right now, if we need to do something 32 to support the fuel that we should do it. 33 34 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 35 36 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 37 John. Other comments from the Council. 38 39 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy. 40 41 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy. 42 43 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I 44 think we should be able to receive comments written or orally up until the time we deliberate a proposal. I 45 46 think that is the best way for us to handle information 47 that comes in from the public. It makes reasonable 48 accommodation for them to be able to weigh in on the 49 process. I understand and I appreciate DeAnna kind of

clarifying where this question comes from and I think about -- I think if we get written public comments that are included in our meeting book that it is okay to summarize that when we're actually going through our 5 proposal process, if the proponent isn't in the room and doesn't want to speak to it. Otherwise I think if 6 7 we get written comments that come in during our meeting, if we have not deliberated that proposal and 9 that morning somebody has been listening in and has 10 decided that they want to take the time to offer some 11 additional information and they send an email to our 12 Coordinator, I think that we should take that comment 13 and it should be read into the record, I don't think it 14 should be summarized. And I say this because even 15 ourselves, when we do our own work on other things down 16 the line, we read everything into the record verbatim 17 and think back to the Roadless Rule and the 45 minutes 18 it took us to read every single thing into the record. 19 So comments come after the deadline but before we 20 deliberate a proposal in writing, obviously they need 21 to be submitted to our Coordinator, but then I think 22 that they could be read into the record. The only time 23 I think that we should be summarizing is when -- if 24 those comments could be distributed to us, like if 25 they're really long comments, between the deadline and 26 the time we deliberate our proposal, that could be 27 summarized if we all got to see it and had a chance to 28 review it. Otherwise if -- and my understanding is the 29 way -- after what happened at our meeting last time, 30 that there was some comments probably that came in 31 after the deadline but before we deliberated that it 32 was said that -- it was too -- and DeAnna explained it 33 better, but it was said that we were receiving comments when we shouldn't have been -- written comments when we 34 35 shouldn't have been, I wholeheartedly believe that we 36 should always be open and listening to those that take 37 the time to weigh in on our regulatory process and so I 38 think we should see them. If you can't send them out 39 on email then read them into the record.

40 41

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

42 43

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy.

44 45

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.

46 47

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Ian.

48 49

MR. JOHNSON: I just want to give a

```
0359
    here, here to Cathy's statement. And, you know,
    honestly I see what -- kind of what we're debating as a
 2
     direct and opposite position to the first question we
    had so the first question was how do you increase
 5
    public engagement -- I could ask the second question,
    how do you decrease public engagement, and I can tell
 6
 7
    you one way to do that is make the process restrictive.
    And I know there's rules to be abided by, you know, and
 8
 9
    there are timelines that people need to meet. But I
10
    think Cathy's definition of the timeline and that being
11
    when we deliberate the proposal is the right one and I
12
     think that's the best way to set a clear expectation
13
     and potentially increase our public engagement and meet
14
     some of our goals in Question No. 1 as well. So,
15
     thanks, Cathy.
16
17
```

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, this is Patty Phillips.

18 Ph 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: So we are a Federal Advisory Committee and one of the, you know, rights of our Constitution is the freedom of expression. And we are, you know, one of our duties is to be a forum for the public to bring forth their knowledge but we should also have, you know, like parameters. You know there shouldn't be -- you know, allowing, you know, putting other people down. What we're here about is the, you know, management of subsistence resources. So I also agree that we shouldn't -- we should allow public comment into the record. But I also agree, and, you know, I'm guilty of providing my comment more than once and I'm sorry for that. So -- but, you know, it should -- we should find a way to make it work because we're trying to develop -- we have developed trust and we need to continue to develop trust with members of the public who come forth to us.

38 39 40

So, thank you, Mr. Chair.

41 42

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:} Thank \ you, \ \textsc{Patty.}$ Is there anybody else.

43 44 45

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.

46 47

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.

48 49

MR. WRIGHT: I don't think there's any

5

6 7

9

11

12

13

difference in a person who comes and reads a testimony in front of us and someone who sends a letter and expect it to be put in, but when someone comes and sits in front of us, we deliberate on their comments and I mean we always need to encourage people to talk to us, or in a letter into the record. Otherwise we would say, no, time is up, you can't do it. I certainly would not do it. We have to be open to the public otherwise we don't exist. We are -- in our org -- there are 10 organizations that say you can't do it, it's too late and that's not what we're about, we're about, okay, we received your comment so we'll put it into the record and when we deliberate I'll take your comment into consideration.

14 15 16

Thank you, Mr. Chair

17 18

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Frank. Is there anybody else.

19 20 21

(No comments)

22 23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So I'm pretty much in agreement, you know, with most of what the Council members have said, I think they've made some really good points.

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

Just a couple observations from me, and, you know, in my 20 years or so on the Council I'd say it's most likely that written comments that come in before the deadline period, you know, the published deadline period tend to come from groups and organizations that, you know, are Staffed and have people to write comments, and the public tends to show up at the meetings. And, you know, I don't want to put any more weight on comments that come in from groups as opposed to individuals, I think Council members have made some pretty good points there. Just as a practical matter, you know, in this new modern age where we have a lot of email comments coming in, I do think I agree with the Council members that essentially email comments should be treated the same as oral comments and they should be read into the record, however, you know, we did have the situation where people would send in -- in this last meeting -- it probably happened elsewhere, people would send in an email comment and then also call in and then, you know, when it came time to read the email comment it was like, you know, we've already heard this, you know, it

just wasted a lot of time basically. So I think there needs to be a little bit of a protocol there that people on the phone probably get first opportunity to testify and then, unfortunately, we probably need a little extra Staff time, I wouldn't want to put this on 5 our Coordinator but somebody needs to be looking at 6 7 these email comments and taking a quick note and seeing if that person has already called in on the phone and 9 testified to -- not necessarily read their verbatim 10 comments again. That was a problem last time. 11 point, you know, when you're dealing with oral 12 testimony sometimes you get personal attacks. You know 13 as a Chair, and I've seen other Chairs do this, I 14 learned from them, you definitely discourage that. 15 somebody is starting to launch into personal attacks, 16 you cut them off, you shut them down, that's not what 17 we want to hear, and if people are doing email 18 comments, I think it's perfectly appropriate for Staff 19 to also, you know, edit those comments as well, you 20 know, if they get too personal. 21

So those would just be my suggestions on that topic.

232425

22

So last chance, anybody else, Question No. 3.

262728

MR. SLATER: Mr. Chair, this is Jim.

29 30

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Question No. -- Jim, go ahead.

31 32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. SLATER: Yeah, thanks. Just a follow up, if I was going to take any lesson from the last meeting, what I would say is if I was either for or against a proposal, I would essentially, and I didn't have any scrupuls or whatever, I would basically do what -- some of the things that we heard about, that we -- that we heard in the last meeting. And while, I, and everyone else supports getting -- listening to as many comments as we can from the public, there needs to be a protocol, and you started to allude on that, but I think maybe even a little bit more, where things are identified if someone is making a secondary comment or a tertiary comment, so that we don't hear it all over again and they don't essentially outweigh people who've just made a comment just as bad, only one time, but we only heard it one time, whereas the same -- an opposing person has the chance to do multiple comments. So

there needs to be a fairly structured protocol to avoid that situation in my view.

Anyway, thank you, that's all I had.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks, Jim. Let's move on to Question No. 4.: Is it better for the Councils if received public comments are read verbatim into the record or summarized. And I know we've kind of touched on this already but any further comments.

They be read verbatim or summarized. And maybe we've already covered this enough but any further thoughts.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's move on to Question No. 5, the last question, and that is: Do you have any other suggestions regarding the ways of improving written or oral public testimony procedures during the Council meetings. And we've already touched on this a little bit but any other suggestions here.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ways of improving written or oral public testimony procedure during the Council meetings. And I know I kind of just talked about that, but any other comments.

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.

MS. NEEDHAM: I think that some of the things that we have already said in this discussion apply to this as well in terms of, you know, Harvey weighed in and talked about -- I had mentioned education campaign, outreach campaigns, Harvey talked about Heather Bauscher's work with the school students and things and I think just finding plugging just a little bit of money in putting a brochure together and letting people know how our process works and that, I think that -- one thing I noticed at the Board of Fish and I'm not trying to use them as an example, but they did have a session before the meeting about how to navigate the actual Board of Fish meeting including how do you give written -- or how do you give oral public

```
0363
 1
     testimony, I guess, and the procedure behind that
    because they have time limits. So even potentially
     doing something like that, it's all education and
     outreach. I think there are a number of different ways
 5
     that you could do it but you're going to probably going
     to have to get some funding behind it in order to do it
 6
 7
     right because we have a lot of communities in our
     region. So doing a little something pre-meeting at the
 8
 9
     meeting and then also just providing or having
10
     supporting programs, like Heather Bauscher's program,
11
     through the university would go a long way, I think.
12
13
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
16
     Cathy. Anybody else.
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
21
22
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, go ahead, Ian.
25
26
                     MR. JOHNSON: Oh, I just -- I mean you
27
     alluded to it, too, and I think this question does a
     pretty good job of capturing a bunch of different
28
29
     topics but the sort of model that we're proposing to
30
     essentially allow comments coming in from emails and
31
     flying in and from letters and public testimony, up to
32
     the point of deliberation is going to be a bit of a
33
     logistical nightmare. And I think we should
34
     acknowledge that, especially for like the Coordinator,
35
     like you mentioned, extra Staff. I just think we need
36
     to be looking at the capacity to handle a little bit of
37
     a paradigm shift on how we do this.
38
39
                     Thank you.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
42
     Ian.
43
44
                     MR. SMITH: Chairman. Mr. Chairman.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, is that
47
     John.
48
49
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah.
```

```
0364
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, John.
 2
 3
                     MR. SMITH: I see it -- I'm not sure,
 4
     and make note that I'm the new guy so I know you guys
    have different sites that are sharing lots of access, I
 5
     see like a Google drive rollover where the team has
 6
 7
     access and if there's new updates and new this and that
     that that's put on there and we see it right away, that
 9
     the team has access, and just the team, of course, I
10
     don't know legally how that's worked out. And I really
11
     liked the -- like No. 4, the verbatim, we want to see
12
    their words and their feelings but also having it
13
    summarized also, that somebody might read it and
14
    summarize it so just in case that we're in a hurry that
15
    we can visually look at their own personal words
16
    because everybody writes in a different way and you can
17
    feel their feelings, that we feel what they feel, so
18
    it's their words but then also for quick and business
19
    we summarize. But I see some type of rollover or maybe
20
    the Forest Service or somebody has a site for those
21
    places. And maybe that's already there, I'm not sure.
22
23
                     Hey, thank you Team.
                                           Thank you.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
26
     John. Anybody else.
27
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I think we
31
     can wrap up this topic and Tom Kron, I'm sure you got a
32
     lot to work with there so I think that was a good
33
     discussion.
34
35
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman, Mike
36
     Douville.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Mike.
39
40
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Did we get to 5, I
41
     thought we were still on 4.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, we did get
44
     to 5, like I said we covered a lot of topics in No. 5
45
     earlier, right, so -- but we did get to it, do you have
46
     anything else to add.
47
48
                     MR. DOUVILLE: No -- well, yes, I do, I
49
     guess. You know.....
50
```

0365 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead. 2 3 MR. DOUVILLE:one of the 4 highlights of -- okay. One of the highlights of being 5 on this RAC was a meeting we had in Sitka that was 6 really great to see the youth come and testify before 7 us and I would strongly urge that we pursue more of the same. I mean it was great, and I think it was a good 8 9 experience for them also. But written -- oral or 10 written testimony in the meetings, just speaking as a 11 RAC member that's been to a lot of meetings, it's 12 really -- when you have to listen to repetition and 13 going off the subject like it's great, I encourage 14 people to come and speak before us, it's really helpful 15 in many ways but Big Bill, Chairman Bill Thomas would 16 promptly redirect anybody, even the RAC members if they 17 ventured off the subject or started repeating 18 themselves. And I think it's important that we do 19 that. It's difficult to sit in a three day meeting and 20 stay focused but it's even more difficult when we have 21 testimony that repeats itself or goes on without any --22 anything more productive being said. But what Big Bill 23 would do is he would stop you promptly and say, do you 24 have anything new to add, and that applied to 25 everybody, including the RAC members. But that would 26 be one way I think would be helpful to everybody 27 involved. 28 29 Thank you. 30 31 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you 32 for that Mike. yeah, that's always -- that's a 33 difficult thing, a lot of people also get, you know, 34 off topic, branch out into other things. I know it's 35 difficult as a Chair person to try and control that. 36 never had the privilege of being in a meeting conducted 37 by Bill Thomas, Big Bill as you say, but I'm sure I 38 could have learned a lot. And just to have say right 39 up front I don't think I have the stature of Big Bill, 40 he was kind of a commanding presence so that helps. 41 42 But duly noted. 43 44 Okay, anybody else. 45 46 MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, this is Patty

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty.

47

48 49

50

Phillips.

```
0366
 1
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah, I sat through some
     leadership training with Mr. Dennis Demmert from
 2
     Sheldon Jackson College and he said, you know, one of
     the key pieces of equipment that the Chair has is the
 5
     gavel and don't be afraid to use it. And I don't know
    where the gavel went from Mike but, you know, I think
 6
 7
    you need a new one and I think you should use it and
 8
    exercise it more.
 9
10
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
13
    Patty.
14
15
                     Anybody else.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, we'll look
20
     for that gavel when we get back into meeting in person.
21
     Things are different when you meet in person I do have
22
     to say so, yeah. Can that conclude our comments on
23
     public testimony.
24
25
                     (No comments)
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I think it
28
    does.
29
30
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal.
33
34
                     MR. CASIPIT: I just wanted to thank
35
     you for being a Chair and for taking the gavel. I've
36
    been in that -- you know I was Mayor in my little
37
     community for awhile and I was the one that rapped on
38
     the gavel and it's hard. And it's hard to cut off
39
    people sometimes. And I just want to make sure that
     you don't -- that, you know, we appreciate you and
40
41
     anyway, I just want -- I don't want you to feel bad
42
     about the input or whatever, anyway.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal.
45
    Yeah, it's a tough job.
46
47
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Frank.
50
```

```
0367
 1
                     MR. WRIGHT: I want to thank you for
 2
    being the Chair, too, but it's also up to the Council
     to say point of order and, you know, you can state
 4
    point of order.
 5
 6
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, good point
     Frank, I'm glad you brought that up, that helps. Okay.
 9
10
     I think I would like to take a little break here if we
11
     could, come back at 11:00 o'clock. I hope to finish up
12
     new business before lunch, I think we can do that. So
13
     that when we come back up after lunch we'll go straight
14
     to agency reports and the first up would be Tlingit and
15
     Haida Central Council, but we still do have a couple
     more briefing topics, and then I'll check and see how
16
17
     things are going with the proposal process, if we can
18
     close that out under new business this morning, great,
19
     if not, we'll come back to it. So let's take a short
20
     recess and come back at 11:00 o'clock.
21
22
                     (Off record)
23
24
                     (On record)
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, everybody
27
     it's 11:00 o'clock, hopefully people are getting back
     to their phones and we'll get started again. I'll
28
29
     check first and see if Frank is back and ask Frank to
30
     run through the roll one more time and make sure we've
31
     got a quorum.
32
33
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay, ready.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
36
     Frank.
37
38
                     MR. WRIGHT:
                                  Cathy Needham.
39
40
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Present. I'm here.
41
42
                     MR. WRIGHT: John Smith.
43
44
                     (No comments)
45
46
                     MR. WRIGHT: John Smith.
47
48
                     MR. SMITH: Here.
```

```
0368
 1
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thanks, John. Harvey,
 2
     Harvey Kitka.
 3
 4
                     MR. KITKA: Here.
 5
 6
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Harvey.
 7
 8
                     Louie Wagner.
 9
10
                     MR. WAGNER: Thank you.
11
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
12
13
14
                     Patty Phillips.
15
16
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Here.
17
18
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Patty.
19
20
                     Mr. Don Hernandez is here.
21
                     Albert Howard.
22
23
24
                    (No comments)
25
26
                     MR. WRIGHT: Albert Howard.
27
                     MR. HOWARD: Here.
28
29
30
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Howard --
31
    Albert.
32
                     Mr. Schroeder.
33
34
35
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Bob Schroeder's here,
36
    thank you, Frank.
37
38
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Bob.
39
40
                     Jim Slater.
41
42
                    (No comments)
43
44
                     MR. WRIGHT: Jim Slater.
45
                     (No comments)
46
47
48
                     MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville.
49
50
```

0369	
1 2	MR. DOUVILLE: Michael Douville's here.
3 4	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mike.
5	Cal Casipit.
7	MR. CASIPIT: I'm here.
8 9	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
10	Ian Johnson.
12 13	MR. JOHNSON: I'm here.
14 15	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Ian.
16 17	Mr. Chair, we have a quorum.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank. Okay, before we move on to the last couple items on the agenda, new business part of the agenda, I did want to ask of the folks that were working on proposals, have you had enough time to finish those and can we get to those this morning or do we need to wait until this afternoon. MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy. I believe we have the work that we were set out to do done CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. MS. NEEDHAM:so we can get to that whenever it's time. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's continue on with Tom Kron from OSM. He has a briefing on proposed actions to automate Federal subsistence permits.
40 41 42	MR. KRON: Mr. Chair, again, Tom Kron. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll just start off:
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	The law requires all Federal departments and agencies to gain approval through the Office of Management and Budget prior to collection of information from the public. This law was designed to protect you,

the public, from unreasonable requirements to provide data and/or personal information without justification. The process to gain approval to collect information from Office of Management and Budget generally takes a year, but can be as long as 18 months. After the most recent Office of Management and Budget to collect information from the public, the Office of Management and Budget contacted OSM and tasked us with exploring the use of automated permits within our Program.

OSM is asking the Councils and the public for ideas on how to issue permits into the future. And we've already heard, for example, in some of the villages they just don't have the capability —don't have the connectivity to do electronic permits, for example, but we want to hear from you as well.

Some of the issues we need -- we're just asking questions, we're looking for feedback, this isn't an action item but we do want to hear from the Council to hear what your perspectives are. And I'll just go through some of the questions.

How can we verify a person is a Federally-qualified user.

How do we address the lack of substantial [sic] infrastructure in rural communities if internet permits are used.

How will managers address quotas, and/or limits to the amount of permits issued in the cases where there are conservation concerns.

How will managers deal with the lack of reporting of harvest resulting in the cases of power failures or lack of the internet. Especially when reporting requirements are listed as 48 or 72 hours due to conservation concerns.

How would this possible change affect subsistence users.

And what methods of issuing permits would be preferred by subsistence users.

So, again, we're being asked to look at the possibility of doing automated permits through the internet and we want to hear from all the Councils.

These questions have gone to all the other nine Councils across the state, we want to hear from Southeast as well. How do you think we should handle things, what do you recommend, we're looking for comments prior to next fall, November 15th, but if you would, we would appreciate hearing any input from the Southeast Council.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Tom. There's a lot of complicated questions there. I don't know, I don't really see getting a whole lot of information to you here right off the -- right now. I don't know, I'll ask if any Council members have any questions or comments.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, John Smith.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, John.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, I -- I echo some of the committee members earlier talking about technology and, of course, some of our villages have issues with that, even in Hoonah, Angoon and others. But, you know, a lot of our families don't have computers either, that they just have a phone, so I just encourage, you know, us making sure that, you know, envelopes and mailing and the old school system still in place would be awesome. I echo a rep from Hoonah, Mr. Johnson, you know, talking about, you know, I really like the community -- you know, when I was a young man that's the way it was done in Hoonah, where you went down to pick it up and you saw someone's face and, you know, you were greeted with a cup of coffee and some donuts and, of course, later on they would encourage you to come back the next time and when you did, if you didn't report, they would encourage that. And not to mention, to get oral information from them, you know, they like to share their history and stories of their event and maybe you encourage them to share that, and that way you can take notes for observation but also for data.

I really think the partnerships that we were talking about in each village with the tribe should be looked at and I did -- the other day, get a connection with -- with some families in Angoon that were tribal members there and is actually a clan

```
0372
 1
    brother of mine, (In Tlingit), Gilbert Fred, and he
     likes those ideas. So, of course, just getting the
 2
     community and our team build relationships with all.
 4
 5
                     You know -- you know that's a few
 6
     things that I heard anyway in my -- is my
 7
     encouragement.
 8
 9
                     Thank you.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
12
     John. Any other Council members got some thoughts on
13
     this.
14
15
                     MR. KITKA:
                                 This is Harvey.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey.
18
19
                     MR. KITKA: I kind of echo what John
20
     was talking about. I think with the partnerships with
     the tribes, I think that part of thing, like the
21
22
    direction for co-management would really help in
23
     starting in that direction. Ask the tribes to do the
24
     permits for the communities and most of the tribes know
25
     everybody so I'm pretty sure that we would handle it
26
    properly if we were approached on that point.
27
28
                     Thank you.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
31
    Harvey. Other thoughts on automating Federal permit
32
     system.
33
34
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez, this
35
     is Patty.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty.
38
39
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. I mentioned
40
     this yesterday about the subsistence -- halibut
41
     subsistence program that NOAA, National Marine
42
     Fisheries has, and it provides for either tribal
43
     citizens or rural residents to apply for a subsistence
44
    halibut card. And this program was put forth by the
    Central Council Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of
45
46
    Alaska, their subsistence department, and Harold
47
    Martin, who also served on the RAC in previous years,
48
    he was really, you know, the advocate for subsistence
49
    halibut. But what you do is, you know, the first time
```

you get a card you either fill out subsistence rural application, or subsistence tribal application. At the time that I applied I wasn't a tribal member, I have since applied to Afognak Native Village for -- and I'm now a member of that tribal village and so now -- I originally applied as a rural resident but now I can apply as a tribal member. And when you renew, you can either renew paper card or you can renew online and so I renewed online under rural, but I'm going to change mine to tribal, and it seems like a -- it's a very simple process. In fact my sons have asked me to print up their application and they filled them out, and it asks, you know, verify where your residency, it asks you to click that you are, you know, this -- you know, you meet the qualifications for the SHARC card, it's called. So I just think that it's doable.

Anyways, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Patty. Anybody else have anything.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Ian.

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, Tom, I do have a clarifying question. Is the intent -- is kind of the ask to develop a digital system that would completely replace what we have or is it just another mechanism for subsistence users to apply for a permit. Because I think the answer to that would definitely change how other folks answer or think about this.

MR. KRON: Mr. Chair. Ian, the ask is just that we explore the internet option. They didn't specify whether this would be the only option but they want us to explore this. Because, again, as I think people know, the Federal subsistence permit system has been paper in the past. So, again, we're asking for comments at this point from the Councils. We realize already that there are villages that don't have good internet connections and the internet may not work for everyone, but the hope is to be able to implement it, but, again, we want to hear from the Councils first.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Tom.

```
0374
 1
     Follow up, Ian.
 2
 3
                     MR. JOHNSON: Yes, thanks for the
 4
     clarifying.
                  I mean I definitely -- I don't have any
     response to the specific questions but definitely in
 5
     favor of increasing mechanisms and ways for users to
 7
     engage but have very real reservations about
     eliminating any kind of paper option for all the
 9
     reasons stated by the members.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
12
13
                     MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, Louie.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Louie, go
16
     ahead.
17
18
                     MR. WAGNER: The experience we've had
19
    with permitting. We have to make a special trip to
20
    Ketchikan and if the lady that is usually sitting there
21
     to help us, you know, she fills out the form for us,
22
     you know, we prove where we live and that worked good
23
    while she was there with the paper permit. And then
24
     they switched to the electronic ones and, oh, it would
25
    take an hour or sometimes longer waiting there and --
26
    and the person would be on vacation who was supposed to
27
    be handling it so it made it really difficult for
28
    someone to have to come in and figure out the system
29
    where if they had the paper, for us, it would have
30
    worked a lot better and it would save us a special trip
31
    to Ketchikan if they would send it to our town
32
     secretary to handle. She knows everyone. And we have
33
    our personal IDs for Metlakatla from the community with
34
    our picture. So the paper back up, is, I think quite
35
    necessary.
36
37
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Louie.
40
41
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
42
     Can I break in here.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure, go ahead,
45
    Cal.
46
47
                     MR. CASIPIT: I want to -- you know, I
48
     agree with everybody what they've said so far, you
49
     know, I think paper needs to be available for folks.
50
```

But I, personally, would love to be able to get on the internet and get my own permit. The way it is now, for me, I live here in Gustavus, there isn't a Federal office in my town where I can go to to get a paper permit. Glacier Bay National Park headquarters are here but they're outside of Title VIII so they don't have permits. I don't even think they're into the Federal -- I don't even know if they're in the Federal permit system. So my other choice is, either, one, jump in my skiff and go over to Hoonah to get one in person, or wait to -- or wait until I have a trip into Juneau and go into the Juneau Ranger District and get a permit there, or what's happened here recently, I've been calling the Juneau Ranger District and getting a permit issued over the phone. They send me the permit in the mail. But I personally would love to be able to get on to a website and get my permits that I need.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Cal. Anybody else.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is Albert.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think the internet works here fine in Angoon. We have a -- GCI actually ran a fiber optic cable from here -- from Juneau to here to Sitka so we have pretty good internet and I agree with Cal, to have the ability to get online and print off what you need works for me because at times I've been so busy, that last minute I'm, oh, jeez, I need this permit and I got to go tomorrow and you can't get anything like that out here in the middle of the night. And having the ability to print it off works for me whenever I'm in a last minute deal.

And the topic of conservation concern, how to approach it when there's a conservation concern but there's the need in the rural communities for Federally-qualified subsistence users, I've always -- you know, and I've come across this in different aspects of work at the city and the tribe, I've always had the mind set if there's six people in the household and there are, because there's a family here, four children and husband and wife, I always thought they should divvy up the resource by person because, as an

```
0376
 1
     example, a household of six needs more than just Albert
     Howard needs by himself. So maybe that's something we
 2
     can look at in the future, when there's a conservation
 4
     concern, how do we divvy up the resource.
 5
 6
                     So that's just kind of my opinion on
 7
     that.
 8
 9
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks,
12
     Albert. anybody else.
13
14
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.
17
18
                     MR. SMITH: I find that hearing all
19
     this and listening to it kind of relates to the data
20
     issue too, and I believe this is an important, very
21
     important and would like to move on it some how -- is
22
     there funds, I really like the idea of being able to do
23
    paper, digital, people coming in to visit and making
24
     sure that it's open for all people, even disabled or
25
     elders, to go knock on the door and opening it to all
26
    venues because everybody has a different way of
27
     connecting to those resources so that we have more data
28
     and more information.
29
30
                     Gunalcheesh. Ah.
31
32
                     Thank you.
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
34
35
     John. Anybody else, comments on virtual subsistence
36
     permits, I guess.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, maybe we're
41
     done with that topic. I hope you got enough there,
42
     Tom, to work with. It sounds like there'll be another
43
     opportunity in the fall meetings if people think of
44
     some new ideas. So we have it just kind of on our
45
     radar, give people a chance to think about it.
46
     Anything else to add.
47
48
                     (No comments)
```

0377 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Tom Kron, 2 you're up again for another item on our new business. 4 MR. KRON: Yes. 5 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Briefing on the 7 Secretarial regulations proposing the inclusion of identified submerged lands in the Tongass National 9 Forest. It's a topic that's already come up in some of 10 our discussions here, submerged lands. So what do you 11 have on that for us. 12 13 MR. KRON: Okay, Mr. Chair, I'll start, 14 this is not an action item but, again, we wanted to 15 provide it to you for information. And I will ask -when I get to the end I'll ask both DeAnna and Greg 16 17 Risdahl to join in if they have additional input. 18 19 I'll just lead off here, this is an 20 informational briefing only and no action required on 21 the part of the Council. 22 23 The first taking place with the 24 publication of a proposed rule in June 2016 with the 25 final in May 2018. 26 27 The Secretaries were directed to 28 initiate regulatory proceedings to identify those 29 submerged lands within the Tongass National Forest that 30 did not pass to the state at statehood, and, therefore, 31 remain Federal public lands subject to Federal 32 subsistence provisions. The purpose of this proposed 33 rule is to complete regulatory proceedings addressing 34 submerged public lands within the Tongass National 35 Forest, as directed by the court. 36 37 The majority of these submerged lands 38 are low-water lines, reefs, rocks, very small islands, 39 100 yards to a half a mile in length. 40 41 This document is expected to be 42 published in the Federal Register shortly and will have 43 about a 60 day comment period. 44 45 As a Council if you desire to comment 46 on the proposed rule you may submit your comments to 47 your Coordinator. 48

I think one of the questions that we've

49

gotten before, that we know is going to be there, is, do we have maps. And I've seen maps and but they are not at all helpful to me. I lived in Southeast for 10 years, again, I've seen and camped on some of the areas that are mentioned here but, again, the maps that we have are not satisfying at this point. But I wanted to open it up to DeAnna to Greg, if they have additional comments on this issue.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks Tom.} \mbox{I'll turn it over to DeAnna and Greg then.}$

MR. RISDAHL: Mr. Chairman and members of the Council. This is Greg Risdahl. Yeah, I'll just add a couple things to Tom's briefing there.

This is kind of a long time in coming. It dates back to about 2011 when there was a court order regarding the Peratrovich, et al., versus the United State and the state of Alaska. And essentially people wanted to see that any Federal lands remained within Federal management authority for subsistence, for instance, and so the court ordered DOI and USDA to come up with a list of all these different submerged lands. And I did go back and count them because we've had to give briefings recently to new Washington office Staff, there's 162 of these parcels. They're very small. As I think Tom mentioned, you know, some of them are just not -- basically they're like log landing sites and many of them have basically disappeared, you can't find them anymore, they're under water or the land forms have -- there's been erosion -- or, you know, things have changed.

Anyway, so the first ruling was done in 2018 and basically what happened was people were saying — you know it was really hard to read and understand this proposed rule because it was all in narrative form, so the court asked USDA and DOI to go back and create a table of all the submerged lands, which they did. So this second proposed rule, it has been sitting out there for quite awhile, like I said, it's kind of been a long time coming, and it has worked its way up the signatory process, to Washington D.C., and, of course, people have had to be reinformed about what it's all about and it's working its way back now to Alaska and it will eventually be signed by the Regional

0379 1 Forester, Dave Schmid, and we're hoping it happens sometime very soon. But basically all of the lands that were in the first proposed rule are the same lands that are in the second proposed rule, it's just that 5 they're now viewed in a table form. And that is 6 available for anybody that wants to see it. 7 8 Let's see, what else. Oh, Tom 9 mentioned maps. Theo Matuskowitz, the Regulations 10 Specialist at OSM, did find maps as Tom was alluded to. 11 I looked at them and, in fact, I printed them all off 12 just to see -- there's a variety of different kinds of 13 maps, you know, some of them are seven and a half unit 14 quad maps, others are a larger scale, and some of them 15 show very distinct boundaries, they almost look 16 surveyed, other ones are just dots on maps but the 17 bottom line, there is no overall map for people to view 18 that shows all of these 162 sites. Now, if there's a 19 real interest in building one of those maps that would 20 be something that the Forest Service, and the 21 Department of Interior would probably work on together 22 because there are these maps to show where they are, 23 but they're not really easily viewable so they're not 24 of great value. And I'm not sure that, honestly, from 25 a Federal subsistence standpoint they're that valuable, 26 because they are very small areas and they're difficult 27 to find in many instances. 28 29 So that's about all I can tell you 30 right now as far as an update goes. 31 32 But certainly as soon as we get that 33 document back here to Alaska and Dave Schmid signs off 34 on it we will let everybody know. 35 36 So with that I'll take any questions, 37 thank you. 38 39 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Council 40 members, any questions. 41 42 MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez, this 43 is Patty Phillips. 44 45 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty. 46 47 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 48 So I was bringing this up yesterday. Well, No. 1., can 49 we see the maps or the lists of those areas that you

mentioned prior to it being noticed, you know, on the Federal Register; that's one question, and then I have another one.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, Patty, through the Chair. Yes, those parcels you can see those maps, the parcels, it's a really big file. And I know OSM tried to send it to me in a couple of different formats and finally we got it and I had to forward it to DeAnna as well because she was not able to get it but I do have it. It has all 81 maps and you could certainly look at those and, of course, the proposed rule is also available if people want to see it. And it has a table that describes township, range, section, et cetera, for all of those parcels.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you,

22 Patty.23

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Patty had a follow up question, go ahead, Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And, thank you, yes, I would like also to see the proposed rule. So yesterday I brought up the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge in ANILCA Section .303, talks about, you know, the submerged lands in the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge, and included in that in the Gulf of Alaska Unit is Forester Island, Hazy Island, and St. Lisaria, are those — are those considered Federal public lands for subsistence purposes?

MR. RISDAHL: Patty, through the Chair. That's a really good question. I am not familiar with those areas. Maybe DeAnna would have some information on that, she did work on the actual location of these parcels when she was doing a different job but I'm not sure, DeAnna, I hate to put you on the spot, but do you know anything about those areas. We could certainly do it, Patty, we could find that information for you. It looks like somebody -- oh, yeah, Pippa's looking it up right now to see if she could find those islands. You

```
0381
     said it was Forest Island, Hazy Island and what was the
 2
    third one Patty.
 3
 4
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Forester Island, Hazy
 5
     Islands, and St. Lisaria.
 6
 7
                     MR. RISDAHL: St. Lisaria, well, thank
 8
     you. Maybe Pippa can come up with something really
 9
     quick to find out if those are Federal lands or what
10
     they are.
11
12
                     MS. STEINBERGER: This is Wendy
13
     Steinberger with the State of Alaska. And I would say,
14
     yes, those are Federal areas. I actually have a long-
15
     term project here in my group where I am to be
     delineated the GIS, all of these areas.
16
17
18
                     MR. RISDAHL: Thank you, Wendy.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that was
21
    helpful.
22
23
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, is that
26
    Louie?
27
28
                     MR. SMITH: John Smith.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: John. Okay, go
31
     ahead, John.
32
33
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, just some questions.
34
     The 162 parcels of, of course, you just mentioned that,
     of course they're Federal, is any of those pieces, like
     if you did do a map to put traditional or cultural
36
37
     locations, that type of outline on it, just curious
38
     unless that's already on there -- thoughts of that.
39
40
                     Thank you.
41
42
                     MR. RISDAHL: Mr. Smith, through the
43
     Chair. I can tell you on the maps that I have seen, I
44
    haven't looked really carefully at all 81 maps but I've
    kind of skimmed through them, I don't notice any
45
46
     cultural or artifact type information on the maps,
47
     you'd have to get that information from somewhere else
48
     and overlay those on those if you wanted to know for
49
     sure if there were any kind of cultural significance to
```

0382 1 those same sites. 2 3 Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thanks, Greq. Any 6 other Council members. 7 8 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy. 11 12 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 13 -- in Patty's comments she named the Alaska Maritime 14 Refuges and the three islands and when I heard her ask 15 the question, I think she asked about, you know, are these Federal lands and the State DNR person, Wendy, 16 17 came on and said yes they are, I guess my follow up 18 question to that would be, does that include the 19 submerged tide lands around those islands, is that 20 going to be part of this -- are those submerged tide 21 lands going to be part of this proposed rule. And at 22 the same time, I'm wondering if the list, the 162 list, 23 I want to make sure that those three islands are on 24 that list that had 162 locations. 25 26 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 27 28 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Could 29 somebody answer that question. 30 31 (No comments) 32 33 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I don't know 34 who's best to answer that and if anybody can, or get 35 back to Cathy. 36 37 MR. RISDAHL: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is 38 Greg Risdahl. I don't know the answer to that. Maybe, 39 Wendy, if she's actually putting the information 40 together on that she would be better able to answer it, 41 I don't know. 42 43 MS. STEINBERGER: Hi, I'm back, I hit 44 the wrong button and dropped off, this is Wendy. 45 have to go back to the original withdrawals and then 46 everything that took place up to the date of statehood 47 to give you that answer. I'm sorry I don't have that 48 information at my fingertips right now. But whatever

those descriptions are in their original withdrawals

49

```
0383
     and any changes up to the date of statehood is what
 2
     should be part of those.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
 5
 6
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal.
 9
10
                     MR. CASIPIT: I don't have the actual
11
     CFRs in front of me but I'm looking through the
12
     HandyDandy fish reg book and on Page 6 under general
13
     information, applicability and scope, it talks about
14
     the Alaska Maritime National -- Alaska Maritime
15
    National Wildlife Refuge subunits being included in the
     program and they're all -- from what I recognized here,
16
17
     they're all up north, they're not down here Afognak,
18
    Womens Bay, Karluk and others, so I'm not sure, I think
19
     it has to do with when the public land order was signed
20
    that went through it. I notice all these places in the
21
     regs, they were withdrawn before statehood so the
22
     subunit that Patty was talking about, if they were
23
     withdrawn after statehood, the submerged lands don't go
24
     with them.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
27
28
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, this is Patty
29
     Phillips again.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty.
32
33
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. I really
34
     appreciate this discussion. I mean I would like, you
35
     know, the Federal agency to give us a definitive
36
     answer, not necessarily at this meeting.
37
38
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure. Thanks,
41
     Patty. That might require a little research but I'm
42
     sure that information can be provided eventually.
43
     anybody else with a question.
44
45
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.
48
49
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.Mr.
50
```

```
0384
 1
     Chair, I know we had -- I believe we were in Petersburg
     when the original rule came out and we talked at length
 2
     about this subject and there was a lot of confusion in
     the beginning because of this lack of maps and
 5
     understanding, being able to see, because they're such
 6
     small parcels, we had maps in front of us that were
 7
     sort of at a larger scale and the smaller scale wasn't
     really presented very well. It doesn't sound like that
 8
 9
     has necessarily been resolved.
10
11
                     But I think if we're going to comment
12
     on this during -- if we need to provide comments for
13
     the proposed rule, which would be a 60 day comment
14
     period, I would like to have somebody like give us our
15
     discussion. Because we have a lot of work that we did
16
     on the record on this when it came around the first
17
     time and so it seems like we definitely have -- I don't
18
    know if we had the exact questions that Patty is
19
     asking, which I think are extremely important
20
     questions, because they are additional Federal lands
21
     that could potentially put into it but I'd like to.....
22
23
                     MS. KENNER: Mr. Chair.
24
25
                     MS. NEEDHAM: .....I'd like a refresher
26
     for myself but I would also like, you know, our
27
     new....
28
29
                     MS. KENNER: Mr. Chair, this is.....
30
31
                     MS. NEEDHAM: .....folks need to know
32
     sort of the work that we've done on this subject as
33
     well.
34
35
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks....
38
39
                     MS. KENNER: Mr. Chair, this is Pippa
40
     Kenner.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....Cathy. I --
43
     go ahead, Pippa.
44
45
                     MS. KENNER: Yeah, so although our
46
     regulations without maps don't provide all the answers
47
     there is some interpretation that has to go on. The
```

regulations do point out what marine waters are Federal

public waters. So in general the Federal public waters

48

49

are inland waters that are within and adjacent to Federal conservation units such as National Forests. There are a few areas in Southeast and now we're going to add a few more that the regulations actually point out will be Federal public waters that are marine waters for the purpose of the management of fish. And they include -- and it begins with the Mahknati Island area and has reference to about 20 other very small submerged lands where the Board has authority to manage fish in marine waters.

And it's hard to explain what those waters are but I can tell you where they're by, where they're near. They're near Kupreanof Island. There's a very small area. Bushy Island and Snow Passage. Inland Waters -- or excuse me, there's a small chunk of Federal waters there in marine waters. Sumner Strait there's one. Banik Island (ph), Stikine Strait there's one. High Point and Wronkofski Island. Kreef and Clarence Strait. Low Point and Zorembo Island. Mc --Macnamara Point Zorembo Island. Mountain Point in Wrangell Narrows. Angle Point in Revillagigedo Channel. Cape (indiscernible) in the Dixon Entrance, Prince of Wales Island area. Lewis Reef (ph) Limon Point, Narrow Point, Niblack Point, Rosa Reef, Ship Island, Spire Island -- almost done -- Surprise Point, (Indiscernible) Meyers Chuck, Round Island, Barry Island (ph) and Three Point -- and Three Point.

So I just ran through those quickly to give you an example of what those regulations look like. Behind each of those names that I read off is a detailed description of how to identify what lands these are. The description goes on for many paragraphs, it's a very detailed description. And what I have learned in studying this is that each of these areas, except for Mahknati Island area that have been identified as marine waters that are under the purview of the Federal Subsistence Board, these are very small areas, like I have heard from, you know, square meters to maybe a little bit more.

So, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks,

Pippa.

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.

```
0386
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.
 2
 3
                     MS. NEEDHAM: All right, I guess -- the
    point I was trying to make is that we've done some work
 5
     on this and I'm trying to recall what it was so maybe
    Pippa or DeAnna or someone can clarify, my
 7
    understanding were these small sort of postage stamp
     areas were directly related to U.S. Coast Guard
 9
    navigational aides and I just want clarification on
10
    that.
11
12
                     MS. KENNER: Mr. Chair, this is Pippa
13
    Kenner.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Pippa.
16
17
                     MS. KENNER: Yeah, that's what I'm
18
    reading. They all begin with like Beacon Point and
19
    then it says shown on the U.S. Coast Geosurvey Chart
20
    No. 8210, sheet No. 16. It doesn't say exactly on that
21
    chart what the lands are but it has the way points so,
22
    you know, references and X on a rock, where this one is
23
    marked as 15,7 south, 79 east talking about latitude
24
     and longitude, that sort of thing.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
27
28
                     MS. KENNER: Was that clear?
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I've heard a lot
31
    of navigation points there. Go ahead.
32
33
                     (No comments)
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, anybody
36
     else.
37
38
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman, Mike
39
     Douville.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
42
    Mike.
43
44
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Okay. I was just
45
     looking for information, perhaps maybe the people
46
     online could give us. The Southeast Canoe Route on
47
    Prince of Wales Island is the next State navigable
48
    waters challenge to the Feds, that's the Snakey Lakes
49
     area that the State apparently is suing the Federal
```

```
0387
     government for. It's a bit frightening for us living
     here but is there any information available on that?
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: What were those
 5
     locations again, Mike, Canoe Pass and did you say
 6
     Snakey Lakes?
 7
 8
                     MR. DOUVILLE: It is the -- well, let's
 9
     see let me -- I'm looking for -- anyway it's Sarkar
10
    River and several lakes connecting waterways inside the
11
     Tongass National Forest. It's a -- what's used as a
12
     canoe route through Sarkar and you can come out in the
13
     Thorne River or somewhere. But it's the -- it's kind
14
    of a portion of the middle of Prince of Wales or upper
15
    middle Prince of Wales that hasn't been exploited and
    it's pretty pristine. I don't understand why the State
16
17
    wants the water. In any case I just learned of it a
18
    week or two ago. So I just wondered if any -- if maybe
19
     Tom or Pippa or somebody knows anything about it.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any
22
     response....
23
24
                     MS. KENNER: Mr. Chair, this is Pippa.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....there on that
27
     one. Go ahead, Pippa.
28
29
                     MS. PIPPA: Yeah, I'm -- I'm just
30
     looking in the regulations and that's about all I can
31
     provide right now. I'm not aware of the challenge to
32
     the State for Federal jurisdictions over certain lands.
33
     Maybe Greg Risdahl might have more information.
34
35
                     MR. RISDAHL: No, Pippa, through the
36
     Chair, I don't. I'm not familiar with this area. And
37
     I have not heard anything about that so I'm sorry I
     can't help you. We could look into it and possibly
38
39
     someone else could give us the information thought.
40
     Where did you say you saw that Mike?
41
42
                     (No comments)
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are you still
45
     there Mike.
46
47
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Yeah, I'm here but
48
    muted.
49
50
```

0388 1 (Laughter) 2 3 MR. DOUVILLE: It says in a letter to 4 the U.S. Interior Secretary Deb Haaland, Attorney 5 General Taylor gave the required 100 day notice -- 180 day notice of the State's intent to file a quiet title 6 7 action to eliminate any Federal cloud on titled lands beneath the Sarkar Canoe route. So anyway it looks 9 like the State is moving towards trying to take over 10 the water there so. I can't -- you know, I don't know 11 it was sent to me by a friend. So it looks authentic 12 to me. It was written by somebody named Ben Downing 13 (ph). 14 15 MR. RISDAHL: Mr. Douville, through 16 the.... 17 18 MS. KENNER: Mr. Chair, this is Pippa. 19 20 MR. RISDAHL: Chair. This was 21 going -- since this letter went to Secretary Haaland, 22 she's the director of the Department of Interior --23 Secretary of Interior, I would definitely check with 24 OSM, maybe through Theo Matuskowitz or Sue Detwiler, if 25 anybody would have that information, they probably 26 would, or would be able to get it for you. 27 28 Thank you. 29 30 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you 31 Greg. Was somebody else chiming in. 32 33 (No comments) 34 35 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, well maybe 36 somebody will have some new information for you there 37 Mike. So back to the other submerged lands, I don't 38 know if there's any other questions or comments on 39 that. 40 41 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy. 42 43 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy. 44 45 MS. NEEDHAM: What I understand from 46 the agenda that this is just a briefing but then I 47 thought I heard in Mr. Kron's presentation that there 48 will be a comment period and I'm just wondering how 49 that falls within our meeting cycle or whether or not

0389 it does. 2 3 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks, 6 Cathy. Tom. 7 8 (No comments) 9 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Can you answer 11 the question about the comment period on this. 12 13 MR. KRON: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is 14 And I think maybe Greg would be a better one to 15 answer this question. My understanding is that there's going to be a document published in the Federal 16 17 Register shortly and I think Greg talked about that a 18 little bit, but following that there will be a public 19 comment period. So maybe, Greg, if you could jump in 20 here, please. Thanks. 21 MR. RISDAHL: Yeah, you bet, thank you, 22 23 Tom. And through the Chair, basically what Tom said is 24 accurate. We're still waiting to have that rulemaking 25 -- that proposed rule sent back to Alaska. It is still 26 going through the review process. I am not exactly 27 sure where it is. It's way up at the Washington office level through the Secretary's office with the USDA, but 28 29 it will eventually make its way back to our Regional 30 Forester here, Dave Schmid, and he will be the signator 31 on that document. After that takes place it would 32 quickly be published in the Federal Register and once 33 that happens the 60 day comment period would begin. 34 And that's why I said, as soon as we find that out we 35 will let you know. As soon as that happens. 36 37 Thank you. 38 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, well, I'm 39 40 just going to take it that this was kind of a brief of what is upcoming and as far as comment periods go, we 41 42 have already kind of made it clear that if a comment 43 period is announced that affects -- if it's something 44 that affects subsistence users, if it doesn't

we would probably make comments outside of that comment period and would expect that those comments would be accepted. So if this comes back to us in time to make 50

Council can meet and discuss it and make comments, that

necessarily fall within the time period when the

45

comments at our fall meeting, I guess we'll do so. But hopefully we'll have more information by then. So I'll just take this as a good head's up briefing and I think we know a lot more about what we're talking about now and maybe folks might want to do a little research on their own on some of these more specific places but, yeah, I think that kind of serves the purpose of this briefing.

10 So unless there's anything else we'll 11 move on.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. That went a little longer than I anticipated. We didn't get back to our proposals and that's going to take a little bit of time. That's kind of a regulatory process action item. So let's recess for lunch. When we come back at 1:00 o'clock we'll go right to the proposal process and then after that we'll -- we'll hear a presentation from Tlingit and Haida Central Council, and then after that we'll probably go back to our indigenous management work group final report, or letter. And, yeah, I think that'll -- and then we'll finish out any other agency reports, I believe, is what's left on the agenda.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: DeAnna, go ahead.

MS. PERRY: Yeah, I just wanted to remind you that we do have a time specific for the folks from ADF&G, 2:00 o'clock today, so if we can keep that in mind. And I wanted to let the Council know that I did send out their presentation. We were able to get that up on our website so I sent that out by email maybe an hour or so ago. So as you have your lunch if you want to make sure you have that available in front of you on your screen, if you're on the computer. We'll have it on the Teams, but for those folks who aren't on the videoconference, I just wanted to let them know that it's -- that presentation is waiting in your inbox.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.

 $\,$ MS. PERRY: And we will also have a draft of the C&T proposal ready to go after lunch to

```
0391
     show on the screen as well and that's also been sent to
    folks last night.
 2
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good,
 5
     thank you, DeAnna. Yeah, we should be able to work in
 6
     that 2:00 o'clock time certain, that shouldn't be a
 7
     problem. Okay, recess until 1:00 o'clock.
 8
 9
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, this is
10
    Frank.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
13
    Frank.
14
15
                     MR. WRIGHT: I have to excuse myself.
16
    I've been in Colorado visiting my granddaughters and
17
     I've been two days on this and I only got a day and a
18
    half left to go so, okay. Okay, I'm off.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, so you won't
21
    be with us after lunch?
22
23
                     MR. WRIGHT: No.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks for
26
     letting me know.
27
28
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you, bye.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank.
31
    Okay, we'll recess until 1:00 o'clock.
32
33
                     (Off record)
34
35
                     (On record)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: DeAnna, Frank has
38
     to leave us maybe you could run through the roll call
39
     and make sure we've got a quorum present.
40
41
                     MS. PERRY: Certainly I can do that.
42
43
                     Jim Slater.
44
45
                     MR. SLATER: Jim is here.
46
47
                     MS. PERRY: Bob Schroeder.
48
49
                     MR. SCHROEDER: DeAnna, I am here,
50
```

```
0392
 1
     thank you.
 2
 3
                     MS. PERRY: Albert Howard.
 4
 5
                     (No comments)
 6
 7
                     MS. PERRY: Patty Phillips.
 8
 9
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Patty Phillips is here.
10
11
                     MS. PERRY: Louie Wagner, Jr.
12
13
                     MR. WAGNER: Here.
14
15
                     MS. PERRY: Harvey Kitka.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     MS. PERRY: John Smith, III.
20
                     MR. SMITH: I'm here.
21
22
                     MR. KITKA: Here.
23
24
25
                     MS. PERRY: Okay, Harvey, I heard both
26
     you and John, thank you.
27
28
                     Cathy Needham.
29
30
                     MS. NEEDHAM: I'm here.
31
32
                     MS. PERRY: Ian Johnson.
33
34
                     MR. JOHNSON: I am here.
35
36
                     MS. PERRY: Cal Casipit.
37
                     MR. CASIPIT: I'm here.
38
39
40
                     MS. PERRY: Mike Douville.
41
42
                     MR. DOUVILLE: I am here.
43
44
                     MS. PERRY: And Albert Howard, did you
45
     join us.
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     MS. PERRY: Okay, Mr. Chair, it looks
50
```

```
0393
 1
     like you have 11 of your 13 members online, you do have
 2
     a quorum.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 5
     DeAnna. So I was wondering if somebody could tell me
 6
     how many proposals do we have before us here.
 7
     Fisheries proposals.
 8
 9
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.
12
13
                     MS. NEEDHAM: You'll have one.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So that's
16
     where we're going to start. Let's go right to that. I
     do want to remind everybody that we do -- we did tell
17
18
     the Alaska Department of Fish and Game that we will
19
    hear from them at 2:00 p.m., so if we're in the middle
20
     of something we may have to break away to hear from
21
    them but let's look at this proposal. I believe DeAnna
22
     said it was sent out as an email to folks and are you
23
     also going to be able to put it up on the Teams meeting
24
     screen, DeAnna.
25
26
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair, we'll have
27
     that up in just a moment.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So whoever
30
     needs to introduce this topic, this proposal, go ahead
31
     and it will require a motion and then deliberation. So
32
     go ahead.
33
34
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
35
36
                     MS. PERRY: Okay. I know that we.....
37
38
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair.
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I heard both Cathy
40
41
     and DeAnna. Cathy.
42
43
                     MS. NEEDHAM: I didn't mean to
     interrupt DeAnna, because if it was about procedural
44
     things, but I did volunteer being a Council member that
45
46
     was at last night's work group to give feedback that
47
     the group did last night to the rest of the Council.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Cathy. So I
50
```

think we're still waiting for DeAnna to get that up on Teams. And hopefully everybody can -- who doesn't have Teams can find the email for that proposal.

(Pause)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, there it is, it's up on the screen so go ahead -- oh, it went away. Yeah, go ahead and get us started, Cathy.

MS. NEEDHAM: All right, thank you, Mr. Chair. So yesterday there were a number of proposals that were suggested for the Federal fisheries regulations cycle. And Staff really was much better than I was at keeping track of the different concepts. So I believe we ended up discussing seven or nine, I can't quite remember. But last night we did meet and it was Patty, and Ian Johnson and myself from the Council, and I also did a follow up with Harvey because he couldn't make it just after our Council meeting and then we had Staff members such as DeAnna, Pippa, Rob, and Justin on there to help us with going through each of the concepts.

Without getting into or going through the full list of proposal ideas, we did spend time going through each thing that Council brought up -- each topic that Council members brought up in that list of seven to nine proposals and talked about each one and by and large most of them would make really good proposals to -- for the next Board of Fish cycle....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MS. NEEDHAM:but they were not under the purview of the Federal regulations. They were not necessarily regulations that could be presented through the Federal system.

 So I believe that Staff kept really good notes -- oh, Susan Oehlers was also there and she was like an amazing note keeper. So Staff kept really good notes and I think that those proposals will come back up as we talk about call -- the next round of call for Board of Fish proposals. So I wanted people who brought up those ideas to know that that has not been lost and we did spend some time on it.

That being said the one proposal that we did come up with that Staff helped craft for us was the proposal that you see before you. And it's on Teams, and again it was sent last night by email.

The proposal would be to change the existing C&T -- customary and traditional use determinations and in the top half of -- you can see what the existing regulation says, it's for Southeast Alaska, the Yakutat area, and then there's some specific areas -- also some specific species, and then for residents of Southeast Alaska. But it's not very inclusive, it's just for those areas -- like Section 1E, of the latitude Grant Island, Section 1F, Section 3A and 3B, and District 13. So that is what is currently in regulation.

 In our proposal, our proposed regulation would be to basically follow in line with what the Council has done for wildlife-based customary and traditional use determinations and that is to broaden out the C&T determination for shell -- all shellfish in our region in all districts for rural residents, for all rural residents in Southeast Alaska.

So that is the proposed regulatory change that you see up on the screen now, or on the second half of the first page. It strikes out the individual sort of C&T determinations and it makes it broader for all shellfish.

When we put in regulatory proposals, we always need to provide a justification for the proposal and if you'd like I can read that into the record and then we can play with it or what would you prefer Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead and read that into the record so that everybody knows what we're talking about and then we'll probably need a motion to adopt.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ NEEDHAM: Okay, great. So the justification for this proposal is that in 2010 the Secretary of.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - coughing by participant)

1 MS. NEEDHAM:the Interior asked 2 the Board to review and with Regional Advisory Council input, the customary and traditional use determination process and present recommendations for regulatory 5 changes. During the Southeast Alaska Council's review 6 in 2016 it requested, among other things, that the 7 Board adopt customary and traditional use determinations broadly. The Council requested the Board to, in the future, recognize customary and 9 10 traditional uses of all fish and wildlife in Southeast 11 Alaska that have been taken for food or other purposes, 12 including handicrafts, ceremonies and customary trade. 13 The Council said its recommendation to the Board in the 14 future would lead -- would tend to include residents of 15 all rural Southeast communities and areas and the three criteria in Section .804 of ANILCA was the regulatory 16 17 process the Board should use to allocate resources when 18 necessary and not customary and traditional use 19 determinations. The Council intended to submit 20 regulatory proposals to the Board requesting to broaden 21 the complex web of customary and tradition use 22 determinations that currently exist in Southeast 23 Alaska. The Board responded that the Southeast 24 Alaska's recommendation regarding customary and 25 traditional use determination aligned well with the 26 current process followed statewide in the Federal 27 Subsistence Management Program.

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

Since then, the Council has requested and the Board has adopted customary and traditional use determinations for all fish, deer, moose, brown bear and black bear that include all rural residents of Southeast Alaska. This has greatly simplified these determinations that were originally adopted when State regulations as a formation of the Federal Subsistence Management Program in 1992.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

These customary and traditional use determinations were adopted by the Federal Subsistence Board directly from the State of Alaska regulations at the beginning of the program in 1990, they are restrictive and do not include shellfish species that are the target of commercial fish.

43 44 45

46

All residents of Southeast Alaska should be Federally-qualified subsistence users of shellfish in Federal public waters.

47 48 49

So that's the justification that we --

```
0397
    that's the part that we got through last night that the
    work group worked with Staff on and I do want to add
    that I did follow up and talked with Harvey Kitka
    afterwards last night just to let him know what our
    work group did because he was, you know, specifically
    wanting to make sure that Mahknati Island specific
 7
    invertebrate species like qumboots and abalone would
    have a customary and traditional use determination
    around Mahknati Island since they do have Federal
10
    waters there. And I believe this proposal that we
11
    crafted does include that but when we get to
    deliberations I think it would be appropriate to make
12
13
    sure that he feels we captured his intent as well.
14
15
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
18
    Cathy. Before we proceed any further with this
19
    proposal I think we need to have a motion to put it on
20
    the floor for discussion.
21
22
                     (Pause)
23
24
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
25
     I move that we adopt this proposed -- this proposal.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal.
28
29
                     MS. PHILLIPS: This is Patty, I'll
30
     second it Mr. Chair.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
     Patty. Okay. It's open for discussion. Cathy, yeah,
33
34
     I don't know, it sounds like you still wanted to check
35
     with Harvey on this to see if it satisfied his concern.
36
     So Harvey are you there.
37
38
                     MR. KITKA: Mr. Chair, this is Harvey
39
    Kitka.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead,
                                                    Harvey.
42
43
                     MR. KITKA: Yeah, I'm pretty much
44
     satisfied with it. I think it's -- it's a good deal,
     it covers all of Southeast and all the rural
45
46
     communities and all qualified users. I think that is
47
    very important to all the communities concerned, I
48
     think it's important that they have a say in this if
49
     they could -- if we could put it in the books maybe
50
```

```
0398
 1
     then they could check in.
 2
 3
                     And then I have one other thing, I have
 4
    to leave at 1:30 today.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah,
 7
     that'll probably give you time to let us know your
     other issue there before you go, so go ahead.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Was there another
13
     thing you wanted to bring up, Harvey before you left.
14
15
                     MR. KITKA: Mr. Chair, Harvey.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey.
18
19
                     MR. KITKA: I don't really have
20
     anything else to bring up at this time.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
23
24
                     MR. KITKA: Hopefully I can make it
25
    back by 3:00 o'clock, if you guys are still going.
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, okay, very
27
28
     good. Thank you, Harvey. So other Council members,
29
     either support or do you see some problem with this
30
    proposal go ahead and speak up.
31
32
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal.
35
36
                     MR. CASIPIT: I support this proposal
37
     as well. There's some minor edits here that I think is
38
     needed. Basically for the Southeast Alaska -- it reads
39
     Southeastern Alaska Yakutat areas, so that's two
40
     separate areas in both State and Federal regulations,
41
     so where we go -- where it says residents of the
42
     Southeast Alaska region, we probably should say
43
    residents of the Southeast Alaska and Yakutat areas.
44
     So I think this is a both -- it should say Southeastern
45
     Alaska and the Yakutat management areas, and hopefully
46
     Staff can just fix that up before it goes in on that
47
     one.
48
49
                     And then the only other little minor
```

0399 change would be the last one that says all rural residents of Southeast Alaska be Federally-qualified subsistence users, I think that's what we want to say not just -- because there are residents of Southeast 5 Alaska that are non-rural, or are we saying all -- are 6 we saying that, and that's it. 7 8 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I think 9 those are a couple little details that can certainly be 10 amended before this proposal is submitted. Good catch, 11 Cal. 12 13 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, I was hoping 14 we wouldn't have to go through the formal process of 15 amending all those little changes, I would hope that 16 Staff would fix that up before it's sent in is all. 17 I'm not proposing we go through the formal amendment 18 process. 19 20 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure. I think 21 that's the way the process works, just get it on the 22 record. Yeah, by the time these proposals get 23 submitted they should be polished up and all the 24 wording made correct so just get it on the record. 25 26 Anybody else. 27 28 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, I propose..... 29 30 MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, this is Patty 31 Phillips. 32 33 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Patty, go ahead. 34 35 MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah, so, you know, 36 under the justification it talks about how the Federal 37 process, like adopted, what was written in State -- you 38 know the State rules for subsistence and customary and 39 traditional, and, you know, I know we're under dual management now, Federal and State, and that in order to 40 41 submit.... 42 43 (Teleconference interference -44 participants not muted - coughing by participant) 45 46 MS. PHILLIPS:proposals under --47 for much of the shellfish for our Southeast region that

we have to go to the Board of Fish but one of the

justifications that we could provide in our -- when we

48

49

do submit proposals for shellfish, you know, is that we do recognize it is a customary and traditional resource for rural residents of Southeast. If there ever comes a time when the State -- if the State takes over subsistence, which I doubt will be, but maybe they would take over all the regulations that we put into place.

Anyways, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, Patty. I agree it's important to have that on the books. And just kind of essentially an oversight on our part when we were changing all our customary and traditional use determinations to make them broader that we -- I guess we overlooked the shellfish and it's certainly justifiable that we are following our same strategy with shellfish that we do with the rest of the fish so. So other comments on the proposal.

MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal. That discussion just brought to mind something else that we probably could include in the justification is that there are small areas of marine waters -- or submerged lands under our juris -- under the Federal jurisdiction and even though those are small areas they may be important to people. Probably important to people in the past, and maybe into the future, you know, it's -- it's important that we at least have the C&T in place that allows it.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep. Good point, Cal, I would agree with that. I think it's important that even these small areas are involved.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else.

REPORTER: I'm going to request that everybody try to make sure that their phones are muted if they're not speaking because I'm getting a lot of background noise. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. And at some point here when the Council is ready we'll have to have a vote to -- not adopt this proposal, but to submit this proposal I guess would be the proper term.

```
0401
 1
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Call for the question
 2
     Mr. Chair, this is Patty.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 5
     Patty. So the motion is to submit this proposal to the
 6
     Subsistence Board changing the customary and
 7
     traditional use determination for shellfish in all
     waters of Southeast Alaska and Yakutat area.
 9
10
                     So, DeAnna, could you do a roll call
11
     vote on this, I think that's probably the best way to
12
     go.
13
14
                     MS. PERRY: Sure. That way we can have
15
     a clear record and make sure we still have a quorum.
16
17
                     Robert Schroeder.
18
19
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yes.
20
21
                     MS. PERRY: Albert Howard.
22
23
                     MR. HOWARD: Yes.
24
25
                     MS. PERRY: Patty Phillips.
26
27
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
28
29
                     MS. PERRY: Albert was that you?
30
31
                     MR. HOWARD: It's Albert voting yes.
32
33
                     MS. PERRY: Okay, thank you, Albert.
34
     And, Patty, I did hear you as well, a yea vote.
35
36
                     Louie Wagner, Jr.
37
38
                     MR. WAGNER: Yes. Here.
39
40
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you.
41
42
                     John Smith, III.
43
44
                     MR. SMITH: Yes.
45
46
                     MS. PERRY: Cathy Needham.
47
48
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Yes.
49
```

```
0402
 1
                     MS. PERRY: Ian Johnson.
 2
 3
                     MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
 4
 5
                     MS. PERRY: Cal Casipit.
 6
 7
                     MR. CASIPIT: Yes.
 8
 9
                     MS. PERRY: Mike Douville.
10
11
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Yes.
12
13
                     MS. PERRY: Jim Slater.
14
15
                     MR. SLATER: Yes.
16
17
                     MS. PERRY: Harvey and Frank are out at
18
     the moment.
19
20
                     Don Hernandez.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.
23
24
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This
25
    motion passes 11 to 0 with two absent.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
28
     DeAnna. And that concludes our new business on the
29
     agenda. Next up is agency reports and first agency
     we'd like to hear from is tribal governments.....
30
31
32
                     (Teleconference interference -
33
    participants not muted)
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: .....and I was
36
     hoping that Ray Paddock and Marco Banda from
37
    Central....
38
39
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....Council of
42
    Tlingit and Haida will be available.
43
44
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
47
     DeAnna.
48
49
                     MS. PERRY: I'm sorry. I was trying to
50
```

```
0403
     get your attention. There was some discussion last
     night as the work group was moving through the fish
    proposals and there was further discussion during the
    day to day regarding Neva Lake. I don't know if the
    Council would like to entertain a brief presentation on
    that information from Jake, but since we are in a
 6
 7
    fisheries cycle I just wanted to make sure that that
    offer was out there. We've just been exchanging
 9
     information last night and up until just recently so I
10
    would like to offer that before you move on to agency
11
     reports and apology to Central Council for getting in
12
     there too quick.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Are Ray
15
     Paddock and Marco Banda, are they available now?
16
17
                     MR. PADDOCK: Hi, Mr. Chair, we are
18
    here.
19
20
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair.
21
22
                     MR. PADDOCK: And have no problem
23
     waiting.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
26
     Ray. So, yeah, we'll get to you very shortly, go ahead,
27
     Jake, I guess, has something for us.
28
29
                     MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, thank you, Mr.
30
     Chair, can you hear me okay, am I unmuted.
31
```

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, you are, go ahead.

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Okay, great, thanks. Yeah, hey, I just wanted to share with the Council some things that have just been happening this morning that -- I've been going back and forth with Staff at Fish and Game about. It kind of came from -- you know, as we know I discussed yesterday the fact that the reduced harvest limits at Neva Lake have been pretty discouraging to subsistence use there and that as we've seen through our monitoring project there that escapements, returns to, you know, in that stock have improved that perhaps it would be appropriate to, you know, increase subsistence use by increasing those limits.

My understanding had been that Fish and

```
0404
 1
    Game could do that administratively so -- and without
    having to go through the entire Board of Fish process
 2
    and so I contacted Dave Harris, he's the area
    management biologist here in Juneau who would have, you
 5
    know, management over that system and asked him about
 6
    the possibility of that and he -- he replied, no, it
 7
     would take a Board of Fish process and, which of course
 8
     just wrapped up so, you know, it'd be -- we'd have to
     go through a whole 'nother cycle to do that. However,
 9
10
     I was reasonably sure that there had been delegation of
11
     authority by the Board so the Department could do that
12
     without having to go through the Board process.
13
     did some digging and I did find, in fact, a memo from
14
    the Board of Fish to the Department delegating
15
     authority to set subsistence harvest limits in the
     Southeast Alaska area. So, in fact, they can change
16
17
     those without having to go through the entire Board of
18
     Fish process since that authority was delegated.
19
20
                     And he was unaware of that actually and
21
    had to....
22
23
                     (Teleconference interference -
24
     participants not muted)
25
26
                     MR. MUSSLEWHITE: ....check with his
27
     higher ups and so we went back and forth and back and
28
     forth all morning and basically ended up hammering out
29
     a process, and I think it's actually a pretty exciting
30
     development honestly because it means that we have --
31
     we, being the Council and the general public, in fact,
32
     have a fairly quick fast track way to ask for changes
33
     to the State harvest limits in all the various
34
     subsistence fisheries, so, Neva, for example.
35
36
                     (Teleconference interference -
37
     participants not muted)
38
39
                     MS. KENNER: Hey.
40
41
                     MR. MUSSLEWHITE: .....And then.....
42
43
                     MS. KENNER: Hey, Jake.....
```

48
49
MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah.
50

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah.

MS. KENNER:this is Pippa.

44 45

```
0405
 1
                     MS. KENNER: Yeah, well, you might not
    be aware of this, but, yes, the -- the Department of
 2
     Fish and Game used to.....
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Excuse me.
 6
 7
                     MS. KENNER: ....this.....
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is that -- is that
10
     Pippa talking now?
11
12
                     MS. KENNER: ....regularly. Yeah.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay, Pippa,
15
     go ahead.
16
17
                     MS. KENNER: The Department -- the
18
     Department of Fish and Game used to do harvest limits
19
     for fish administratively and you might not be aware of
20
     this or maybe the people you were talking to weren't
21
    but there was a court case and, of course, the court
22
    told the Alaska Department of Fish and Game that these
23
    regulations had to go through a regulatory process.
24
    They couldn't keep changing them on the books.
25
    However, now that I'm saying it, I realize that the
26
    exception to that is if they change it once. So it
27
    must be that they can change it in-season but then it
28
    has to go through the regulatory process.
29
30
                     I'm sorry for interrupting, go ahead.
31
32
                     MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, you're --
33
     you're exactly right, Pippa, that was the Estrada case,
     I think, is what you're referring to. So the Board of
34
35
     Fish, in response to that, basically delegated
36
     authority, issued a memo delegating authority to the
37
     Department and they basically had to follow the
38
    Administrative Procedures Act in doing so, and I think
39
     that's what the Estrada case was about, is that they
40
    had -- by leaving it up to the managers, they had not
41
     followed their own administrative procedures.
42
43
                     MS. KENNER: Uh-huh.
44
45
                     MR. MUSSLEWHITE: So it was
46
    housekeeping for them to issue this memo delegating
47
     authority. So now it's sort of back to where it was,
48
     kind of, in that, the Commissioner and the Department
```

have the ability in a list.....

49

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. MUSSLEWHITE:fisheries that they, you know, delegated to set permits. Which, you know, honestly the area management biologist wasn't even aware that that was the case. So I think they've just been kind of on autopilot ever since. So I brought that to their attention and then we basically hammered out a potential process for doing so. Which — and I'll just kind of summarize it and kind of read this email.

He says it sounds like you can make a mana -- a request -- this is an email from Dave Harris, sort of back to me, as we were discussing this -- it sounds like you can make a request to the management staff and if we're fine with it we then pass it along to the regulation folks who set up public notice and comment. So what he's referring to there is they would need like a 45 day public notice and comment period under the Administrative Procedures Act, so quite a bit easier than the entire Board of Fish cycle.

If you're unhappy with our response, like we said no for some reason you could then go to the Commissioner and ask him, or her. Having not gone down this road before I'm not sure exactly how it will play out. I think the best way, in my opinion, would be to send us, the area managers, a request of whatever you think the limit should be, with justification, we can review it, if it seems reasonable move it along to the next step. We would have to spend some time looking at the data and such.

So just to summarize the process, I guess, that we, you know, kind of hammered out, is since the -- you know the Council or, you know, anybody would send a request in, support it with data, kind of hammer out something with the area managers based on that data or appeal it to the Commissioner if they didn't like it and we still believed in it, come to some sort of agreement there, it would go through that public comment process and then get included in regulations. So that is an incredibly smoother fast-tracked to -- than the Board of Fish process and I think represents an extremely significant opportunity for the Council to have a very direct input into harvest limits. And the nice thing is since the

Federal harvest limits are mirror the State harvest limits it would be sort of a two-'fer in that we could change the Federal regs by de facto at the same time.

So I thought -- I think that's very promising.

I guess I would make just a couple of suggestions to the Council. Is that, perhaps if folks think that Neva is a good test case for this, then we could work through that process with Neva to increase the harvest limits there and sort of test the waters so to speak and, you know, hammer that out, see how it went because I'm sure, since it's sort of a novel process at this point, you know, there would be some bugs to work out so I think that would perhaps consist of a letter written by the Council with the assistance of Staff requesting that and we could provide supporting data and such. And then I would suggest that perhaps the Council would make a review of all the State permit harvest limits a part of the fisheries cycle for the Council so that then the Council could kind of have a regular cycle for providing input to all -- you know all of those harvest limits and such.

So that's considerably a much faster track than the Board of Fish cycle and I think it's an incredible opportunity to manage adaptively and for the Council to have like way more input into those harvest limits which are probably the biggest thing affecting subsistence fishermen right now, way more input than perhaps they do under the Board of Fish cycle.

Yeah, so I think that's where I am right now. I'd be happy to take any questions. I hope all of that was clear.

Yeah.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I don't want to get into that right now. I'll let Council think about that. Jake, if you could come back to us maybe at the end of agency reports. It sounds like if the Council is going to make a request we need to know what we're requesting. If you could maybe put something together and come back, like I say, at the end of agency reports before we adjourn here and you can answer questions then. Does that sound good?

```
0408
 1
                     MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yes, Mr. Chair, that
 2
     sounds good to me. I can try to put together a quick
     draft letter of request, perhaps with some supporting
 4
     information.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
 7
    Otherwise....
 8
 9
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chair.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....we will....
12
13
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chair, I have a
14
     question.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Could we hold --
17
     we're going to hold all those questions. Jake will be
18
    back with us, keep them in mind, you know, I have
19
     somebody waiting here so I want to move on with our
20
     tribal reports here. So I don't want to keep them
    waiting any longer. So Ray Paddock and Mark Banda from
21
    Central Council of Tlingit and Haida, you're up, go
22
23
     ahead.
24
25
                     MR. PADDOCK: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
26
     thank you to the Southeast Subsistence RAC for giving
27
     us some time to talk to you all about our Guardians
28
     Program. It's a really exciting project we've got
29
     going on in concept that we're working to develop here
30
     and we're really happy that you guys invited us to chat
31
     about this. With that I'll introduce myself very
32
     quickly and then let Marco introduce himself.
33
34
                     My name is Raymond Paddock. I'm the
35
    Environmental Manager for the Central Council Tlingit
36
     and Haida in the Natives Lands and Resources Division.
37
     Essentially that's just a fancy term for writing and
38
    managing environmental grants.
39
40
                     With that, Marco.
41
42
                     MR. BANDA: Hi, good afternoon. Thank
43
     you, Mr. Chair. Thank you Council for having us on.
44
     My name is Marco Banda. I am the Regional Resource
45
     Specialist here at Central Council Tlingit in the
46
    Native Lands and Resources Department. I'm
47
     specifically working on the developing of the Guardians
```

49 50 Network.

MR. PADDOCK: And really quickly we do have a powerpoint but we're not going to be presenting it right now so sometime after or sometime during this presentation we'll send out the powerpoint either to DeAnna -- or -- and have that sent out to you guys or if you guys are on the email list we'll be able to get that to you as well.

And so just to start off really quickly, this Guardians concept -- this Guardians Program that we're working to develop is it's a concept that's being modeled after First Nations in Canada. We first saw it in British Columbia where First Nations essentially working on co-management and co-governance of their environmental and natural resources in their home areas, their traditional lands. And this is something we're looking to do here.

As we know Alaska is different in regards to policy-wise for tribal lands and it's not recognized here versus say the rest of the United States or even in Canada so we have a different battle -- I don't want to say battle, a different approach to developing this concept, if you will.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$ with that I can let Marco kind of go on with it as well.

MR. BANDA: Thank you, Ray. Yeah, as Ray was saying this developing network, you know, at the heart of it is aimed at the co-management, cogovernance aspect of -- of the rights here of -- Alaska Native rights and subsistence and beyond in resources. So just to give you kind of, in a nutshell of what we're doing and what we're doing and what our vision is and our mission here is at the heart of it, the Indigenous Guardian Network is a collaboration of Southeast Alaska Native tribes, communities, tribal governments, Federal government agencies as well as environmental NGOs all working in collaboration of -and this is really based on the acknowledgement for the need for the incorporation of traditional knowledge by the scientific community and we see this -- and we have been seeing this in a lot of other portions of this country and in the world, that call and that need for the traditional knowledge to be incorporated.

So our purpose here for the Indigenous Guardian Network is to support the Alaska Native

communities and expressing that inherent sovereignty by applying that traditional knowledge to the sustainable ways of life in order to protect, restore, manage the homelands and waters. In this support we look to enhance tribal capacity. And like I said before in the co-management and co-governance Alaska Native homelands and waters. The way we do this is we....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. BANDA:traditional knowledge by enriching intertribal collaborations as well as other partnerships with Forest Service and other environmental groups. Our phases in this work have been divided into three separate phases that we're going to tackle this with.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. BANDA: First phase is going to be — is the phase that we're now coming — we're now coming in to the second phase and that is building of — of coalitions and we are building these coalitions to identify these shared priorities that each one of the communities has but in the same regard understanding how each of the communities is going to highlight and be specific about a certain — a certain need, the priorities will be different. So this network aims to gather all that information to bring everybody to the table as one so they can express their needs individually as communities so we can then address those in a collaborative way.

The second phase of this operation is the phase that we're in now. We're beginning to do the organization of Guardians teams so that we can implement a strategy to take care of those individual properties as identified.

And further on in the third phase of our work, it's going to be kind of a bringing back in, let's get back around together, collaborate once again, come back to the table, determine the properties that have been addressed, where are the gaps, where can we further, you know, work to enrich tribal communities. And so we're kind of taking most of these phases -- you

know we'll take four areas of focus within those phases.

Within those phases we're going to be looking at adaptation planning, shared stewardship, heritage and subsistence.

That, kind of in a nutshell, is what we have and our overarching vision is very, very simple.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BANDA: It's simply to restore balance.

MR. PADDOCK: And to add to that, too. So as Marco mentioned, you know, we -- the tribes, ourselves, are developing our scientific capacity to be able to ensure that we're also implementing that traditional knowledge as well. And by no means does this program take away the sovereignty of any tribe or municipality or government that partakes in the Guardians Program, but we believe it's there to enhance partnership and share resources.

Shoot, I'm trying to think of what else I wanted to say in that regard, I kind of just lost that.

But....

MR. BANDA: Yeah, I just -- if I could just kind of further that a little bit. I feel like when we first -- when I was first brought in on this network, it was very -- it was very clear and I was in total 100 percent agreement that this Indigenous Guardians Network be the voice of all communities, from the tribal government level down to the -- to the community member, to the person out on the boat, to the person picking berries, the person that's been on the land all this time. So that is at the core of what the Network wishes to accomplish here.

You know having this platform here, you know, we'd like to extend an open invitation to those who want to come together, you know, in a collaborative strength but, you know, more so in healing. It does begin with coming back to the land and understanding

and re -- just kind of going forward with maintaining, you know, and restoring and being on the land. So, yeah.

4 5 6

7

8

2

MR. PADDOCK: So this concept, for those who may not be grasping this just yet, a thought -- you know, I can kind of give you guys an idea of what, you know, something that it may look like, or something that's happening.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

I'll just say right now the Hoonah Forest Partnership, or -- and the Kake Forest Partnership are examples of Guardian Programs where they're actually doing co-management on Forest Service lands. We want to extend this out not just on Forest Service, but we'd love to see this in other Federal government agencies, you know, and one idea is, of course, the Hoonah Indian Association being able to manage -- co-management and co-governance, let's say, Glacier Bay, which is ran by the National Park system -- National Park Service, you know, these are just ideas of what this concept looks like. And then, of course, some day we hope to get the State involved. But as we're developing our capacity on the scientific aspect, whenever governments don't want to essentially knowledge the work that's being done, we may not even be able to give -- we'll have that -- we'll have that capacity to do so. And, hopefully, you know, as -- as we're pushing this program through it still gives them an opportunity to join in.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

And for those who are wondering how this is going to work, you know, one thing I can say tribes are only as sovereign in how much money they have and so while many tribes in Alaska operate off dollars, grant funding through, be it, the Federal government, the State or foundational grants, we are creating where we can put dollars in -- an endowment where we have dollars to essentially have our own sovereign projects to do this right now. Right now we're in collaboration with a group called the SeaCoast Group, which consists of Sealaska, the Nature Conservancy, the Forest Service, the Southeast Sustainable Partnership and several other NGOs throughout Southeast Alaska and tribes. And this group, the SeaCoast Group, right now we have \$20 million in this endowment and thanks to Sealaska for their portion in giving 10 million and thanks to the Nature Conservancy for adding 7 million and then

another 3 million from other foundations. But we want to get this up to 40 million, and then up to 100 million and into perpetuity, if you will. So we're not necessarily relying on the soft dollars of grants from the Feds, the State or foundations, but it actually allows us to empower ourselves in that regard.

So, you know, one project we'll be looking at, of course, again, is subsistence, fish and moving into these realms and, of course, that kind of gives the power back to the communities as Marco....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. PADDOCK:was saying. So Marco, is there anything else to add?

MR. BANDA: I can't think of anything at the moment, but, thank you.

MR. PADDOCK: So with that, I guess, you know, we'd love to open it up for questions, comments. I know there might be some comments out there like how in the heck is this going to work but we have a lot of people that are helping really push this together so.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, you certainly have generated a lot of questions and I'll give the Council members a chance to formulate some questions. Whenever anybody's ready, a lot to think about here.

MR. PADDOCK: While you guys are thinking right now, we're -- as Marco mentioned, these phases we're in, Covid's kind of held us back a little bit in being able to do our -- finish our Phase I essentially in a proper manner. Our Phase I was supposed to consist of being able to go to each community in Southeast Alaska and invite these tribes to essentially be a part of this Guardian development and so we're -- while we are, again, in this developmental piece part, nothing's laid out, priority-wise, we're not sending actionable items just yet to address these goals but we're looking to support this developmental piece so we're still going to be continuing -- part of this Phase I aspect of inviting, we've talked to several tribes via Zoom, Teams, and

```
0414
 1
     phone, but the real connection is going to be made when
     we are able to get back into traveling and being able
 2
     to go to these -- we still want these communities to be
 4
     involved in this planning.....
 5
 6
                     (Teleconference interference -
 7
    participants not muted)
 8
 9
                     MR. PADDOCK:
                                   ....participating phase
10
     and this developmental piece, if you will.
11
12
                     (Teleconference interference -
13
    participants not muted)
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do we have
16
     questions yet or comments.
17
18
                     (Teleconference interference -
19
    participants not muted)
20
21
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, Don, can you read
22
    me.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
25
     Bob.
26
27
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, well, thanks very
28
    much for the two of you coming and presenting to us and
29
     also for inviting us, some of the SERAC indigenous
30
    management working group to attend Guardian meetings.
31
     That's been very.....
32
33
                     (Teleconference interference -
34
    participants not muted)
35
36
                     MR. SCHROEDER:
                                    ....useful. And I
37
     really appreciate Ray and sometimes Marco attended some
38
     of our working meetings on this concept of indigenous
39
     management and co-management. And later on in our
40
     meeting we are preparing and working on a state -- a
41
    policy statement for SERAC on this issue and with some
42
    recommendations that may go to the Federal agencies
43
    under our purview.
44
45
                     So that's a -- so that's a fairly
46
    positive thing there.
47
48
                     I would like if you could stay on for
49
     some of that discussion that would be useful to us.
50
```

Also, before you finish up, I'd like to know if there are particular supports that the Council could provide to you guys.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. SCHROEDER:in your work and if there's something that you'd like us to consider doing, this would be a good opportunity to find out what it is before you close up today. But, anyways, I am very excited about this stuff.

And I'd just second, just from my readings, just what Ray and Marco were saying about how this may be kind of new territory in Alaska but it's become something of almost a standard operating procedure in many other parts of the world, where incorporation of Native entities and land and resource management....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. SCHROEDER:activities are way further along, specifically in much of Canada and also interestingly enough I know there are very similar projects in Australia and elsewhere. So those are some comments at this moment.

Thank you.

MR. PADDOCK: Thank you, Bob. Yeah, and to add to that really quickly. Thank you for bringing up the First Nations in Canada and, of course, the Australians and New Zealand for their Ranger Programs, which is essentially kind of the same model. But this is something, again, that the First Nations have been looking to build, and have been building, actually, over the past 20-plus years or so and it's so successful that actually the Canadian Federal government has given First Nations in Canada over 500plus million dollars to continue doing their Guardians Program. So, yeah, seeing the success over there really really inspires us to be able to do something in a similar fashion. Again, though, we want to be able to do on a sovereign aspect but having that Federal partnership would be huge, not just the Federal part, but the State part and we're really hoping that that

can happen. We also see beyond the Federal and State, we also see this as, you know, municipalities, communities really being a part of this program and so with -- with that said, Bob, you know, how to be a part of this, I think -- we -- we hold these monthly get togethers and we're creating these -- right now we have an interim core group and this core group is kind of just pushing the priorities forward right now. But with that said, again, this interim core group, once we get a bigger, larger group established consisting of the tribes and other participants, we start seeing a governance structure -- we don't know what that governance structure will look like yet, that's the fun part of the developing piece of it, but we could see a governance structure where, you know, it takes, you know, say Tlingit and Haida or someone else out in the interim core group out to allow a board to be developed or not such a colonized aspect of it but we can envisionize it -- essentially just -- just that thought of, man, having a governance structure where we could have a board, if you will, to push these priorities forward into the Guardians Program.

So it's really still -- again, that elemental piece, but this is what it's going to take to really push those things forward so having -- having someone from the Southeast RAC sit in on these monthly calls or getting in touch with us and meeting with the core group is a great place to start and -- and participation for you guys.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Ray. Thank you, Bob. Any other Council members want to ask a question or make a comment.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ HOWARD}\colon$\operatorname{Mr.\ Chairman},$$ this is Albert.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think this is a great idea and I have a lot of ideas that you guys could consider doing but that could lead to a conversation of talking the rest of the afternoon.

MR. PADDOCK: For sure.

MR. HOWARD: But I'm available whenever if you guys need anything from Angoon even if it's just

```
0417
    brainstorming.
 2
 3
                     MR. PADDOCK: We will Albert, for sure.
 4
 5
                     MR. HOWARD: One of the ideas.....
 6
 7
                     MR. PADDOCK: For sure.
 8
 9
                     MR. HOWARD: One of the ideas I had was
10
     needing DNA sampling of all the king salmon caught
11
     within the Angoon area to see if we are, in fact,
12
     catching British Columbia king salmon and if not we can
13
     tell them to kick rocks and quit closing kings on us.
14
     So that's one idea. I have several.
15
16
                     But I'm sure when you come to Angoon,
17
    I'll be a part of that.
18
19
                     Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert.
22
     Anybody else on the Council want to make a question or
23
     a comment.
24
25
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez, this
26
     is Patty Phillips.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty.
29
30
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
31
    And, thank you Ray and Marco. So have you -- has the
32
     tribe consulted or met with the Regional Forester about
33
     this Guardians Program and will it take a change in
34
     regulations in order -- are you far enough along to be
35
     able to have a proposal to submit for regulation change
36
     if you have to and do you have a strategic plan in
37
    place. I mean how -- I know you say that this is
38
     successfully going on in Canada so, you know, you don't
39
    have to reinvent the wheel it sounds like, but we have
40
     within....
41
42
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Right.
43
44
                     MS. PHILLIPS: ....our region is U.S.
     Forest Service, Alaska Department of Fish and Game,
45
46
     U.S. Coast Guard, so what -- what are you looking at
     here? Thank you.
47
48
49
                     MR. PADDOCK: Hi, Patty. So right now
50
```

the Regional Forester is on board and is supporting this. And so with that support it has allowed us to get this grant that Marco is talking about with -- I won't even call it a grant, a cooperative agreement, if you will, and to essentially build this program out. So the Forest Service is essentially a part of this core group and working directly with Marco and myself, and the Tlingit and Haida in this developmental piece. And Regional Forester Dave Schmid is 100 percent behind this with having some Staff from his office working with us. It used to be Melinda Burke-Hernandez..... (Teleconference interference -participants not muted - participant coughing)

MR. PADDOCK:and now it's Kevin Hood and Michael Goldstein (ph) on that side and then on the other side, the Tongass, I believe it's the Tongass, Jennifer Handlin, who is new to the Forest

Service is going to be working with us as well.

As far as dealing with policy and regulations at this point, nothing solid yet, but this is why we have that Federal government partnership and we want to extend it out, not to just the other land owners, like the Forest Service, but we want to extend this out to, you know, the National Park Service and other entities within the region that have, if you will, and I hate saying this word, a stakeholdership, if you will. So nothing solid on that ground yet but we're moving forward with that and I hope that, you know, that it leads to that and that's why we have that Federal government partner involved in this developmental piece.

MS. PHILLIPS: Very good, thank you.

MR. PADDOCK: Of course, tribal -- yeah, of course with tribal leadership really pushing the priorities along, if you will.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Patty. Any other Council members with a question or comment.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, John Smith.

48 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, John.

```
0419
 1
                     MR. SMITH: Thank you, Ray, Marco.
 2
     It's good to hear your voice. (In Tlingit)
 4
                     MR. PADDOCK: Thank you, brother.
 5
 6
                     MR. SMITH: Friend. Family. Yeah, a
 7
    question on the -- I really like the partnership and
     definitely want to connect on visiting with your team.
 9
     I've come to your house with Darren Snyder, University
10
    of Fairbanks, you know, we're all in on supporting the
11
    team and diving in, even to the supporting of the --
12
    the Headstart Program right next to your house, too,
13
    see the new boxes and the new.....
14
15
                     MR. PADDOCK: Right.
16
17
                     MR. SMITH: .....planters there and the
18
    greenhouse. I just love it. And I really thank -- I
19
     know you guys were reaching out to Angoon also this --
20
     you know, and other things with supporting the
21
     communities, other communities with greenhouses and
    other resources to be sustainable.....
22
23
24
                     MR. PADDOCK: Right.
25
26
                     MR. SMITH: .....and do things on their
27
     own. I really appreciate that. A lot of good things
     are going very well with partnerships. And it's --
28
29
    makes it a lot stronger and so I really appreciate you
30
    doing that.
31
32
                     MR. PADDOCK: Gunalcheesh.
33
34
                     MR. SMITH: I know that one of the big
35
     things is encouraging the push like you guys are doing,
36
     is I encourage.....
37
38
                     (Teleconference interference -
39
    participants not muted)
40
                     MR. SMITH: .....a climate type energy
41
42
    plan, I don't know.....
43
44
                     (Teleconference interference -
45
    participants not muted)
46
47
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: You come back in
48
     the house.
49
50
```

```
0420
 1
                     (Teleconference interference -
 2
    participants not muted)
 3
 4
                     REPORTER: I'm sorry to interrupt.
 5
     We're going to need everybody to put their phones on
 6
     mute because I can't hear him speaking.
                                             Thank you.
 7
 8
                     MR. PADDOCK: Go ahead, John, please.
 9
10
                     MR. SMITH: So just -- yeah.....
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Somebody needs to
13
     mute their phone with all this background noise.
14
15
                     MR. SMITH: Thank you. Thank you.
16
17
                     MR. PADDOCK: Yeah, I think -- yeah,
18
     thank you, I think I got most of that.
19
20
                     MR. SMITH: I'm still speaking, if I
21
     can finish.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead,
24
     John.
25
26
                     (Teleconference interference -
27
    participants not muted)
28
29
                     MR. SMITH: Okay. It's just checking
30
     in to see if the team -- your team has pushed to
31
     climate energy plan and setting a goal for the next few
32
     years and even advocating to connect with the Juneau
33
     Commission of Sustainability, and -- and visiting in on
34
     their meetings. There are a lot of good things going
35
     there, too. I know I seen your reps when I was part of
36
     the team that came to visit, I see a real need for
37
     visiting each other very often and knowing what's going
38
     on and knowing that we all don't have money and these
39
     resources that each entity can support and help each
     other. I really like that.
40
41
42
                     (In Tlingit) All hands on.
43
44
                     I appreciate that.
45
46
                     Thank you. Thank you.
47
48
                     MR. PADDOCK: Gunalcheesh John. So,
49
     yes, we are implementing a climate aspect of things
50
```

and, of course, the tribe right now, you may have not known, the tribe is looking to move into somewhat of a green energy sector, if you will, and essentially working across the region with entities that are making that push. Our department at Tlingit and Haida has developed a climate change adaptation plan based off of cultural issues but we are now expanding on that adaptation plan to add social and economic impacts and of course when you talk about social and economic impacts, you're talking about how climate can affect our economy here, or social aspect. And we, of course, you know, the tribe's going to be looking to enhance that, if you will, with -- through the adaptation plan. And we've actually been able to share the adaptation plan with several tribes around Southeast. We've created a template that the tribes have been able to use and that template, of course, is based -- you know, they could use it for their -- they could use it for their community-based, the issues that they see within their community. Right now the current plan we have is based off of regional issues. But we've given that template to essentially empower the tribes around us and hopefully use that aspect of it to hopefully collaborate some more, if you will.

And as far as the food security stuff, and thank you for pointing it out, you know, this is something also we want to add in the Guardians Program. It's something we're doing already, are individual aspect of the tribe is developing out our food sovereignty program. And, yeah, we have a greenhouse that we've just -- we got established last summer and we're using this current greenhouse right now as a learning tool for ourselves, to train ourselves and hopefully use that to start training the other tribes and we hope to do this through the Guardians as well as tribal -- tribal conservation district through the USDA as well.

 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Ray. I'm going to ask for maybe one more opportunity for a Council member who hasn't had a chance to ask a question or a comment, but I do want to allow the Fish and Game Department to give their presentation here. They're scheduled for 2:00 o'clock. So any other Council member who hasn't already been able to ask a question or a comment.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ian, go ahead. MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, hey, Ray and Marco, thanks for the presentation. One of the things we've been discussing in the background a little bit is the -- just the co-management, more for communities that don't have tribal entities and so I would -- to me, can you talk a little bit about what has been done from indigenous perspective to approach communities without tribes, if anything, I'm not sure. MR. PADDOCK: Well, I think that's something we're looking to design upon right now, of course, through the, you know, participating -- we want to be able to, again, I think I've mentioned that we want to bring in municipalities and other communities especially where tribal members are. I think Marco may have mentioned this, too, but we want essentially the power to lie within the voices of the community, the residents of Southeast Alaska to bring forward those issues. And so we still really haven't gotten that far yet but of course, you know, that's something we're hoping to build on. And with you guys at Hoonah Indian Association, I think it's a big step in helping us push that forward too. So it's still in development, if you will. I hope that answers your question, Ian. MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, no, thanks Ray, that's perfect. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any.... MR. PADDOCK: One more thing -- one more thing really quickly, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Ray.

MR. PADDOCK: I just wanted to give acknowledgement also to Cathy Needham, who is one of the forefronts of putting -- you know getting this conversation piece started on Guardians. Her and Bob Christensen were big parts of it at first, you know. And, you know, I was really skeptical hearing it at first and I didn't think it could happen in Alaska, especially based on the policy aspect of things but,

0423 you know, over the last couple of years things have really come to fruition and, yeah, I just wanted to give that acknowledgement to Cathy. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good, glad to 6 hear that. Anybody else on the Council with a question 7 or a comment. 8 9 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, Ray and 12 Marco -- I hear one other person. 13 14 MR. CASIPIT: This is me, I was just 15 going to thank Ray and Marco for their presentation, it 16 was really great and I hope to see Ray out here 17 sometime halibut fishing. 18 19 MR. PADDOCK: Cal, I'm looking forward 20 to it, I miss you buddy. 21 22 (Laughter) 23 24 MR. PADDOCK: It's been awhile. 25 26 MR. BANDA: Thanks for having us on. 27 28 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Ray and 29 Marco, really interesting presentation. It sounds like 30 there is a lot going on there. I'm glad to hear the 31 Forest Service is actively involved. If we were going 32 to write a letter of support that's who it would go to 33 but it sounds like they're already pretty well 34 involved. I'm glad to hear that. 35 36 I think the Council will be following 37 your efforts here closely. We are, as a Council, 38 talking about, you know, supporting this indigenous 39 management concept and it sounds to me like we're going 40 to be engaging with the Guardians Network a fair amount 41 as we move forward. So we'll definitely have to stay 42 connected and keep track of what you're doing. And 43 like I say we may be in a support role but the tribal 44 organizations are going to be the work so it sounds 45 like you're well underway. So thank..... 46

MR. PADDOCK: Appreciate that, Mr.

47

48

49 50 Chair.

0424 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:again for 2 your presentation. 3 4 MR. PADDOCK: Yeah, I appreciate that. And we look forward to your guys' participation in the 5 6 Guardian's development and for those that are guests on 7 this call, too, we look forward to you guys joining. 8 And thank you, again, for giving us the time to talk. 9 10 Gunalcheesh. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You're welcome. 13 14 MR. BANDA: Thank you all. 15 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We're 17 looking for Alaska Department of Fish and Game has an 18 agency report for us. So we'll do that and then we'll 19 move to our working group report on indigenous 20 management and, Marco and Ray, if you're still by the 21 phones there you might want to listen in on that as well. That will be right after we hear from Alaska 22 23 Department of Fish and Game. 24 25 (No comments) 26 27 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Hopefully they're 28 there. 29 30 MS. SILL: Hi, Mr. Chair. This is 31 Lauren Sill. I'm with Fish and Game. I know that Flip 32 Pryor also has a presentation for you so I don't know 33 which one of us you want to hear from first. 34 35 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Why don't you go 36 ahead, Lauren. 37 38 MS. SILL: Okay. Mine is very short. 39 So I -- for the record my name is Lauren Sill, I work with the Division of Subsistence in Fish and Game. I 40 41 submitted -- I did a one page update, kind of what our 42 activities are in Southeast so I won't spend a lot of 43 time on it because hopefully you guys all have that and 44 can flip through it for yourselves. I just, I guess, wanted to highlight some of our research projects. 45 46 47 We're a part of the Keex'Kwaan 48 Community Forest Partnership. We're trying to do 49 household harvest surveys as a part of that about use

of wild resources in Kake. And Covid has been challenging, we've been trying to do this for a couple of years now and it just hasn't worked out yet. We were trying to this spring, and there's still a chance that maybe that's going to happen within the next month but it's looking a little bit more unlikely and we'll probably be pushing that off until next year but after listening to President Jackson this morning, pretty excited about the timing for doing these surveys and hopefully we will have some current data to inform whatever proposal they come out with for the Board of Fish or to help them with their proposal writing. Those surveys are going to be about all resources that people use and probably a little bit about gardening and some other -- some other food security issues.

We're also -- we do an annual program with the Sitka Tribe of Alaska to estimate the harvest of herring eggs out of Sitka Sound. We try to talk to all the harvesters in Sitka Sound, whether they live in Sitka or live in the rural surrounding communities. That should be getting under way relatively soon, as soon as the herring spawn and the harvest begins, we'll take that up and try to get a report published this winter about that.

And on the update that I had sent in to the Council I have proposed research but since I sent in this update we found out that we have gotten -- successfully gotten funding for these two projects through the OSM Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program so we're very excited about this.

We've got two projects. One is going to be to update the harvest and use of wild resources by residents of Pelican, Gustavus and Tenakee Springs. And the last time we were able to do harvest surveys in those communities was in the late '90 -- in the late '80s so it's, you know, over 30 years old, very out of date and very much in need of an update. So we're planning on going to those communities in 2024, hopefully Covid will not be problematic at that point, and, again, we'll be trying -- we'll be producing reliable estimates of harvest and use of all wild resources. We'll do some spacial mapping to look at the geographic search and harvest areas for wild resources and then we'll also do some key respondent interviews with local experts, long time harvesters, knowledgeable individuals to document observations of

changes over time and harvesting practices, harvest trends, areas used, concerns -- local concerns in the communities, those sort of things.

4 5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

And then the second project that we got funded is a collaboration with Klawock Heenya and Kai Environmental and we'll be estimating in-season harvest of the Klawock River subsistence salmon fishery. And we've been trying for a couple of years to get something like this funded so I'm pretty excited that it finally did get funding for it. It's going to be over -- it's starting next month and it will occur over three summers and we'll be doing in-season subsistence salmon harvest estimates for the Klawock Lake system. And we'll also be doing -- we're doing -- part of it is to look at a user survey to kind of measure fisher's experience on the new online permit system, which I know you guys were talking about a little bit earlier today, about online permits. And Fish and Game recently switched to an online system, I think, in 2018 and we haven't done a whole lot of outreach to figure out how that's working or how it's not working and so I'm hoping to start a little bit of that, it's not going to be as wide-scale as -- as it probably needs to be but it will be a start with just looking at users of the Klawock River system.

26272829

And I think that's all that I have for updates for our activities but I would be happy to take any questions. My contact info is at the bottom of the sheet of paper that had our update on there.

31 32 33

30

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

34 35

37 38

36

(No comments)

39 40

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Lauren. I sure hope that.....

41 42 43

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.

44 45

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:you can go out and get those surveys done after the Covid slows down. Who was that, go ahead.

47 48 49

46

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.

```
0427
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, hi, DeAnna.
 2
 3
                     MS. PERRY: I just wanted to recognize
 4
     Lauren's help at the Board of Fish meeting. Folks may
 5
     not know, Cathy, when she was in Anchorage was kind of
     on her own. The agencies, DOI and USDA would not allow
 6
 7
     Staff to travel so Cathy was able to get some support
     from Lauren at the meeting there in Anchorage and I
 9
     just wanted to recognize and let her know it was much
10
     appreciated, so, thank you, Lauren.
11
12
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank....
15
16
                     MS. SILL: Thanks again, I was happy
17
     to.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thanks for
20
     letting the rest of the Council know that, DeAnna.
21
     Yeah, much appreciated Lauren. Let's see as part of
22
     the Fish and Game report was a report on kelp farming
23
     included in that from Flip Pryor.
24
25
                     MR. PRYOR: Yeah, Mr. Chair, can you
26
    hear me.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yeah, good
29
    afternoon.
30
31
                     MR. PRYOR:
                                 Okay.
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I know this is a
33
34
     topic....
35
36
                     MR. PRYOR: Yeah, I got.....
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....the Council
39
     has been interested in and it sounds like we finally
40
     get a chance to hear it so go ahead.
41
42
                     MR. PRYOR: Okay. I see we have the
43
     presentation up, I wasn't sure it was going to be up.
     Anyway, I'm Flip Pryor, I'm the statewide Aquaculture
44
     Section Chief with the Alaska Department of Fish and
45
46
    Game out of the Juneau office here. And I'm going to
47
    do a presentation on kelp farming. The presentation is
48
     also available on the website where there's additional
49
     information there as well. The one thing that's not
```

intuitive about the website is Aquatic Farming is under the fisheries tab and that's because it's -- the oversight is Commercial Fisheries. Aquatic Farming is not a fishery but that's the way it is. But anyway that presentation could be found under operational details.

Go to the next slide.

Basically the presentation will break down into the role -- ADF&G's role of aquatic farming, the permitting process, the description of how kelp farming works, examples of design and then lastly the status of where aquatic farming is.

Next slide please.

Start off with the Fish and Game mission statement which is, in short, we're supposed to manage the resources of the state in the best interest of the economy and well-being of the people of the state consistent with a sustainable yield principle. The important takeaway there is our job is to try to get people the availability to use the resource, we're not here to get in the way of people. And so aquatic farming, that began in 1988 with the Alaska Legislature passing the Aquatic Farm Act, which established the regulatory authority to permit aquatic farming and is found in Alaska Statute 16.40.100 through 199, Department of Fish and Game regulates aquatic farming under 5 AAC 41.200 through 41.400.

Next slide please.

 The permitting process is kind of complicated. It starts with we have a multi-agency State permit that involves DNR, Fish and Game and DEC. It also has at the Federal level where the Corps of Engineers is involved with -- and that's mainly floating structures on the water have to be compliant with navigation, et cetera. NOAA, NMF gets involved with marine mammals and ESA but there could also be local ordinances, city and borough, that sort of thing. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game has three permits that we issue, which is an operation permit, stock transportation permit and a stock acquisition permit.

And those are on the next slide.

Breaking this down, the operation permit allows the permit holder to operate the aquatic farming or hatchery, and that's a 10 year permit. And then there's a stock transport permit which is basically to haul critters from one farm to the next or between operations or get a stock acquisition permit. The stock acquisition permit is collecting wild stock for transfer to a hatchery or a farm so that would be, say, collecting kelp, wild kelp that you're going to take to the farm site or take to a hatchery site.

Next slide.

So this is a simple diagram of our complicated process. Basically the takeaway here, there's a joint application with, again, Fish and Game, DEC, DNR. Once that has been thoroughly reviewed and vetted, within the Department Fish and Game will do a preliminary review of the permit. If we see an issue, at that point we'll stop the process and Fish and Game will try to mitigate that, try to make it work. We're here to try to make things work for people. After we've done that, the process starts back up again and gets a DNR review, which includes the public comment period. If DNR issues a lease Fish and Game has 30 days to issue an aquatic farm permit.

Next slide please.

Okay, the applications are reviewed on a case by case basis and we have a statutory criteria for issuing our permits and we basically look at five things. Is the site suitable for the proposed (indiscernible) — is the proposed farm site — or if the proposed farm, site cannot significantly conflict with existing uses. The proposed farm operation may not negatively impact existing fish, wildlife or their habitat. The proposed farm plan must demonstrate technical and operational feasibility. And the last one pertains to, on the bottom, or clam culture and not really kelp farming. But the site can't contain a significant population of the wild stock.

Next slide.

Okay, when Fish and Game reviewers are looking at these various criteria, when you look at site suitability, things we look for, is, is the site suitable for the species being cultured, so is the site

protected, does the site have proper needs for the species being cultured, is the site in deep enough water so it won't drown out at low tide. So those are the sorts of things we look at Federal Subsistence Board water temperatures, currents, salinity, those sorts of things are being reviewed under that criteria.

Next slide.

The proposed operation cannot significantly alter established uses and this is one we deal with quite a bit and it's -- we -- there can't be a conflict with a significant complex with existing fisheries which is commercial, sport or subsistence fisheries and does not limit access to beaches, trail heads, just basically a navigational hazard. This is one that quite frequently comes up and we get into mitigation with these things and try to come up with solutions when they arise.

Next slide.

The operations have to be compatible with the fish and wildlife resources, they can't negatively impact the wildlife or the area. Things such as the predator control methods can't endanger wildlife for like entanglement for like birds, sea lions and seals and that sort of thing. They can't be within a certain distance of rookeries. And they can't be within 300 feet of a fish stream.

Next slide.

And then the other, the last one I'm going to cover is the proposed aquatic farm operation demonstrates technical and operational feasibility. It's basically does the applicant sound like they know what they're doing and do they have a good sound plan.

Next slide, please.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. PRYOR: There are two ways to operate an aquatic farm. One is natural set and the other is cultured. Natural set is things like if you're raising oysters and you have kelp growing on your lines that can be sold you can put that on your

permit and sell that as a natural set stock, or you can do the culture which is intentionally growing a species, and this is what we're focusing on here really.

Next slide.

Okay. So the farm system works.

The kelp farmers use the natural reproductive cycle to produce feed line in a hatchery which utilizes local stocks. Sorus tissue, which is the little reproductive part of the kelp is collected and taken into a hatchery. These hatcheries, we're talking about here for kelp, are more of a laboratory sort of setting. They use short PVC pipes with strings spooled on, it's a braided, kind of like a fishing line. They put those spools, loaded with a string in a tub of water and then the sorus tissue is ground up and allowed to set on the string and it begins to grow. Then they transfer that to a farm site where they take those PVC pipes put it through -- put a rope through it and then string it out, and that will wrap the rope around the line as it's set out. It's actually kind of an ingenious little way of doing things. And then these lines are laid out in the water and allowed to grow. And as the kelp grows the lines are lowered down in the water so the kelp keeps going for the surface and that's how they grow the lines.

One thing to note here is kelp farming that is done is -- is this is a winter project. The kelp goes out in the fall, generally in October, and is harvested in the spring, in April. That's just the way they can grow the best farmable or saleable product rather than doing stuff in the summer. The winter growth does better.

Next slide.

Okay, this is a typical farm layout, or generic farm layout. Really it's -- the thing to take away here is the lease footprint is the outside -- or the outside figure there. All -- everything there including anchor lines and that has to be inside lease footprint so when you hear of a 100 acre lease, you're not going to see 100 acres worth of stuff on the water surface, you're generally going to see 30 to 40 percent of that at the water surface.

0432 1 Next slide, please. 2 3 So a side view, and this side view has 4 the grow out lines on the surface but it's basically 5 you have an anchor system and then you have a float 6 system, and then you have your grow out lines in 7 between. 8 9 Next slide. 10 11 Looking at the top view, they can be 12 very simple arrays, or they can be a more complex 13 layout. 14 15 Next slide. 16 17 And then this is a multi-line layout 18 which is more complex and this is what the bigger farms 19 have kind of gone to, is this sort of layout. 20 21 Next slide. 22 23 And this is an overhead shot of a farm 24 up by Kodiak, it's Alf Pryor, no relation, and we've 25 joked about that on the phone because we've never met 26 each other so. 27 28 Next slide. 29 30 The current status of aquatic farms. 31 We currently have 82 aquatic farm permits out. Five 32 aquatic farm hatchery permits. The aquatic farm 33 permits break down with 43 shellfish only, 22 kelp 34 only, 17 is a combination so they have both shellfish 35 and kelp. Total acreage right now 1,216 acres, and, 36 again that's the lease footprint, so it's not surface 37 footprint. Aquatic farm locations, the Southcentral is 38 29 for 262 acres, and Southcentral is Prince William 39 Sound and Cook Inlet. Southeast, which is our Southeast is 43 farms, or 641 acres. And Westward 40 41 which is Kodiak, is 10 farms at 313 acres. 42 43 Next slide. 44 45 Currently in the process of being 46 reviewed, there's 29 aquatic farm permits currently 47 under review. Breakdown there is six shellfish only, 48 18 kelp only, 5 combinations, total of 1,337 acres, and

that's the lease footprint again. Location, 7 in

49

```
0433
 1
     Southcentral, 14 in Southeast, and 8 in Western --
 2
     Westward.
 3
 4
                     Next slide, please.
 5
 6
                     Oysters are still the highest value
 7
     product. Kelp is being developed rapidly but it's the
     -- it hasn't generated into a big market value yet,
     it's still coming on, it's still in its infancy. The
 9
10
    most popular species are ribbon kelp, sugar kelp and
11
    bull kelp.
12
13
                     Next slide.
14
15
                     This is some really good resources you
    might want to check out if you need further information
16
17
    here. The NOAA permitting portal kind of breaks down
18
     how you go about getting permits and just good advice
19
     on starting the farm up.
20
21
                     The Alaska Ocean Observing System,
22
    Mariculture Map is a tool for planning. It's also kind
23
     of a cool mapping tool that's fun to play on.
24
25
                     And then the last is a link to the
26
     Joint Agency.
27
28
                     And then the last slide, please.
29
30
                     And then if you have additional
31
     questions, I have myself and Michelle Morris, and she's
32
     the permit coordinator for the State so she does
33
     aquatic farming but she also does other permits with
34
     the State within the Aquaculture section.
35
36
                     And with that I can answer any
37
     questions or at least try to.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
40
     Any questions from the Council.
41
42
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.
43
44
                     MR. SLATER: Mr. Chair, this is Jim.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I heard two
47
     people. Jim you were second, who was before Jim.
48
49
                     MR. JOHNSON: This is Ian.
50
```

0434 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ian, go ahead. 2 3 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 Thank you for the presentation. I guess -- I wrote 5 down three questions and I'll just ask them one at a 6 time, I guess. 7 8 Is there a -- what is the way to 9 determine competition with a subsistence fishery in 10 that permit review kind of process, like what are the 11 steps taken to do that? 12 13 MR. PRYOR: Well, we'll look at -- we 14 send the permit out to several people within Fish and 15 Game, one of which is Subsistence. Lauren sees a lot 16 of these permits. And we get an idea of if it's -- if 17 it's an area that has subsistence going in there but we 18 also get some feedback in the public review process 19 that DNR does and we will address it at that level as 20 well. And, again, we're trying to make it work for 21 both parties. We don't want to -- we're not just going 22 to turn down a permit automatically if it's in a 23 subsistence area. A lot of what we run into is access, 24 access issues so we try to fix those if we can. 25 26 (Teleconference interference -27 participants not muted) 28 29 MR. PRYOR: Move the site, or, you 30 know, something to make it work. 31 32 MR. JOHNSON: Okay, thank you. My 33 second question was just what is like the mechanism for 34 community and tribal review in the process for 35 permitting. So it sounds like they're solicited for 36 the permitting process? 37 38 MR. PRYOR: I'm actually not sure what 39 the DNR, who they all contact when they have the 40 permits up for review but I know they do the public 41 notice on those. But short of that I'm not familiar 42 with how they operate. The..... 43 44 MR. JOHNSON: And how -- oh, go ahead. 45 46 MR. PRYOR: Oh, I was just going to 47 say, yeah, the process starts with the lease getting 48 issued and then our permit gets issued after that.

0435 1 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. And, yeah, I'm curious, too, how are the lease areas chosen, is that 2 -- there's -- is there like designated areas that people can apply for or people ask to apply for a 5 certain area or how does that work? 6 7 MR. PRYOR: It's kind -- it -- the 8 applicant chooses the area and that's where when I 9 brought up that mapping tool, that was designed just 10 because so many people were having issues with --11 basically the situation where they had -- were plain 12 wrong guess, they would say they want this site, they 13 want this footprint and were being told, well, that's 14 not going to work and because of these reasons. Well, 15 that tool was put together to help mitigate that by showing here -- here's things we look at. So if they 16 17 want on to that map it will show where the rookeries 18 are and there'll be a circle drawn around that rookery 19 where like well we're not going to issue a permit for 20 anything that falls inside of that circle. 21 22 Does that help? 23 24 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. Yep, yep, thanks. 25 Yeah, thank you, sir. 26 27 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 28 Ian. 29 30 (Teleconference interference -31 participants not muted) 32 33 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Jim, you had a 34 question. 35 36 MR. SLATER: Yeah, hi, thanks for the 37 presentation, appreciate it. I'm curious, do you have 38 any statistics on how big the kelp market is right now 39 and the breakdown between wild harvested kelp and 40 farmed kelp, and anything that kind of shows a history 41 so we could see a trend. It's my understanding in 42 talking to some kelp manufactures that the kelp farms 43 are not producing as much as they had hoped and that 44 they're running..... 45 46 (Teleconference interference -47 participants not muted) 48

MR. SLATER:into some

49

difficulties here in Southeast. Can you comment on that and do you have any data on the size of the market itself.

MR. PRYOR: Overall the size of the market, no, I don't. With Alaska's production there's been some level of production for a number of years, like 15 years, there was some level but it was so small it was basically farmers, you know, raising kelp and selling it to someone in town that, you know, makes soap or something, so it was really, really small. The interest kicked in maybe five, six years ago and was on an upward trajectory and then Covid hit and that flattened the line quite a bit. And so the industry is developing. There's a lot of interest in it. But it's really, really in its infancy right now.

MR. SLATER: Okay. So if that's the case, then the wild kelp products we see are probably harvested from wild kelp and I guess the -- what I'm curious is if the farmed kelp doesn't start increasing its capacity or its production, are we going into a resource constraint with harvesting wild kelp. I don't know how you anticipate or plan out when harvesters go out and harvest kelp, how much they can take, and where they can take it from, and in different areas, you know, to make sure that certain areas don't get depleted. Is there any place on the web that lets you find anything out like that about how to go through the permitting process for harvesting kelp, wild kelp?

MR. PRYOR: Well, we have some -- some of that on -- we do have that on the Fish and Game website. So the farm -- I guess I'm speaking mostly for what was going on with the farmed product. like, wild harvest would fall under a Commissioner's permit and so those would be issued through local offices and unfortunately that makes it so we don't have really good reporting on that, but the local offices, locally, are looking at -- they don't want that depletion or, you know, taking all from one spot. For collecting for the farms, there's a genetic requirement that all the brood stock taking for seeding the farms be within -- taken within 50 kilometers of the farm site because they don't want genetically spreading kelp around the state. And so they have to do that. And then there is -- you have to spread the harvest out because you don't want to deplete the local stocks. But when they're taking for the brood stock,

0437 they're not taking whole plants, they're taking just the sorus material off of the leaves. So if you ever looked at the kelp and you see these like round spots on them that look like something just -- another leaf growing on top of the leaf, that's the reproductive material and so they're just peeling that off. So when 6 7 they're collecting these, the brood stocks for the farms, you're talking maybe 25, 30 grams worth of 8 9 material so it's not like bushels. 10 11 MR. SLATER: Right. 12 13 MR. PRYOR: It's like..... 14 15 MR. SLATER: That has no impact on the 16 plant itself, it can still reproduce..... 17 18 MR. PRYOR: Yeah. 19 20 MR. SLATER:and thereby also not 21 destroying the plant. Okay, I get it. 22 23 MR. PRYOR: Right. 24 25 MR. SLATER: Just out of gut feel, 26 would you say the market, the production rates have, 27 you know, what percentage growth have you seen in the last five years as far as things, have they been 28 29 growing 50 percent a year or do you know? 30 31 MR. PRYOR: Oh, yeah, yeah, the -- the 32 interest in the kelp farming is -- it basically -- it's 33 doubling every year sort of growth. It's really big. 34 What's going to become out of there is a little harder 35 to figure out because you have various -- you know, 36 people get involved for it for different reasons and, 37 you know, just to be blunt, you know, some people 38 figure out, oh, like this is a lot of work and they 39 lose interest in it. But it's growing rapidly and the 40 market is going to be the -- how the market develops is 41 going to control how this thing expands in the future. 42 It's not a good answer for you but it's kind of where 43 things are because everything is in its infancy. 44 45 MR. SLATER: Yeah, I think that's okay. 46 I just -- one last follow up, are there several 47 examples of very productive farms, or are there -- has 48 anyone kind of got the formula down and they're making

a lot of kelp, has anyone done that yet?

49

0438 1 MR. PRYOR: Yeah. Yeah. So that total that was in my presentation is Alf Pryor, who's up in 2 Kodiak, he's got a very successful farm. There's a farm down in Prince of Wales, I'm blanking on the guy's 5 name but, yeah, he's got -- he's got things dialed in. 6 And I think you're going to see the dollar value and 7 size of the kelp farms grow rapidly as we start getting back into normal life, post-Covid. 8 9 10 MR. SLATER: Okay. Well, thank you 11 very much, I appreciate that. 12 13 MR. PRYOR: Oh, sure. 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody 16 else. 17 18 MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Hernandez, Mike 19 Douville. 20

21 22

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead Mike. Go ahead, Mike.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. DOUVILLE: We have a couple kelp farms here, or at least one, that was just permitted last year for a permit in Real Marina. And first I might say that with all the logging and everything else that happened around, on Prince of Wales, the four islands to the west of us are deer -- it produces most of our food, deer and all our-- a lot of fishing goes on in the channels between those islands and the tribe protested this company getting that permit in Real Marina. I know that permit was denied up in Prokaderia because it was too near a haulout, but in this case there was no less than 17 haulouts in Real Marina proper but the permit was issued. The tribe protested and it didn't do any good, so we appealed and that didn't do any good either. So while it's all great to have kelp farms, I think that there is not enough sensitivity to subsistence uses of -- just because it's a good green thing doesn't mean it's good for everybody. But, anyway, it fell on deaf ears, the permit was issued. There needs to be more sensitivity to well established uses such as subsistence hunting, There's a lot of area in Southeast that those fishing. permits could be issued that are less sensitive. But near Craig and islands to the west of us has been used for thousands of years and now we're having this big push for kelp farms and, of course, they want them in

```
0439
    the nicest places which is the place would compete with
     subsistence activities.
 2
 3
 4
                     I just wanted to say that DNR was
 5
     insensitive to our appeal.
 6
 7
                     Thank you.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mike.
10
    Anybody else with a question or a comment.
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
15
     Flip. It's been an....
16
17
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Somebody else?
20
21
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, John Smith.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, John.
24
25
                     MR. SMITH: The nutritional value of
26
    the -- of our seaweed is very high and I encourage as
27
    much support in -- in growing of the kelp and all of
28
     kelp because that is the biggest production -- producer
29
     of our oxygen but also the key to our carbon issue. So
30
    I really encourage more people to grow kelp to absorb
31
    that carbon.
32
33
                     Thank you.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks,
36
     John. Yeah, thank you, Flip for your presentation. I
37
     think that was pretty helpful for folks to try and
     understand what's starting -- what's going on out in
38
39
    our waters here so I do appreciate that. Good
40
    presentation.
41
42
                     MR. PRYOR: All right, thank you.
43
44
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
45
    Albert.
46
47
                     MR. PRYOR:
                                 Thanks for having me.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think I heard
```

1 Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Yeah, I have a question as to why, even though there was objection to the kelp farm, why they're allowed to -- or why they were given a permit even though there was objection. This concerns me because it seems to be the case whenever there's a subsistence use area and it becomes commercialized without any regard for what the area's been used for. That -- that if we allow that type of behavior to continue pretty soon there really, really isn't going to be any subsistence priority to the resource of any kind.

You know, also I think, you know, at some point someone thought that fish farming was a great idea so I think we need to be mindful and cautiously go into this whole deal. I -- what I didn't hear in the presentation was, you know, if somebody decides, man, this is too much and just abandons it and you're leaving it within a subsistence area, what guidelines are there for making sure that the permitholder takes everything out of there and leaves it like it was. I didn't hear any baseline study information on what the area looked like before to allow the permit. Because there's going to be impacts, you know, and hopefully not negative impacts.

 $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ suppose I could talk about this one for awhile as well, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't know, you want to respond to that Mr. Pryor.

MR. PRYOR: Well, a lot of what you're talking about is part of the leasing -- leasing part of the application. It's not the Fish and Game end of the operations permit and I'm not familiar with that particular application out -- I mean I've heard the name and I know the area but I don't know what was reviewed. I don't know what was looked at for the appeal. This is all part of the leasing end of things, this isn't a Fish and Game operations issue on those things. The clean up of the farm site is part of the -- again, the lease, is there is a deposit put down or security on making sure the site is cleaned up if it's an abandoned site. But, again, this is all through the DNR end of things, it's not the Fish and Game end of things.

MR. HOWARD: Follow up then, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Follow up, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chair, maybe this needs to be a future agenda item and have DNR come in because this is starting to sound a lot like, you know, dealing with Greens Creek, the Forest Service is responsible for everything on land and the State's responsible for everything in the water and nobody took responsibility for any of it.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right.

MR. HOWARD: I think a baseline -- a baseline should be required before they're allowed to do anything within a certain area. I'm sure like anything else it'll come back and bite me when I want to try to do something but I think we're in a day and age where you need to start protecting the environment and what's in it before you start something else in that same area.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, duly noted, Albert. Okay, let's move on to another important item here, I want to bring back our recommendations from the working group on indigenous management and present what the working group has put together in the way of a proposed letter and talk about that with the Council and see what the Council wants to do. So there's been a lot of other discussions involving around indigenous management, co-management, cooperative projects, they're all tied together. So let's see if we can't get a Regional Advisory Council position paper on all of this together and move that forward.

So in order to do that I think I'm going to call on Bob Schroeder, if you could at least introduce the topic, get it started, and we have -- we have a document. I don't know if that's been distributed through email or if it can also be put up on the Team screen so people can -- okay, I see it up on the Team screen.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,

0442 1 DeAnna. 2 3 MS. PERRY: Yeah, I did send this out 4 last night. It is also on the website for folks who 5 are on the phone and not looking at it on Teams. And we also did have a Council member offer some opinions, 6 7 and I sent that out just a few moments ago as well. So as we go through here, I don't know if Bob has that up 9 but we could certainly hear from the Council members as 10 we go through the document for any kind of edits or 11 capturing the intent of the Council. 12 13 Thank you. 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, yeah, 16 anything that was sent out very recently, yeah, we may 17 have to deal with that through discussion but once we 18 have a basic proposal for a document, it's -- it's 19 bullet point, and it's kind of a quideline, I quess you 20 would say for what we would put in a letter but it's 21 kind of a range in a series of bullet points with 22 issues. And we'll kind of get Council member's 23 reactions to the bullet points and whatever the Council 24 talks about and puts on the record, we can hopefully 25 incorporate that into a final draft of a letter that's 26 going to have to be drafted as a joint effort after the 27 meeting adjourns but we want to make sure we..... 28 29 (Teleconference interference -30 participants not muted) 31 32 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:get Council 33 member's comments and get them on the record so that we 34 can, you know, like I say incorporate all of that into 35 a final letter. 36 37 So this is kind of a working document, 38 sort of an outline of what we propose to put together. 39 40 I saw it up on the screen a little 41 awhile ago. Council members that don't have Teams, if 42 you want to check your emails, you should have it 43 there, and maybe we could go through it sort of point 44 by point and take comments. 45 46 (Teleconference interference -

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So okay it's up on

48 49 50

47

participants not muted)

the Teams screen again. And, Bob Schroeder, you've been working diligently with the work group and pretty well versed in what we have here if you want to kind of lead us through it, that might be helpful, and we will all assist as necessary.

MR. SCHROEDER: Okay, am I coming through okay. I don't know if I've star, six'd enough here.

11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You're loud and 12 clear.

MR. SCHROEDER: Okay. This material is pretty -- very similar to what we talked about on the first day of our meeting when this topic came up. At the request of the Chair I was able to kind of reorganize things into bullets, and those of you who have this draft will see that there are now 11 bullets. I don't know how many bullets we had before. But probably you should have only a magazine of 10 bullets.

But in any case, this was draft material that came out of working group meetings over the last month. DeAnna gave us the background of the time table for this. The Regional Advisory Council has been working on this for quite awhile, we hoped to get it done in our September meeting but weren't able to do that. The impetus, the reason why we're doing this, and that would be bullet 2 -- Bullet 1 is the background information that DeAnna provided on the number of times we met and who was a participant in that.

Basically the essence of why we're doing this is that this is very hot stuff in the region and for that matter in our Native North America. We just heard the report the report from the Guardians Project which is moving in the direction of comanagement, cooperative management. We've heard from WECAN, and we may hear from other organizations as we proceed. We've also -- are -- note -- major cooperative ventures through the Federal Subsistence Program on fisheries monitoring and on other research projects, and we'd also note that the standard operating procedures for subsistence resource that Lauren was talking about earlier is to work really closely with tribes and communities in study projects. So basically what we're doing -- what our task is here,

is to move on -- move along the discussion and to forward what we learn in our region about co-management and the impetus that way and to take a position, if the Council wishes, to support various aspects of this.

So that's Bullet 2.

Bullet 3 basically lays out in some detail, the authority for the Council to do this, like are we -- what are we charged to do. And all of us who have been around for awhile remember when we had trainings on ANILCA and what ANILCA did and didn't do. Quite a few newer members to the Council haven't had the opportunity for those trainings and it seemed to be useful to include a fair amount of detail there, particularly such that the Federal agencies who will review our letter, if we send something, will know what position we're speaking from, which is basically two things there. One, is our standing, the Federal Advisory Committee Act chartered under FACA to look at virtually all matters of things that affect subsistence. And, secondly, just what our experience has been and what we've heard from people over -- over the years, and, specifically in recent time the frank excitement that's going on in the region over comanagement and indigenous management.

 Bullet 4 just says, wait a minute, this Federal Subsistence Program's been around for 32 years, perhaps it's time to examine how we do business. And we'll note that in this 32 years time that our cultural landscape in Southeast Alaska and perhaps the state has changed quite a bit with respect to tribal capacity and tribal interest in doing hand's on management — interest and ability in doing hand's on management. A final draft of this would — will include detail on the very successful projects that have taken place in Southeast Alaska, primarily under Fisheries Monitoring, but also other cooperative projects that have taken place in our region.

Bullet 5 discusses traditional land ownership under traditional law and notes that there is some differences with other ownership that may exist at this present time. But land ownership, under traditional law, according to what I've been told, is an (In Tlingit) for Tlingit, Haida and Tsimshian, are clans and kwaans. The point here is that we're not talking about something really vague in terms of

knowledge of what traditional ownership was and is, but that clans and kwaans have generally recognized territories. And so that's something that often is overlooked. We're not talking about just a general thing, but we're talking about the clans and kwaans in Southeast Alaska know what their territory is.

Bullet 6 talks about the use of -- what my draft calls -- the use of tradition land through the colonial era and I just -- I'm putting it on the table there that this is something that I personally believe we should recognize. It's not a secret. It's no more of a secret in the United States, in our country, than slavery should be a secret that can't ever be talked about. How much the Federal government and the majority of society should address this historic injustice may be a matter of opinion.

Let's see, Bullet 7, mentions the existing indigenous cooperative management activities that are taking place in the region. That needs to be fleshed out. The ones that are mentioned there are, again, the Guardians Project, the Southeast Sustainable — Sustainable Southeast Partnership and WECAN, there are definitely other groups that are working on things and we've heard mention of cooperative projects between, I believe Kake and, Hoonah and Forest Service. There may be quite a bit more.

Bullets 8 and 9 are asks. Okay. So our ask in 8 is within our -- very close to what we do, which is we spend a lot of our time on subsistence regulations and making proposals and evaluating regulations. This is saying that the Federal agencies that deal with subsistence in our region, which are the Office of Subsistence Management, which is under Interior, Forest Service and Park Service, that they way more actively engage the tribes -- the region's tribes in co-management agreements concerning subsistence research planning as well as active subsistence management.

Cal pointed out that under the current scheme of things there may be legal things that need to be changed before some of these could be put into effect.

Bullet 9 points to Forest Service and National Park Service, who are the main land management

agencies for Southeast Alaska and it calls for a cooperative management agreements with our region's tribes on all matters concerning land management of traditional lands. Many of us have been involved in land management, commenting on land management plans, and what this point is talking about is a much more early and thorough involvement of tribal entities in all manners of planning activities. We will point out that even though Park Service and Forest Service are responsible for issuing management plans, that most frequently these plans are contracting out, there's no particular reason why the contracting agency, instead of being CHM2Hill could not be consortium or a Native group.

Bullet 10 talks about where this document would go. And the suggestion is that it would go to OSM, Forest Service Region 10, Forest Service Tongass, a copy to the District Rangers and also to other Federal Subsistence Program Regional Councils. The reason for the last is that -- that we'd like to let -- have other Regional Advisory Councils know the direction that Southeast is taking and they may have some interest in that.

 And then the final one is a summary bullet. There are some comments that have been sent in, and thank you Don, Patty and Ian. I haven't -- my experience has been that editing on the fly just doesn't work. So I'm about to close out and have it open for discussion. And I, in no way consider this, even though I'm the drafter, I, in no way really consider this to be my document, I believe that this should be a Council document and something that comes out of the working group.

One matter I'd say is that you'll note that this document addresses indigenous management and it's geared to tribal organizations and that's mainly because that's what we've heard. What we hear from are tribal groups who are pressing for further involvement in subsistence co-management, both of natural resources and of land, so this is a slightly different direction from what we do under our regulatory obligations, in that, this is dealing with Native Alaskans, it's not dealing with non-Native Alaskans, and that's simply has to do with the activity is in our region and what we're able to address.

So that's what I've got and that's a reasonably quick summary. And I'd love to hear from -- oh, and one other thing -- my suggestion on a way for us to proceed with this is to have a good discussion, not to do editing on the fly, that's impossible at a live meeting, much less a phone-in meeting, and then there would be a drafting committee that would work with DeAnna to get something that really accurately reflects the sentiment of our Council and also the excellent work that so many people on our Council have done on this issue.

That's what I've got for now.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Bob. That was a really good job of kind of summarizing what we have here before us, really clear. As Bob says, this is not the letter we're going to send, this is a list of our positions and thoughts and kind of encapsulated and quite likely we might utilize some of the wording here but it's certainly not the document we're going to send. We're just looking for any other suggestions, topics that we may have overlooked, things to add, yeah, anything like that from the rest of the Council. And we'll just open this up as a general discussion. I don't think we're necessarily asking Bob questions, it's not his document, he was just presenting it. But, yeah, let's just -- we're just going to have a discussion on this and it's open to anybody with comments or suggestions and we'll talk about it.

MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal.

MR. CASIPIT: Well, I have some comments here. I've got some general overall thoughts and I've got some specifics about the document itself. Maybe I'll do the specifics first.

But on that second page near the bottom there's that stuff about -- it says 90C but it's actually supposed to be .805(c) deference. And as folks know the Board is only obligated to provide us deference for matters of take so the way that reads, it reads like, you know, the Board's got to do everything

we say, and, no, they don't -- they don't. So I'd like that -- whatever final form it comes out in, I'd like it to be correct in that term. Because I don't want to go in to the Board, or somebody, or whoever, you know, the Forest Service with something that's actually not quite right. So I think that needs to be cleaned up.

You know, I still stand by my comments about law enforcement and some of that other stuff that — the list of things that we would contract out or have agreements with. While that's a great idea, and I wish we could do it, I think it will require a change in laws, policies and stuff like that, and if that's what we really want we should be sending that part to the people that make the laws, like Congress.

And then I guess -- and there's some other smaller stuff, and maybe I can just get myself assigned to this drafting committee and I can work through them, but my overall general thoughts on this, you know, we heard from the Guardian folks that, you know, the Forest Service has really been pushing forward on this and making things happen, maybe it could be better. I don't know but, you know, sending something to the Forest Service encouraging -encouraging them and thanking them, and encouraging along I think is a great idea. You know, and then at the same time I think something similar to this needs to be sent to the State, to DNR, to Fish and Game, to, you know, I heard about this quiet title thing on the Canoe Route on Prince of Wales, I mean, you know, I think something like this needs to be sent to the State as well. And I think they have to be full partners in co-management as well, and we need to encourage them, more so than we need to encourage the Forest Service as far as I'm concerned.

I think for the most part, at the Federal level, I think all the tools are there, all the mechanisms we need are already in place in these agencies and all we got to do is get the right people to be our champions. You know the leadership of these Federal agencies to get behind us and move forward. You know somebody said funding, that's the other big thing. You know the funding for our Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program has been cut over the years and everybody on this phone knows that and we need to own up to that and say, hey, folks, we -- you know we need to start putting money back into this because then we

```
0449
    can support these co-management projects, because
    that's going to take money and funding. And Staff, as
    well, you know, we have to have the Staff available to
    help us. Somebody was talking about, you know, in the
 5
    past, you know, we had Staff that worked on letters
    with us and all this kind of stuff and, yeah, I know we
 7
    did and because of the budget cuts and the reductions
    in funding I think some of that Staff support has gone
 9
     away and maybe we should focus on some of that too.
10
11
                     But, overall, those are some of my
12
     thoughts and I'd be happy to hear what other people
13
     think. I'd be like to help get a policy paper out from
14
     us and I'd be happy to help, you know, in the interim
15
     to make that happen. But, yeah, those are kind of my
16
     thoughts.
17
18
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Just if I can jump in,
19
    or not to -- I -- I really appreciate your comments
20
    Cal....
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Bob.
23
24
                     MR. SCHROEDER: .....and -- and -- am I
25
     on?
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead,
28
     Bob.
29
30
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Oh, just I heartedly
31
     agree with you on most of the things but, particularly,
32
     in recognizing and supporting the existing efforts that
33
    have gone with the Forest Service and to some extent
34
    with OSM. And that's just a lack -- because I didn't
35
    have a list of the projects. So I think we can be much
36
    more friendly on that -- on those grounds.
37
38
                     That's it.
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody
40
41
    else.
42
43
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez, this
44
     is Patty.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty.
47
48
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah, I have to get my
49
     comment in before my phone battery dies. So I reviewed
```

the original draft and made some notes and then I incorporated into the document you have before you.

But there's certain terms that, to me, distract from the main issue of what we're trying to -- of what the RAC is trying to do and so I've taken those terms out and put in more friendlier terms. Like unseated territories and I replaced that with; in their traditional areas of use. It's just too radical to me, you know, some of those terms, they -- instead of focusing on what we want to do it puts me more into a confrontational, you know, sort of mood and I'm not comfortable with that.

Can you hear me, everything okay?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, you're coming in fine Patty.

MR. SLATER: We hear you.

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. It sounds like somebody is trying to ask me something. And then like under Section 3 where, you know, it says, ANILCA calls for the Federal government to accept -- and I agree with Cal there, that's Section .805(c), you know, ANILCA actually says the Secretaries shall consider the reports and recommendations of the RAC and it's not -- it's not that we, you know, demand that they -- well, of course we'd like that, we'd like them to defer to us in all things but ANILCA isn't specific to that, all things.

And then under the, like the terms, usurpation, that the Federal land designations under --well, it's No. 8 on the original one -- let's see, I'm sorry, let me get to my desktop. So it's under No. 5, Federal land designation to be traditional *Haa'awnee, traditional and tribal clan territories and, you know, I was quickly inserting in wording so also known as Federal public lands in ANILCA.

And then under Bullet 6, you know, our region should address and engage tribal co-management in protecting the continued viability of fish and wildlife resources on -- on tribal traditional territories in the public lands of Southeast Alaska.

And then on Bullet 8, you know, that

usurpation thing, I'm just not comfortable with that terminology, it's more confrontational and we're trying to be advisory, you know, let's -- let's -- we're trying to be problem solving so I changed it to, looming threats to conservation of fish and wildlife resources.

And then on No. 9, rather than -- let's see that would be delegating authority rather than -- I'm not sure of the term he used because I took -- I took the terms out that I wasn't comfortable with.

And then under 11, providing opportunities for Alaska Natives residing within the region to contribute in a meaningful way the protection — for the protection of subsistence resources on Federal public lands.

Well, you have my -- you know, DeAnna forwarded you, you know, where I wanted changes. And then also wanted to insert ANILCA, Title I, purposes, Section .1 to protect the resources related to subsistence needs.

And then -- well, let's see, so over -with over 30 years of Federal subsistence management, there has developed an element of trust in the Regional Advisory process, the Southeast RAC is comprised of members with personal knowledge of local conditions, whose knowledge is integrated into the Federal Subsistence Management process. The Southeast RAC aspires to expand and reform provisions and protections for subsistence. The Office of Subsistence Management is vital to the RAC process. The subsistence dual management, Federal/State process provisions needs greater clarity and understanding. The communications of the RAC models involvement in the State of Alaska Board of Fisheries and Board of Wildlife process, the weariness of the State process is being overcome as rural communities and the RAC engage in the State Board process for the advancement of local concerns and subsistence resources and our subsistence way of life. The RAC is much more proficient at providing -- at bringing forth its comments consistent with conservation of natural and healthy fish and wildlife resources necessary for the continued priority for subsistence. Disruptions to the regional food supply chain underscored the necessity of subsistence priority for fish and wildlife resource and the rural way of

0452 life recognized in Title VIII of ANILCA. 2 3 Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So, Patty, 6 it sounds like you're wanting some of that language to 7 be put into some of these different bullets, and I kind of lost track a little bit about which ones you were --I don't know if you were adding new ones or adding to 10 existing ones, but, yeah, it sounds like, you know, 11 what you're doing there is consistent with what we have 12 before us we just have to put it in its proper context, 13 I guess. And that's -- I'm hoping that you'll be 14 working on the final draft to be able to do that. 15 16 MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah, you already have 17 in -- excuse me. Mr. Chair. DeAnna -- I emailed her 18 my draft edits of Robert's draft document and you have 19 those. And then my final statement was just something 20 that I threw together. It got me thinking and so I just wanted to add that on as well. And that doesn't 21 22 have to be included in the draft document, it's just my 23 position. 24 25

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I understand. Yeah, so it's good to get your thoughts on the record. It may help inform our final letter so thank you, Patty.

Any other Council members want to add to the discussion here.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Ian.

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, I know Bob kind of hit on maybe how -- where we're looking at focusing this letter right now and around the discussion of tribal entities but I do think it's worth, like looking at the larger umbrella of co-management and just addressing the letter to that. I think it would still be relevant to the State and the Forest Service. Yeah, I just -- it's better, like addressing co-management and community and tribal engagement, and local engagement, I think would serve a few different needs. You know it would more directly meet our mission of

serving subsistence -- rural subsistence users. There's a lot of communities that don't have tribal representation but certainly qualify as rural users and -- and trying to think about my other comments on this.

Oh, and right now like this -- like the letter doesn't currently include like engagement of -of corporations, of ANCSA Corporations and I don't know exactly how to lead them in -- into this for sure but I just want to bring that up. We did hear from the presentation from Indigenous Guardians that, you know, Sealaska is invested in this SeaCoast Trust and, of course, they have a lot of other things going on and the corporations do too so, you know, I just -- yeah, this umbrella of co-management, I think, could be -and this letter could be effectively scaled out to meet the same message and tribal entities being a tribal focus -- a vital and primary focus but ensuring that we are not like, I guess, alienating communities that don't have tribal representation. And it does sound like Indigenous Guardians Program, you know, they are very inclusive for communities that don't have that representation.

So I guess my recommendation when we go to the wordsmithing table would be to incorporate this larger umbrella of co-management and then just ensure that we very adequately address the need to -- especially for tribes to develop these agreements.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Ian. Yeah, I mean we just heard from other groups, other than Native groups that are willing and prepared and already actively engaged in co-management projects. We could refer to them as community management projects, you know, community management definitely includes tribal. I guess you could say that -- if we just call it tribal management, it doesn't necessarily include all communities but community management would include all tribes. But like you say, we're -- at this point we are addressing who we have heard from, so that's important to acknowledge that. But I think it's also possible to leave the door open, I guess, would be the right term for other community oriented management cooperations.

I guess until I heard the presentation by Ray Paddock and Marco Banda this afternoon, I guess I really hadn't been aware that, you know, they were

```
0454
 1
     reaching out to, you know, other non-Native
     organizations as much as they are. So that's kind of
 2
    new information for me that I didn't really have, you
    know, when we started this effort. So I don't think I
    would necessarily leave out the -- the opportunity to
 5
 6
     talk about other community management opportunities,
 7
     they could be mentioned. But so far we don't really
    have a lot to work there with there so -- whereas we
 8
 9
     definitely do with the tribes.
10
11
                     So that's my feelings on that.
12
13
                     Anybody else.
14
15
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
16
    Albert.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.
19
20
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21
     I know I mentioned earlier about using the ACs.
22
     anybody had a handle on what's happening in local areas
23
     I believe the ACs do and it seems like they're growing
24
     and they're getting smarter about how they're
25
     approaching things. so in my mind if there's no tribal
26
     organization I think you should include the ACs in
27
     changing this document, from what it's called to,
28
     subsistence co-management organization, or something
29
     similar to that, then you don't leave anybody out.
30
     think what I've learned in my lifetime from being is
31
     everybody has something to offer and when you start
32
     leaving out certain groups for whatever reason, that
33
     still qualify as subsistence users, you may be taking
34
     out a big piece of the puzzle, you know.
35
36
                     I don't know anything about biology but
37
     somebody does so I'm not going to say, hey, since
38
     you're not Native you can't be a part of this, that
39
     doesn't solve the problem, it creates another one so.
40
41
                     That's kind of my two cents.
42
43
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
46
     Albert.
              Anybody else.
47
48
                     (No comments)
49
```

1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I guess my comment along those lines is, you know, I agree with everything 2 Albert says and what Ian says but, you know, it's not up to us to go out and recruit advisory committees to 5 start participating in the management decisions. know, they got to -- if they -- if they see what's 6 happening with co-management projects, it's up to them to kind of step up and take some initiative and, you 9 know, we're just willing to work with anybody who wants 10 to have a more localized input into what's going on in, 11 you know, their areas. So I think that's where we need 12 to come from. We're here to work with people, we're 13 not here to organize people as to what to do so.

14 15

7

Anybody else.

16 17

18

MR. PADDOCK: Mr. Chair, this is Ray Paddock. And, I'm sorry, I'm not on the Board but may I say something to that.

19 20 21

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, no, welcome your input Ray, go ahead.

22 23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

MR. PADDOCK: Thank you. Yeah, so, you know, I understand that you guys aren't that -- that body to do that but, you know, being a part of our platform can help drive that invitation to others while we do it so seeing that some -- you know, that participants from the RAC are involved in the Guardian developmental piece, you know, we can use our platform essentially to do the invite and say, hey, here's a part of -- you know, here's who's a part of the group and these are stuff that can easily be brought back to, you know, to the RAC as we're developing these pieces and things like that. So I just wanted to put it out there that, you know, that that's there. We'd be happy to help in that regard, too.

37 38 39

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,

Ray.

40 41 42

MR. PADDOCK: Yeah.

43 44

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else who wants to get some input in here.

45 46 47

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian, can I just do a follow up.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure, go ahead, Ian. This is just a discussion we're having here.

MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Yeah, so I took to Albert's point about just inclusivity and, you know, making sure that no one's voices are left, you know, I mean this, so far, has been a six month -- or more than six month process I guess to get the letter to this point and I guess any future letter would be the same. So I guess I'm also kind of thinking from a case of efficiency that it'd just be good to make sure that this letter is as inclusive as it can be to, you know, to kick this process off and try to make change happen as fast as it can.

So that's just another thought on my mind, that these things do take time, so the more that we can get into it this time, you know, the better.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Good thoughts, Ian. And like I say, you know, we have this kind of letter — it's not a letter, it's just kind of a position paper, suggested — suggested topics, I guess that we would need to cover. So, I mean, you know, what we need to do is we need to, you know, get these thoughts on the record. It sounds like we're having, you know, some agreement among the Council that, yeah, you know, these things should be included so when we go back and actually put together a letter we can look back at our record here and see what kind of thoughts were, you know, generally agreed upon by the Council and we can incorporate those.

MR. SCHROEDER: Don, can I get back in.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,

Bob.

MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, I think we're -we're pretty close and I really appreciate, you know,
some of the -- some of the things like what Patty was
saying, you know, was, you know, kind of like sanding
off some rough edges. That's -- so that -- there
doesn't seem to be any real problem there.

I really agree with getting our citation concerning our authority correct. I don't see that that's a problem in that -- in that area.

I really think we -- it's completely appropriate to put in some mention of ANCSA Corporations because basically increasingly the ANCSA Corporations are on board of wanting co-management agreements and supporting subsistence now that the (indiscernible) programs are basically over.

And I don't see any problem in including something that recognizes, one, that mainly we're dealing with this phenomena of a lot of activity on the tribal co-management and indigenous co-management but that we're in no way closed to the idea of working with non-Native communities or organizations.

So I think with the right writing committee I think we've got a go here and I'd like to hear what the -- if there are other Council comments, but otherwise move this to a vote and then set up a writing committee which hopefully would include Ian, Cal, and Patty and others who have spoken.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, Bob. I think we're coming to a good consensus here. I think it's becoming clearer what our main points should be. I just want to make sure we get all the Council member input that we can here so I think we're pretty much in general agreement of what we've already talked about. Is there anybody else with other points they want to bring out.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, maybe more of a comment. It's John Smith.

 $\mbox{CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,} \label{eq:chairman} \mbox{John, comments are what we're looking for.}$

MR. SMITH: Just to echo. I really appreciate the -- the partnership. I think that's very key and very important. I know from hearing from the community in different areas already, and even hearing from Ray Paddock that I hear a good concern of putting that in place so I really like the document.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, John.

0458 1 Anybody else. 2 3 MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 6 Patty. 7 8 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 9 You know I think what we're trying to do is try to get 10 some more -- some co-management into this process. 11 We've seen some of it, in a way, in our FIS and, you 12 know, -- no, Fisheries Monitoring projects where we've 13 seen tribes working with, you know, Federal and tribes 14 working with State in resource monitoring projects. 15 We'd like to see some more of that and, you know, what 16 this -- you know, we're not seeing enough of it because 17 there's a lack of funding. And we're seeing good 18 results from, you know, involving tribes into those 19 sort of activities. If there's an opportunity for 20 those sort of activities similar to that where tribes 21 can work with, you know, the Subsistence Management 22 Program, you know, that's what we'd like to see. 23 24 And in terms of -- you know, I haven't 25 26 27

sat in on the, you know, the Women in Earth and Climate Action Network, I haven't sat through that so I don't know what they're asking. And I'm familiar with the Guardian Project and I can see that there's an opportunity to address what rural communities are saying, is that, you know, we need greater oversight of our resources out in the rural areas where, you know, we're not -- you know we see that locally but what can we do, you know, so there's opportunity here but, you know, I'm not sure exactly how far this draft is -what it's asking. So we're in sort of a -- it's sort of an introductory document, in my opinion. It's not -- it's a baby step, I think, but we would like to see some sort of end result, or, you know, some progress in the right direction, at least. So is that what this document's about or what?

40 41 42

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

Thank you.

43 44

45

46

47

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Patty. Well, we do have a short-term ask, you know, in Bullet 8 and that's asking the Forest Service and Park Service, Office of Subsistence Management, as our region's lead Federal agencies to actively engage....

```
0459
 1
                     (Teleconference interference -
 2
    participants not muted)
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                         ....in our
 5
     region's tribes and co-management agreements concerning
 6
     subsistence research planning as well as active
 7
     subsistence management. So, you know, we call on OSM
     to enter into co-management agreements with our
 9
     region's tribes to monitor the status of fish and
10
    wildlife populations and analyze regulatory proposals
11
    that may be submitted and to issue licenses and permits
12
    that may be required, engage in management issues and
13
     also provide enforcement -- but as pointed out, that
14
    may be getting a little ahead of ourselves, legally,
15
    but I would certainly say that we need to monitor
16
    harvesting as well.
17
18
                     And, yeah, so we have -- so we do have
19
     some short-term asks. They're included. But this is
20
     also just kind of like a policy statement, you know,
21
     things that we believe to be true and that's part of it
22
     as well, look at it as a policy statement, I think.
23
24
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Well, Mr. Chair, I mean
25
     -- I'm sorry.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead.
28
29
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
30
    mean that's kind of why I read my little statement
31
     there in the end is it took awhile to get to where we
32
     are today, I mean to have -- to have the degree of
33
    professional -- professionalism that we have dedicated
34
    to the Subsistence Program, you know, from the Office
35
    of Subsistence Management. You know, if you -- I mean
36
    I remember where we were way back when so I see a
37
    degree of professionalism here that I don't want to
38
    lose either but that professionalism can also build
39
     capacity within the tribes and I think that's kind of
     what we're asking, you know, without -- so, you know,
40
41
    we have to -- yeah, I don't know. Anyways, I just have
42
     to say that.
43
44
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay, I hear
47
     you Patty. So anybody else.
48
49
                     (No comments)
```

```
0460
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So
 2
    hopefully somebody's been thinking of a well worded
    motion that would put this on the floor for a vote. It
 4
    has to be -- it has to be accepted -- or the Council
 5
    has to be authorized -- has to authorize the writing of
 6
    this letter, I guess.
 7
 8
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
11
    Cathy.
12
13
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Is it the writing of the
14
    letter, or is it the distribution of the letter.
15
16
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, I guess it
19
    would be the submission of the letter would be the
20
    proper term.
21
22
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
25
     DeAnna.
26
27
                     MS. PERRY: I might be able to help.
28
     It sounds like what you are wanting to do is approve a
29
     general outline of information that was presented in
30
     the bullet's point document and discussed at this
31
    meeting for a drafting committee, which would be
32
     comprised of Council members, who would then finalize
33
     for the Chair, for your final approval and
34
     signature....
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: All right.
37
38
                     MS. PERRY: .....and, again, all that's
39
    based on comments on the administrative record of this
     Council. It sounds like you kind of want to do all of
40
41
     that at one whack or are you wanting several different
42
    motions. I was trying to think of all the different
43
    things we had talked about to see if I could provide a
44
     little bit of guidance on the different points we were
45
    looking at.
46
47
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, I believe
```

```
0461
     just do it with one motion that essentially says what
     we want to do. We want to send a letter to various
     agencies we have listed here, outlining the -- the
    Council's position, I guess -- it would be a position
 5
    paper on tribal co-management.
 6
 7
                     (Pause)
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We just need the
10
    proper wording put into a motion. If people want to
11
    think about that for a little while we could take a
12
    break. We could take a 15 minute break and come back
13
     at 4:00 o'clock. The only thing left on the agenda is
14
     a few more agency reports and talking about our future
15
    meeting dates and there might be a couple of little
16
     items to go back to, I'll have to look back and see.
17
     But if people would like to take a 15 minute break.
18
19
                     MR. KITKA: Don, this is Harvey.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, hi, Harvey.
22
23
                     MR. KITKA: Yeah, I'm back.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Good,
26
     thanks, Harvey. Yeah, let's take a 15 minute break.
27
     And this would be normally where we'd have a little
     huddle and everybody would kind of get together and
28
29
     say, you know, how are we going to word this but I
30
     guess we're just going to have to do that on our own
31
    here. So be thinking about proper wording for a motion
32
     while we take a 15 minute break.
33
34
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Can we do an off the
35
    record huddle.
36
37
                     (Laughter)
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't know how
40
    we'd do that.
41
42
                     (Off record)
43
44
                     (On record)
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So do we have a
47
    motion to put forward.
48
49
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.
```

0462 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Ian. 2 3 MR. JOHNSON: I can take a crack at it. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. 6 7 MR. JOHNSON: I make a motion that we 8 authorize a subcommittee to be put together to review 9 and adopt language discussed in this meeting and 10 presented in the draft document regarding a letter of 11 co-management to be addressed to both State and Federal 12 agencies and that we present that -- and that they 13 present that letter to the Board in autumn, or to the 14 RAC in the autumn for review and submission. 15 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. 17 18 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna. 19 20 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, DeAnna, you 21 might have a comment there. 22 23 MS. PERRY: Yeah, just for folks, the 24 word subcommittee has a specific connotation, 25 subcommittee actually makes us have FACA requirements 26 on the subcommittee so that's why we've been using the 27 name, work group, for our indigenous management work 28 group. I know that from what I've heard a few folks say 29 this afternoon, the words drafting committee have been 30 thrown out there, so I would just offer that because we 31 need to be mindful of using the word subcommittee in 32 any of our motions because that does -- that does make 33 a requirement kick in for FACA for us, reporting, and 34 the whole nine yards. 35 36 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Okay, maybe 37 just amend that a little bit Ian. 38 39 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 40 41 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If you could. 42 43 MR. JOHNSON: Yep. 44 45 (Laughter) 46 47 MR. JOHNSON: That's the newbie in me. 48 Okay. I make a motion to authorize a drafting committee 49 to take into consideration the discussion and draft

```
0463
    document around co-management and craft a letter that
     will be submitted for review back to the RAC in autumn
     of 2022.
 4
 5
                     MS. NEEDHAM: This is Cathy. I'll
 6
    second the motion.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
 9
    We have a motion and a second. So other comments from
10
    the Council on how we're going to proceed here before
11
    we vote.
12
13
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
16
    Cathy.
17
18
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Do we need to identify
19
     the folks that are on that drafting work group or did
20
     we already do that.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, yeah, we
23
    have an existing work group.....
24
25
                     (Teleconference interference -
26
    participants not muted)
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think we could
29
    probably consider that to be the drafting group.
30
31
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, we do have a
32
     working group and there seems like there were a couple
33
     of people who agreed to assist with the drafting of
34
     incorporating our discussion into the letter. So if
35
     it's a work group, can it just be flexible and open to
36
    those that want to participate and we don't have to
37
     actually identify them or name them but just ask them
38
     to join you guys and keep them informed of when the
39
     meetings are.
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I don't
41
42
     know, DeAnna, could you weigh in on that. I think
43
     that's getting a little beyond my.....
44
45
                     MS. PERRY: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair.
46
     I believe currently there are five Council members on
47
    the work group and, again, I would just caution folks
48
     that if go to quorum that is considered a FACA meeting
49
     so it's no longer a work group meeting.
```

```
0464
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. So we
 2
     definitely can't have more than six, it would be the
     maximum we could have in a work group.
 4
 5
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Can you clarify whether
 6
     or not you guys are counting me on the work group
 7
     because I was never an official work group member.
 8
 9
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's right.
12
    Well, let me just review here. I think myself, Bob
     Schroeder, Albert, Harvey, Ian, and I think we added
13
14
     Patty, that would be No. 6. So that's the most we
15
     could have. And, yeah, that's not including you,
16
     Cathy.
17
18
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19
    And I wasn't trying to volunteer to be on the work
20
     group, just making it clear. But we did have -- I
21
     thought, Cal's input during our discussion was -- and
22
    he has a really great background into the workings and
23
     -- he's volunteered to do some drafting. So I think
24
     finding a way to incorporate him, whether it's just
25
     having him go through the letter and submit comments to
26
     the work group, maybe that's the best we can do at this
27
    point. But I don't want to lose that as a resource
28
    because I think he has really valuable input.
29
30
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
33
34
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez, this
35
     is Patty Phillips.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
38
     Patty.
39
40
                     MS. PHILLIPS: I didn't -- I was just
41
     recently appointed and I didn't know that I was
42
     formally in this work group because, you know, I
43
    haven't been there. I mean I was -- I thought I was
44
     asked to review a document but I would be glad to step
     aside, you know, for other RAC members to be part of
45
46
     this drafting committee. I'm also available if you
47
     need me. So I don't mean to complicate things.
48
```

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

```
0465
 1
                     (Teleconference interference -
 2
    participants not muted)
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, we do kind
 5
     of run up against some FACA requirements here with a
 6
     quorum so we can't have too many people.
 7
 8
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is that DeAnna.
11
12
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. Might I
13
     suggest that we do sort of what we did with the work
14
     group, you know, as we start getting into spring and
15
     over the summer, there might be different commitments
     on people's time so the folks who are currently in the
16
17
     indigenous management work group might not be available
18
     for working on this letter. So if we went forward
19
    with....
20
21
                     (Teleconference interference -
22
    participants not muted)
23
24
                     MS. PERRY: .....with Ian's motion then
25
    we could follow that up with a quick motion of the
26
    volunteers who would like to be part of that drafting
27
    committee. It could be a really quick motion, who's
28
    interested and then we're done.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We can --
31
    we'll just.....
32
33
                     REPORTER: Hey, DeAnna, this is the
34
     court reporter. We need to find out who's phone is
35
    making all this interference.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We have background
38
     noise. It sounds quiet now.
39
40
                     REPORTER: Okay, great.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right, we can do
43
     that. We can, you know, just leave the motion as is,
44
     establish a work group and then under a second motion
45
     we'll actually set the members of the work group, that
46
     sounds good. We'll go back and.....
47
48
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Don....
49
```

```
0466
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....revisit that
 2
    motion.
 3
 4
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Mr. Chair.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, any
 7
     discussion.
                Go ahead, Bob.
 8
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, I was
 9
10
     anticipating -- I didn't anticipate that we'd want to
11
     delay this until autumn. If that's the will of the
12
     Council, that's fine, but we have a little bit of
13
     momentum here and I'm not sure -- with other
14
     correspondence that goes out from the Council, we've
15
     had a pattern of having a good record for what we want
16
     done and we forward it off to do the final wording. If
17
     you think of this -- this would mean that virtually
     every communication from the Council would be delayed
18
19
     by about six months if we required the Council to see a
20
     word for word version before something is sent out.
21
     I'm not sure if that was your intention, Ian, or if you
22
     didn't know how we had handled our communications
23
     through the Council.
24
25
                     Thank you.
26
27
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair.
28
29
                     MS. NEEDHAM: This is Cathy.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
32
     Ian.
33
34
                     MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, Bob, I weighed both
35
     options, I guess, when I was thinking about the motion,
     and chose the autumn one. I felt that, we do have some
36
37
     momentum but, you know, we definitely have momentum but
38
     I didn't feel that the issue was necessarily was time
39
     sensitive and there were a lot of discussion points so
40
     that it was worth bringing it up to the Council. But,
41
     yeah, I would definitely just encourage folks to think
42
     about that and we could always vote down the motion
43
    here and if folks -- you know if folks want to amend it
44
     to that alternate model. But yeah that's definitely
45
     the two options.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, so that's a
48
     discussion point.
```

```
0467
 1
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.
 4
 5
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
 6
     I agree with Ian. I am -- I do support his motion as
 7
     stated to have a final draft submitted for our approval
     next fall. I think this subject is very important and
 9
     there's a lot riding on it for a lot of people and I
10
     think we really should spend the time to get it right
11
     and I think if it takes us the summer to do that, well,
     then that's what we do. I really think that we have to
12
13
     spend some time thinking about this in terms of wording
14
     and how we do it. Patty's observations about, you
15
     know, specific words is a valid one. I want to make
16
     sure that this is written perfectly and that it gets
17
     the attention it deserves and to do that I think is
18
     going to take some time. I do think that the Council
19
     should see the final version before it's signed by you.
20
21
                     But, anyway, that's just my thoughts.
     I support Ian's motion as stated.
22
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody
25
     else.
26
27
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.
30
31
                     MS. NEEDHAM: I also....
32
33
                     MR. KITKA: This is Harvey.
34
35
                     MS. NEEDHAM: I also support.....
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I gotcha Harvey,
38
     after Cathy's done. Go ahead, Cathy.
39
40
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
41
     also support the motion as made. I think Cal hit the
42
     justification that I would have for that right on the
43
    head, and so I would be more inclined to vote -- I
44
     would like to see this letter again before it gets
45
     submitted just to make sure that -- I trust the
46
     drafting committee to capture our intent but I also
47
     want to make sure that we do, you know, get it right
48
     and that we have a tone that is appropriate for having
49
     it being received in a positive .
50
```

```
0468
 1
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 4
    Cathy. Harvey, what did you have to add.
 5
 6
                     MR. KITKA: Yeah, Don. I -- sorry -- I
 7
     support this motion. I really think that maybe you
     could ask for volunteers afterwards on a different
 9
     motion, but we should get this motion through the floor
10
     first.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks,
13
     Harvey. Anybody else.
14
15
                     MR. SMITH: This is John Smith.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, John.
18
19
                    MR. SMITH: In our culture we would say
20
     (In Tlingit). I echo what the team is saying and
21
     really agree with this paperwork.
22
23
                     Gunalcheesh. Ah.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
26
     John. anybody else.
27
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, are we ready
31
    for the vote.
32
33
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, I call for the
34
    question.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
37
38
                     MR. KITKA: Ouestion.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
41
     Cathy. So DeAnna, did you capture that full motion, it
42
     was a little lengthy, I'm kind of hesitant to repeat it
43
     back, if you have it -- you were probably taking better
44
     notes here.
45
46
                     MS. PERRY: Yeah, Ian spoke twice so I
47
     will put what I've captured between both of those and,
48
     Ian, if I didn't capture something or left something
49
     out, please let me know and I can insert that.
50
```

```
0469
 1
                     But basically Ian made a motion to
     authorize a drafting committee to take into
 2
     consideration comments made during this meeting and
     draft a letter for the Council to review, which will be
 5
     addressed to both State and Federal agencies -- I'm
     sorry, I should put, draft a letter for the Council to
 6
 7
     review in the fall.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. Okay, I
10
     think that captured it pretty well. Okay, do a roll
11
     call vote.
12
13
                     MS. PERRY: Okay.
14
15
                     Patty Phillips.
16
17
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
18
19
                     MS. PERRY: Louie Wagner, Jr.
20
21
                     MR. WAGNER: Yes.
22
23
                     MS. PERRY: Harvey Kitka.
24
25
                     MR. KITKA: Yes.
26
27
                     MS. PERRY: John Smith, III.
28
29
                     MR. SMITH: Yes.
30
31
                     MS. PERRY: Albert Howard.
32
33
                     MR. HOWARD: I vote yes.
34
35
                     MS. PERRY: Jim Slater.
36
37
                     MR. SLATER: Jim votes yes.
38
39
                     MS. PERRY: Mike Douville.
40
41
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mike Douville votes yes.
42
43
                     MS. PERRY: Cal Casipit.
44
45
                     MR. CASIPIT: Yes.
46
47
                     MS. PERRY: Bob Schroeder.
48
49
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Bob Schroeder votes
```

```
0470
 1
     yes.
 2
 3
                     MS. PERRY: Cathy Needham.
 4
 5
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Yes.
 6
 7
                     MS. PERRY: Frank Wright I believe is
 8
     out.
 9
10
                     Ian Johnson.
11
12
                     MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
13
14
                     MS. PERRY: And Don Hernandez.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I vote yes.
17
18
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
19
    vote was 12 to zero with one absent, motion passes.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good.
22
23
                     MS. PERRY: And if you would like to --
24
     for the drafting committee members you could start with
25
     volunteers if you'd like and I'll note those.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Discussion on a
28
    motion for -- yeah, I guess I'll start out, I'll
29
    volunteer.
30
31
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is that you
34
    volunteering, Ian.
35
36
                     MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, I do volunteer.
37
     also have a question about the -- just the committee
38
     size.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
41
42
                     MR. JOHNSON: Is it -- when we're
43
    meeting the quorum requirement, is it only the RAC
44
    members who are present at that particular meeting?
     Like for instance, if we obligate, like if we have
45
46
     eight volunteers who want to be a part of the group,
47
     does that essentially violate quorum at that point or
48
     is it only the members that are public [sic] at a
49
     working group meeting?
50
```

```
0471
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's a DeAnna
 2
    question.
 3
 4
                     MS. PERRY: It's the -- sorry, Mr.
 5
    Chair.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna.
 8
 9
                     MS. PERRY: Through the Chair, Member
10
    Johnson. yes, it is based on total seated members. So
11
    any time you have more than six of your members in one
12
     room together, no matter what the purpose, that is
13
     considered under FACA rules to be a FACA committee
14
    meeting. So even if they're just.....
15
16
                     MR. JOHNSON: Right. Yes.
17
18
                     MS. PERRY: .....off the wall, just not
19
    participating, it's still a quorum of the.....
20
21
                     (Teleconference interference -
22
    participants not muted)
23
24
                     MS. PERRY: .....members meeting at a
25
     time.
26
27
                     MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, okay, but if we had
28
     a -- just to doublecheck. But if we had like eight
29
    people who volunteered right now but only five of them
30
     show up to a meeting tomorrow, we would still be
31
    violating FACA.
32
33
                     MS. PERRY: Through the Chair, Mr.
34
              The Administrative record would show that we
     Johnson.
35
    have basically obligated eight of our Council members
36
     to participate, whether those eight all show up, that's
37
     different, but our administrative.....
38
39
                     (Teleconference interference -
40
    participants not muted)
41
42
                     MS. PERRY: .....but our administrative
43
    record would show that eight people have been obligated
44
    to attend the meeting and, yes, that would be a quorum,
45
    which would kick in all of the FACA reporting
46
    requirements.
47
48
                     MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
49
```

```
0472
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks for
 2
     clarifying that DeAnna.
 4
                     MR. JOHNSON: Okay, thank you.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So Ian you're
 7
    volunteering?
 8
 9
                     MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chair.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
12
13
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
14
    I volunteered in the last discussion, I volunteer this
15
    time too.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal.
18
    Anybody else.
19
20
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: yes.
23
24
                     MR. SMITH: John Smith.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, John.
27
28
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, I definitely support
29
     the team if I'm needed. My strength, you know, is more
30
     oral, traditional and research, I love research. So if
31
     I'm needed I'd definitely be there to support.
32
33
                     Happy day.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
36
     John. Anybody else.
37
38
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, Don, this is Bob.
39
     I'd suggest that someone take on the job of being lead
40
     of this committee and I also have a concern, depending
41
     on when we set our date for our fall meeting about my
42
     availability. I am available for the first month of
43
     the meeting calendar and I won't be available after
44
     September 15th.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Are you
47
    volunteering to be on the writing committee, though,
48
     Bob, or you -- you don't have to be the lead.
49
```

```
0473
 1
                     MR. SCHROEDER: If -- if I can assist
     that would be great but I won't be the lead dog in this
 2
     dog team.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So I have
 6
    five. There's room for one more. Five may be enough.
 7
 8
                     (No comments)
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, it sounds
11
     like we've got five names forward. Does somebody want
12
     to put those names into a motion.
13
14
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, I move that
15
     those names that are recorded on the record be assigned
16
     to this drafting committee. This is Cal.
17
18
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Cathy, second.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Cal made the
21
    motion, Cathy seconded. DeAnna, just for the record,
22
    could you read off the names.
23
24
                     (No comments)
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: DeAnna, do you
27
    have the list of names there.
28
29
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair, sorry.
30
    Lost in my star, six's again.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep.
33
                     MS. PERRY: So the motion is for the
34
35
    following Council members to serve on the drafting
36
     committee: Cal Casipit, Ian Johnson, John Smith, III,
37
    Bob Schroeder and Don Hernandez. I did not hear that a
38
     lead was chosen unless I missed that, Mr. Chair.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't think we
41
     chose anybody, we'll just decide that amongst ourselves
42
     when we get together.
43
44
                     MS. PERRY: Okay.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm on the
47
     committee, I'll probably set up the, at least, the
48
     initial meeting get together. So, okay, are we ready
49
     for a vote.
```

0474 1 MR. JOHNSON: Call for the question. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. 4 Question's been called for. I'll do a voice vote on this one. All in favor of designating those five 5 6 volunteers to draft this letter on indigenous 7 management say aye. 8 9 IN UNISON: Aye. 10

(Pause)

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, hearing none, it passes. We'll put something together. My intent is to put -- have the first group meeting get together here fairly soon, we'll kind of send out a little poll when people are available but we want to do this while it's fresh in our minds.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Okay, so I guess one thing I wasn't anticipating is bringing this back to the Council in the fall but it sounds like that's what the Council wants so that's what we'll do. And I guess I do want to say that, you know, through this whole process, the whole work group process, we've done a little bit of this in the past. I know it's never been my intention for the work group to put something together and have the Council rubber-stamp it, so I think we've proved that we're not about to do that. We've got a lot of input into this now and hopefully this committee will come up with a really good document to bring back to the Council in the fall and everybody will approve it then.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

So it's kind of a difficult process made more difficult by the fact that we haven't had a chance to sit down in person and hash some of this stuff out. I believe with John Smith, III, I operate much better orally. I like to sit around in a group, have a give and take. All this reading emails and kind of writing responses to emails and passing them back and forth and being on the telephone, that just doesn't very well for me so it's been really hard but, yep, that's what we've had to do so we'll move forward.

44 45 46

47

48

Okay, so let's wrap this meeting up. There's a few other items to take care of here. We have a couple more agency reports. We want to hear a little bit more from, let's see, Forest Service people,

0475 I believe, they're on the list. 2 3 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 6 DeAnna, who's up first. 7 8 MS. PERRY: Well, before we go to 9 agency reports, I didn't know if you would want to, in 10 a sense, suspend the rules and kind of go back to some 11 old business that we discussed earlier regarding -actually I guess it would be under new business for 12 13 fishery proposals. There was a discussion and some 14 information from Jake Musslewhite regarding the process 15 for adjusting limits on State permits. You talked 16 about the harvest limits at Neva Creek for subsistence 17 sockeye harvest. 18 19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, yes. 20 21 MS. PERRY: And, I didn't know if you 22 would want to revisit that. 23 24 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I kind of 25 wrote it in on my agenda. I didn't know if we'd take 26 that up after we get through the reports of before, but 27 I guess whoever's available now. 28 29 MS. PERRY: I think everyone's standing 30 by, Mr. Chair, so it's up to you and the Council, 31 what's your pleasure. 32 33 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Could you remind 34 me, again, of what Jake wanted to get back to us with 35 on the Neva Creek. 36 37 MS. PERRY: he had outlined a process 38 for adjusting limits on State permits for subsistence 39 sockeye harvest at Neva Creek. And I can call on Jake to remind us of the details, if you'd like, if you're 40 41 ready for that now. 42 43 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, we can do 44 that now. 45 MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, hi, Mr. Chair, 46 47 this is Jake Musslewhite with the Forest Service. Can 48 you guys hear me okay.

0476 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Got you fine, go 2 ahead. 3 4 MR. MUSSLEWHITE: All right. Okay, 5 yeah, I'll try to do this real quick. I -- I just 6 wanted to kind of get back in terms of that process for 7 adjusting the State limits. I had a little bit more conversation with Dave Harris, who's the Juneau area --8 9 Area Management Biologist, so -- so I discussed with 10 him the possibility of, you know, not trying to do 11 anything right away since this is sort of an extremely 12 new development, just really kind of coming in today 13 and -- which gives us a little bit of time to kind of 14 fig -- figure stuff out. It also works -- if we're 15 going to use Neva as sort of a test case for this, it 16 dovetails nicely with the closure review we discussed 17 earlier. Staff, probably me, in fact, will be gathering 18 a lot of data and examining the need to continue that 19 closure and that would mesh very nicely with look --20 also looking at if we -- if it's possible to adjust 21 that current State permit harvest limit at Neva Creek. 22 23 So I guess, long story, short, is, you 24 know, my advice to the Council would be that we can try 25 to feel out that process along with Staff at Fish and 26 Game and bring this to you, along with the Neva closure 27 review when we have a little bit more time to figure it 28 out, and then if the Council wishes to ask Fish and 29 Game to adjust harvest limits at Neva, or at any other 30 system, any of the harvest limits throughout Southeast 31 Alaska, we can then do that after our fall meeting and 32 have all winter to do it. It looks like right --33 they're basically finalizing the permit limits right 34 now so we wouldn't have really time to do it for this 35 coming season anyway, that way we would have plenty of 36 time over the winter for it -- to apply to the 2023 37 season. 38 39 So that seems to me to be a good course 40 of action, if that -- you know if that works for the 41 Council. 42 43 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks, 44 Jake. Council want to weigh in on that, any comments, 45 suggestions. 46 47 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.

48 49

```
0477
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     I'd really like to thank Jake for bringing this before
    us. I think it is a potentially great opportunity down
    the line to be able to get a higher harvest limit for
 5
     some of the communities for sockeye in their system.
    I'd like to take their recommendation on the timeline
 6
 7
    that we revisit this in the fall with the hopes of
    being able to implement it for some of the 2023
 8
 9
     subsistence fisheries.
10
11
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah, I
14
    echo thoughts. So thank you, Cathy. Anybody else.
15
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
19
     So Jake, yeah, that was a good catch. Interesting
20
     possibilities here. So we will definitely be talking
     about it more in the fall so thanks again.
21
22
23
                     MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, thank you, Mr.
24
    Chair.
25
26
                     MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, this is Louie.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So, DeAnna, do we
29
    have some folks from the Forest Service ready to give
30
     reports.
31
32
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair, but I think
33
    maybe someone was trying to speak, maybe one of the
34
    Council members was trying to speak just now.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If there was
37
     somebody, go ahead.
38
39
                     MR. WAGNER: Yeah, Mr. Chair, Louie.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Louie, okay, go
42
     ahead.
43
44
                     MR. WAGNER: I was just hoping to catch
45
     Jake there before he left to see if he had an update
46
     from the Unuk River with the boots on the ground there.
47
     The satellite phones work fine up there and Shane
48
     Walker gave us a real good report last year in the
```

50

community here.

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, through the
Chair, Jake Musslewhite again. Sorry, no, no updates.
You might have a better line to folks there than I
would, honestly. So, yeah, I wish I -- I wish I had
something to update you, oh, sorry.

MR. WAGNER: Oh, so you have no knowledge of any fish or not, I know Steve Huffline, my neighbor, he uses a satellite phone every day up there to his wife.

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, no, that's all being done by Staff out of our office down in Ketchikan, I believe, so I'm not real -- working too closely with them, I just know that they're out doing it right now. So I'm not getting any direct reports or anything, I think they're still out in the field. Yeah, so just kind of -- I'm on the north end here, the south end of things, that seems like a long ways away.

MR. WAGNER: All right, thank you, Jake. Thank you, Chair.

 $\label{thman} \mbox{CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So Forest Service agency reports, I think there's several on the agenda here. \\$

MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna. I believe Greg Risdahl, he has the Forest Service Subsistence Program report to give. He does have a conflict at 5:00 o'clock, so if it's okay with you and the Council, could he go first under the Forest Service agency reports.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure, go ahead.

MR. RISDAHL: Thank you, DeAnna. Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. This report was actually put together for the last meeting in the fall but the Council did not have time to get to it so I have updated it a bit and I'll be giving it to you here this afternoon.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$ this is about the 2021 Subsistence Program.

The management of National Forest lands for subsistence uses plays a vital role in sustaining the health of rural Alaskan communities by ensuring

that healthy fish and wildlife populations are available to provide a reliable food supply for residents. The Federal Subsistence Management Program plays an important role in maintaining employment in rural Alaskans where opportunities are often limited as well. The Forest Service supports the subsistence way of life in rural communities by implementing critical monitoring and management activities using funds specifically allocated towards subsistence uses on National Forest lands.

In fiscal year 2021 the Alaska region Forest Service and Fish and Wildlife Service personnel and the Office of Subsistence Management analyzed nine wildlife regulatory management proposals in the Southeast region and 27 in the Southcentral region to determine wildlife population trends and appropriate harvest levels and to determine appropriate management actions to provide for the continuation of subsistence uses on National Forests in Alaska. Forest Service Staff also analyzed two wildlife closure reviews for the Southeast region and provided input for additional regulatory proposals on other Federal lands across Alaska on Federal public lands.

Through delegated authority from the Federal Subsistence Board Forest Service in-season managers implemented seven special actions on the Tongass National Forest to conserve subsistence resources in 2021.

Three of these actions were for wildlife, specifically mountain goat, and four for fish, three were salmon and one for eulachon. No special actions were implemented on the Chugach in 2021.

At the State level the Forest Service InterAgency Staff Committee member, that's me, helped evaluate several additional emergency action requests to address immediate conservation and subsistence uses for fish and wildlife across the state.

The Forest Service Regional Advisory Council Coordinator, DeAnna, she facilitated four Council meetings by the end of the year, two each for the Southeast and Southcentral Councils.

In 2021 the Tongass oversaw eight

sockeye salmon stock assessment projects and one eulachon monitoring project through the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. Also through the FRMP, the Tongass National Forest partnered with five tribal groups and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and supported 16 local jobs with tribal organizations in rural communities.

Approximately \$775,000 in Forest Service funding was distributed across the Tongass and Chugach National Forests for subsistence projects in 2021. In addition, the Forest Service provided approximately \$465,000 in subsistence support to OSM. Notably, the Chugach National Forest completed year six, it's final year of a black bear monitoring project in partnership with ADF&G. The last bears were radio collared in 2018 and collars were picked up last fall. Data analysis and report writing is underway now.

In combination, both National Forests completed 26 deer surveys and six population surveys for moose and mountain goats. Monitoring was implemented primarily through contracts and agreements. Forest Service research and inventory and monitoring projects continue to be vital to effectively manage subsistence resources and uses while providing for conservation of harvest of species.

In partnership with the Department of Interior, the Forest Service continues to contribute to and work cooperatively within the Alaska Federal Subsistence Management Program.

Activities included annual rulemaking, such as the Tongass submerged lands proposed rule as well as the most recent fish and shellfish rule that was just signed into law and published in the Federal Register. Also we participated in law enforcement and education, employment and capacity building.

 The Forest Service regularly consulted with Alaska tribes and Native corporations to comply with Presidential and Secretarial policy direction and to be respectful of the current and historical role of Native Alaskans and other Federally-qualified rural subsistence users while managing for customary and traditional uses.

A few details about the Subsistence

0481 1 Program's budget. 2

3 The President's budget proposed for 4 management of National Forest lands in Alaska was 5 essentially the same as that enacted by the 6 Congressional budget in 2021. However, because of 7 budget modernization within USDA the budget allocation 8 process has changed and Staff salaries were put under a 9 separate funding code. So the total subsistence budget 10 for last year was nearly \$2.6 million, and there's a 11 table in the report that I provided for you guys. 12 subsistence operating budget, which is the total 13 budget, minus salaries and travel for fiscal year 2021 14 was approximately \$1.300 million. This included 15 \$205,000 in priori year funds going back as far as 16 2005. An estimated \$1.216 million was spent supporting 17 salaries for 46 Forest Service personnel on the Tongass 18 and Chugach National Forests, specifically in 19 subsistence. Subsistence funding enabled the Forest 20 Service to continue to meet its responsibilities under 21 the 1980 ANILCA Act as well as provide vital employment in isolated rural communities. The current level of 22 23 funding also enabled the Forest Service to continue 24 hire approximately 30 to 40 seasonal employees to 25 assist in collecting fish and wildlife population data. 26 Of course this information is used to set annual 27 harvest levels and is essential for keeping fish and 28 wildlife populations healthy and productive. 29 Forest Service continued to use funds from the 30 Congressional line item for subsistence to manage 31 Alaska region subsistence management programs including 32 for the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program.

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

In 2021 approximately \$775,000 from the subsistence budget was provided to the Tongass and Chugach Forest, primarily for FRMP Program. funding will continue to support ongoing projects in 2022. On the Chugach Forest \$75,000 was allocated to monitor black bear, deer, moose and mountain goat populations. Additional funding in the amount of \$30,000 was allocated to restore salmon streams on the Resurrection River and to hire an intern to conduct subsistence fishing monitoring activities. majority of the Tongass funding went towards FRMP projects, although deer, moose, and mountain goat surveys were also funded with subsistence dollars, just not through the FRMP Program.

In 2021, as mentioned, the Forest

Service supported OSM by providing \$465,523 to the Southeast and Southcentral Regional Advisory Councils, to pay OSM Anthropology Staff to review and analyze wildlife and fisheries proposals, closure reviews and special action requests. In addition, \$50,000 were allocated to supplement law enforcement. No funds are currently available specifically for a Wildlife Resource Monitoring Program, although funds, as mentioned, were spent for wildlife monitoring from the base subsistence budget on both Forests.

To-date lands managed by the Forest Service in Alaska, both the Southeast and Southcentral regions, approximately \$30.600 million has been invested to complete over 90 projects through the FRMP Program. One new FRMP project was funded in 2020 by OSM, and as you know there are seven projects that are just starting up now for 2022. Nearly 17 million of the total of this \$30 million has been paid through direct contracts to tribal governments performing this work. At its peak, the Forest Service supported over 65 local jobs in rural Alaska directly from FRMP funding. By comparison, in 2019 the FRMP supported about 30 jobs, in 2020 the Program supported 22 jobs and in 2021 the Program supported another 22 jobs. And as everybody has seen, the Covid virus, the pandemic has definitely slowed things down a little bit in the rural communities and that's part of what we're seeing here.

Forest Service subsistence resources monitoring projects provide valuable information and help build collaborative relationships with other Federal, State and tribal partners. Forest Service involvement in the FRMP has also helped to build capacity and local tribal governments involved in increasingly complicated and labor intensive scientific research, inventorying monitoring projects and traditional ecological knowledge studies. This work has helped tribal organizations effectively participate in regulatory decisionmaking by the State and Federal governments regarding wildlife and fisheries issues.

Just a couple notes about Staffing.

Most folks know by now that Terry Suminski, our Tongass National Forest Subsistence Program Coordinator, he retired just this past February. He had in over 30 years in Federal service

0483 and most of that time was spent down in Southeast. He will remain in Sitka where he currently lives. 4 Also, you probably heard that Milo 5 Burcham, he's a Subsistence Biologist for the Chugach 6 in Cordova. He's also going to be retiring. 7 8 There seems like there's a lot of 9 people retiring. A lot of us are in that same age 10 class. 11 12 Anyway, we're very happy that both of 13 these guys have made this change in life but we also 14 know it's going to be difficult to fill their shoes 15 although I'm really pleased with the work that Rob 16 Cross is doing right now detailing in for Terry, it's 17 great. 18 19 Happy Trails to both. 20 21 Finally, you've already heard that 22 Justin Koller, he was a Subsistence Biologist out of 23 Sitka, he has accepted a position and he is now working 24 for OSM. We wish you well as well, Justin. I remember 25 talking to you about that five years ago so I'm really 26 happy for you. 27 28 Anyway, that's all I have for you 29 today, if you have any questions I'd be happy to 30 answer. 31 32 Thank you, very much. 33 34 (Teleconference interference -35 participants not muted) 36 37 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 38 Greq. Yeah, I don't know if there are any questions. 39 A lot of what Greg relayed to us here is also in our Council books under Tab 11B, a lot of those numbers and 40 figures, if you want to go back and look at those. So 41 42 any other questions for Greg. 43 44 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal. 45 46 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal. 47 48 MR. CASIPIT: I don't want to belabor 49 this point and maybe Greg and I can have a conversation

later after the meeting's over but, you know, \$30,000 maybe it doesn't seem like a lot of money but the \$30,000 that was allocated to the Chugach to restore salmon runs on Resurrection. Subsistence funds are being reduced, you know, since the beginning when we started and \$30,000 being spent on restoration on a creek that has been messed up by gold placer miners, I think that the gold placer miners ought to be paying for that restoration and not valuable subsistence dollars that can go to important subsistence related monitoring.

I don't know maybe there's not enough detail for me to make out what was actually being sent there but perhaps Greg and I can have a conversation off line about that.

MR. RISDAHL: Thank you, Cal, for that comment, and through the Chair. Yes, I'd be happy to talk to you a little bit more about that Cal later. I probably should have bone up on some of the details of that restoration project as well but I definitely hear what you're saying. I have experienced this quite a few times in -- throughout my career, especially with the Fish and Wildlife Service. They have a habit of picking up lands that are heavily polluted by some other organization or industry and nobody wants to pay and eventually, the Federal government, if they want to clean it up, they end up, often times, footing the bill. So I can tell you in a general sense that is often what happens, but I am happy to talk to you more later about specifically what they've done up there on the Resurrection.

Thank you for your comment.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else with a question or comment.

(No comments)

 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Greg. And, yeah, it's been great working with Terry Suminski, he was always a good help to us and I got to know him quite well. I wish him a happy retirement and I'm sure his replacement will be equally as good as Terry was in their job so no concerns there. I wish him well.

```
0485
 1
                     MR. RISDAHL: Thank you, very much.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So moving on.
 4
    Yeah. Who else do we have up for agency reports, let's
 5
     stick with anybody that's with the Forest Service for
 6
     now.
 7
 8
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna.
11
                     MS. PERRY: Can you hear me okay.
12
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
15
     DeAnna.
16
17
                     MS. PERRY: Okay. Earlier in the
18
    meeting I mentioned that Kevin Hood has been selected
19
    as the Regional Tribal Relations Specialist. He's the
20
    new Melinda Hernandez Burke. Kevin is on leave this
21
    week so we won't be hearing from him, however, Jennifer
22
    Handlin, most of us know her work from the Yakutat
23
    Tlingit Tribe. She's spoke to our Council before. She
24
    was recently selected as the Tongass Tribal Relation
25
     Specialists and she takes the position vacated from
26
    Carla Kasolucan a little while back, and I believe
27
    Jennifer is with us and I'd like to give her just a
28
     couple minutes to introduce herself, or reintroduce
29
    herself to the Council and especially to the new
30
    members.
31
32
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, DeAnna.
35
    Go ahead, Jennifer.
36
37
                     MS. HANDLIN: Yeah, good afternoon, Mr.
38
     Chair and Council. I hope everyone can hear me okay.
39
     I'm currently teleworking out of Yakutat so I do have
40
     some connection issues. For the record my name is
41
     Jennifer Handlin. I'm pleased to introduce myself as
42
    the Tribal Relations Specialist for the Tongass
43
    National Forest.
44
45
                     My work is going to revolve around
46
    upholding our agency's trust responsibility to and our
47
    duty to consult with tribes and Alaska Native
48
     Corporations. And I'm also going to be providing
49
     tribes and tribal entities support and other
```

capacities, such as partnership development with the agency and information sharing. I'm sure there's going to be collaboration with your Coordination, DeAnna. For example, my position can be leveraged to help increase outreach and bring more tribal voices into the SERAC process such as testimony or presentations.

As mentioned I presented to this Council years ago in my former role with the Yakutat Tlingit Tribe on an ongoing baseline water quality data collection throughout the Yakutat Forelands. And that was an example of tribally collected data meant to inform management decisions. I know there are similar tribal programs being planned throughout the region, and so the Forest Service definitely acknowledges that tribes has so much to offer and we're so excited to be able to work through the cooperative agreement with Tlingit and Haida and supporting that Indigenous Guardians Network. As you're aware there's a lot of momentum for the Department of Agriculture and Department of Interior to development -- excuse me -to develop co-management opportunities with tribes. All this is very long overdue. I acknowledge this is going to take some time to develop. As you know the Forest Service hasn't always had the best relationship with tribes so it's going to take some time to build or rebuild some of that trust but I do see the comanagement effort as an opportunity for reconciliation.

So our agency is looking forward to working with the tribes to promote better stewardship of public lands and I know that includes the subsistence resources and habitat.

So I would just encourage SERAC to submit any letters to the U.S. Forest Service regarding tribal co-management because even if our agency is already going that direction it is helpful to have that support documented.

 In my position, I'm not in a position to make any decisions but I do play an advisory role to those that do. So when you do proceed with submitting a letter or position paper, I would definitely encourage you to include the Forest Service Tribal Relations Office in that correspondence.

Gunalcheesh.

0487 1 Thank you for allowing me to introduce 2 myself, and I yield my time back. 4 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 5 Yeah, you could be quite busy here in the 6 foreseeable future. so any questions or comments for 7 Jennifer from the Council. 8 9 (No comments) 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you 12 for your introduction, appreciate that. We also have a 13 report on special actions from Rob Cross, is he 14 available. 15 16 MR. CROSS: Yes, can you hear me, Mr. 17 Chair. 18 19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 20 Rob. 21 22 MR. CROSS: All right, thank you, Mr. 23 Chair. For the record my name is Robert Cross with the Forest Service. There have been four special actions 24 25 since the fall meeting. 26 27 28 29 30 31

So there was Unit 5A moose that was closed in that portion of Unit 5A west of the Dangerous River except the Nunitak Bench from October 12 through November 15, 2021. And I believe the closure was a result of reaching the harvest quota.

And then there were two for Unit 4 mountain goat. The Clarence Kramer zone was closed from December 29 through the end of the season, which was December 31. And then Coldsword Zone (ph), which was closed the next day, December 30th through December 31, the next day. And that closure was a result of reaching the harvest quota for each one of those zones.

And then the District 1 eulachon were closed by special action March 1st through April 29, 2022 except for the Unuk River drainage and harvest within the Unuk River drainage is limited to one five gallon bucket of whole eulachon per household and gear's restricted to cast net, ring net, less than or equal to 36 inches in diameter and/or dipnet from March 1 through April 29 this year.

48 49 50

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

That's all I have for special actions, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Rob. Any questions on the special actions.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Moving down the list, report on the Southeast Sustainability Strategy update, is Barb Miranda available.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. Barb Miranda needed to go to another appointment but she did send me a written report which I'll send out in its entirety to the rest of the Council. But Barbara Miranda, she's the Southeast Alaska Sustainability Strategy Program Coordinator. And she mentioned a couple of items that she wanted to pass on and, again, I will send the entire report out. I know it's getting a little late and numbers are running together but I'll share this.

In the short time since the Secretary's announcement for the project over 270 investment proposals were received totalling more than \$276 million. These proposals reflect the broad and deep need for economic stimulus in Southeast Alaska. The One USDA Team employed a selection process to ensure the resulting investments meet the USDA commitment to equity, ecological, economic and cultural sustainability and reflect the diverse opportunities and needs in Southeast Alaska.

The Secretary has determined the initial \$25 million investment is broken into four broad categories. And those are tribal and indigenous interests, 50 percent of the pot. Natural resources 25 percent. Infrastructure 12.5 percent. And community capacity 12.5 percent. Within each broad category the team identified sub-categories and they recommended funding levels. A majority of investment is anticipated to be distributed through Forest Service agreements. The One USDA Team is now working directly with 30 organizations and tribal nations to refine project outcomes, funding amounts and timeframes to enter into cooperative agreements. The USDA Delegation is announcing the first bit of investments in Juneau Alaska on or around March 30th.

Again, Mr. Chair, Barbara did send me a written report. She had intended on mentioning all of this to folks but I know we're running out of time and like I said she had another engagement so I will send that along by email.

And next up I think we're going to hear again from Rob Cross, the wildlife harvest summary if you're ready Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you for that DeAnna, we'll look forward to reading that Sustainability Strategy update. And, Rob, you're up with a wildlife harvest summary.

MR. CROSS: All right, thank you, Mr. Chair. Again, this is Rob Cross, for the record. This presentation is on Tab 11A of your materials and it's an overview of the State and Federal wildlife harvest in the Southeast region for 2020 although there's some preliminary information on 2021 in there. The first several slides is harvest reported by the State and then I'll discuss the harvest under Federal permits.

Okay.

So on this slide, actually the next few slides are kind of all set up the same where we have the different units across the top and then in that second row we have the average harvest from 2000 to 2011 and then down at the bottom we have the average harvest from 2012 to 2020. So that lets you compare longitude and layer, lets you compare over time the difference in the averages of harvest. And then the highest harvest year per unit in each one of these graphs is -- or in each one of these tables is going to be in bold. So on average for deer, Units 1, 2 and 4 harvest have increased. Unit 3 lengthened its season in part of the unit and extends into the rut so it's kind of hard to compare those harvest numbers over time now in Unit 3. And then Unit 5 has decreased. And then Unit 2 average deer harvest increased from 2012 to 2015 but then declined considerably beginning in 2017.

Okay.

And then the next slide we have moose -- make sure we're caught up here -- okay. So with

moose Unit 1 decreased and Unit 3 has increased and that's consistent with what the State's been staying in terms of shifting effort to Kupreanof and Kuiu Islands in Unit 3. And this is likely due to old growth timber harvest stands that are now entering into the stem exclusion stage and so they're supporting less moose and -- or they're at least more difficult to hunt. And as we know moose depend on disturbed areas such as meandering river systems like the Stikine that can produce large flushes of willow and timber harvest can replicate that in a way but then it quickly goes away once it reaches stem exclusion. And we're definitely seeing effort decrease on the mainland here and Petersburg and Wrangell area, in historically popular areas like Thomas Bay where there was a large scale timber harvest. But the number of hunters and success rate has remained stable in the last five years and the Unit 1 average has dropped but moose numbers in the area are thought to be stable. And then Unit 5 moose harvest has decreased as well.

The next slide, mountain goat harvest.

Here we go.

Most goats are harvested in Southeast under a registration permit but there are a few draw permits available. So harvest in Unit 1 has been fairly consistent with a slight increase in the annual average. And average annual harvest in Unit 4 is considerably lower in the more recent average, the 2013 to 2020 but we're starting to see an increase with the new management system that's been put into place on Baranof Island. And the population decreased substantially about 10 years ago but it's currently at an all time high, so we would expect to see increased opportunity in harvest in Unit 4 for mountain goats. And Unit 5 numbers are the result of low hunter effort and there is a conservation concern in a small area of Unit 5.

The next slide shows the elk harvest from Etolin Island. And the harvest here is primarily on draw permits with a bull only draw permit but there's also a registration permit available at the end of the year just not as popular as the draw permits. And access to this hunt is notoriously difficult so it often limits the success rate.

All right, next slide.

 All right, so this next slide lists a few Federal subsistence permits that are available for wildlife in Southeast. And the designated hunting permit is the most popular in this region. It allows a qualified harvester to harvest game for other Federally-qualified harvesters. And a Federal draw permit in Unit 1C Berners Bay moose was initiated in 2019 and this is form 25 percent of the annual moose quota for Berners Bay.

Okay and on the next -- the next slide -- okay, so the next two slides, they're set up the same. They're both pie charts.

So one -- so this first one is going to be for the percent of total permits by community from 2000 to 2012 and then the next one is going to be for more recent data. But the take home here is that the predominant recipients of Federal permits in this area are Wrangell, Sitka and Petersburg for this time period.

And then we can go to the next slide.

Okay, so 2013 to 2020, we see basically the same thing, that trend hasn't changed. The predominant recipients of Federal permits are still Wrangell, Sitka and Petersburg. It's about 80 percent.

Okay, so on the next slide we have Unit 1A moose permit which allows for an early opportunity to harvest moose. But it's a pretty remote area, it's the Burrows Bay area and it's mostly limited to property owners on the river just due to the difficulty accessing it.

Okay. And then we can take a look at the Federal mountain goat harvest on the next slide.

 Okay, the Unit 1A permit allows for a harvest of a second -- or sorry, 1A and 1B allows for a harvest of a second goat after a first goat has been harvested under a State permit. And as you can see from the data, it's not -- it's pretty rarely issued and we really haven't seen any harvest on it. And then the Unit 5A, 5B goat permit is kind of the same. It's not a super popular permit and we've only seen two goats harvested on it since 2018.

Okay, on the next slide we'll see brown bear -- there we go.

So this has remained consistently low over the years as you can see, just a couple bears, and only a few permits have been issued.

Okay, and then the last two slides are the designated harvester permit. There we go.

Okay, so this slide shows the number of designated harvest permits issued annually. The number of those permittees reporting deer and moose hunting effort and then the number of deer and moose reported harvested. The permits issued and average deer and annual moose harvest have both increased and we -- we do have -- we do know that in -- I'm sorry, last year, we had 220 permit that were issued and the harvest reporting hasn't been completed yet. And this chart is kind of the same where it shows the highest in each category in bold so you can see the highest harvest and the highest number of permits issued.

Okay, and so the next slide is the last slide with Federal designated hunter deer harvest by community. And this table is kind of set up the same way but it's flipped on its axis just because it's sort of — it has more data on it. So you can see the 2003 to 2012 average on that second column, and then in the last column you can see the 2013 to 2020 average and those are both in bold so you can compare over time and then it goes by community down the side.

So for instance the fourth row from the bottom is the Sitka harvest and you can see that the average annual harvest increased from 78 to 121 over that time period. This is likely just due to higher abundance in deer in general in Unit 4. The population declined during in the winter of 2006 to 2009 but has rebounded pretty successfully in most of Unit 4. The only other notable change is in Klawock where the average went from six deer to 20 deer so.

So we can leave this slide up for everybody to look at. I know I kind of blew through that but I can take any questions at this time, Mr. Chair.

(Pause)

```
0493
 1
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Don, are you there.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, are you there.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm back. Yeah,
 8
     sorry, Cathy I muted for awhile, I forgot. Yeah,
 9
     thanks Rob for presenting all that to us. Very good
10
     explanation of what we're looking at here so appreciate
11
     that. Any questions from the Council.
12
13
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chair, John Smith.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
16
     John.
17
18
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, I really like seeing
19
     this data. It's a little more broke down smaller into
20
     graph here to understand more of where each individual
21
     came from. I like seeing that and like when we were
22
     dealing with Mr. Jackson and the moose, I was looking
23
     at that and that would really be interesting to see the
24
     data that collects to, okay, this person traveled from
25
     Juneau to go to Hoonah to hunt and harvested two deer
26
    over there. It would be cool to see if this person
27
    went over to Deishu Haines, or Klukwan to harvest a
    goat. Breaking down more of that to realizing how some
28
29
    people move to different locations out of their --
30
    their area to harvest our foods.
31
32
                     Gunalcheesh. Ah. Ho. Ho. I like
33
     what I see.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, John.
36
     Any response there, Rob.
37
38
                     MR. CROSS: Mr. Chair, through the
39
     Chair. Yeah, I'm not sure if there's a question in
40
     there but, yeah, we're happy to provide that data and
41
     definitely if there's more specific data request. A
42
    lot of this is from the State unless it's a Federal
43
    permit, or we're talking about designated harvester
44
     information. So it does take awhile to get that
45
     information but we're happy to provide it and compile
46
    it in whatever way seems most useful.
47
48
                     Thank you.
```

```
0494
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: All right, thanks
 2
     Rob. Any other questions.
 3
 4
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal.
 7
 8
                     MR. CASIPIT: Quick question about the
 9
     Berners Bay Federal draw permit hunt. I don't see any
10
     report from that. Perhaps you can email that to me.
11
     DeAnna has my contact information, I'd be interested in
12
     that. In fact, I'm kind of wondering why it's not
13
     already in here. I don't want to belabor the point and
14
     I don't want to spend a whole bunch of time on it.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, can you do
17
    that Rob.
18
19
                     MR. CROSS: Yeah, absolutely, Mr.
20
    Chair.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody
23
    else with a question.
24
25
                     (No comments)
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks for a
28
     good report Rob. That's another report that we'll
29
     probably want to include with our annual report so the
30
    Board has a pretty good idea of what harvest and trends
31
     are here in our region. So thanks for putting that
32
     together, very good. I think that concludes all of the
33
     Forest Service agency reports.
34
35
                     I don't know if we have more to hear
36
     from Tom Kron of Office of Subsistence Management. I
37
     know we heard a number of things from him earlier.
38
39
                     MR. KRON: Yeah, Mr. Chair, I'm here
40
     and I will condense my report given the press of the
41
     agenda.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
44
     Tom. Go ahead.
45
46
                     MR. KRON: Mr. Chair. Members of the
47
    Council.
48
49
                     On behalf of OSM, I wanted to thank all
50
```

0495 Council members for your exceptional work on behalf of your communities and the user groups during these trying times. We value your expertise and the contribution of your knowledge and experience to the 5 regulatory process. 6 7 It has been very difficult for all of 8 us dealing with the Covid-19 environment. 9 10 Just for your information we're holding 11 all 10 -- we've held all 10 winter 2022 Regional 12 Advisory Council meetings via teleconference due to 13 Covid. 14 15 There have been a number of Staffing 16 changes here at OSM. I'll go through those very 17 quickly for you. 18 19 Scott Ayers was hired as the OSM 20 Fisheries Division Supervisor to replace Greg Risdahl. 21 22 Also Jarred Stone and Cory Graham have 23 both been promoted within the Fisheries Division. 24 They've also hired two additional Fisheries Biologists, 25 Kevin Foley and Justin Koller, as was mentioned, have 26 started with OSM. 27 28 Also we've hired several 29 anthropologists in December and January. Liz Williams 30 and Jason Roberts were hired. 31 32 We've also hired several Subsistence 33 Council Coordinators, Nissa Bate Pilcher, Leigh Honig, 34 and Brooke McDavid were hired. 35 36 We've also had a number of people leave 37 to take retirement or to take another job. 38 39 Karen Deatherage, Catherine Avery and 40 Ricky Cabugao. 41 42 USDA and DOI conducted listening 43 sessions on Federal Subsistence policy on 10th, 20th, 44 21st and 28th. The Secretaries requested input from Tribes, Tribal consortia, and Alaska Native 45 46 Organizations and Corporations. 47 48 We've been telling you, asking you to

get the RealID licenses or make sure you're prepared

49

when this is implemented statewide. The date for this because of various delays and requests — the date for this is May 3rd, 2023, so within the next year. If you haven't already gotten a RealID, the new driver's license would be something to plan to get because essentially everybody that's traveling to meetings will have to have this.

Also, you know, we've been updating you on the lawsuit from the State of Alaska, and I'll just give you another real quick update.

On August 10th, 2020 the State of Alaska filed a lawsuit against the Federal Subsistence Board after it adopted several emergency special actions. One of these related to a request from Kake for four bull moose and 10 male Sitka black-tailed deer related to the difficulty to get food due to Covid. As part of the lawsuit the State asked the court to issue two preliminary injunctions. Again, one of them was for Unit 13 closure caribou, but the other one related to Kake specifically.

On December 3rd, 2021, the U.S. District Court denied the State's request for a declaratory and permanent injunctive relief finding in favor of the Federal Subsistence Board on both matters.

The other thing, just real briefly, the Federal Subsistence Program is sponsoring an art contest for students in kindergarten through Grade 12. And we've sent this out to schools across the state but the deadline for submissions is April 1st, 2022, so coming up here in a little over a month. Again, this has gone out and wanted to let you know that it's in progress.

And that's all I've got, thank you, Mr.

Chair.

Cathy.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Tom. Any questions for Tom from the Office of Subsistence Management or comments.

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.

47 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,

```
0497
 1
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 2
     Tom, I was wondering if you could speak towards the
     probability of all 10 Regional Advisory Councils being
 4
     able to meet in person this -- in the fall meeting.
 5
 6
                     MR. KRON: Mr. Chair. Cathy.
 7
     think we all would like to do that. We are hopeful
     that we will be able to do in-person fall meetings this
 8
 9
     fall and, again, there's been discussion about having
10
     an all 10 Council meeting again, but, again, given the
11
     Covid situation, as you know things have gotten very
12
     difficult, very complicated and, again, at this point
13
     we're just hoping we can have in-person meetings and I
14
     think hopefully we can have discussions then about
15
     having an all 10 Council meeting discussion. So I
16
     don't think it's likely that it could happen this fall
17
     but hopefully a year from now but again we'll have to
18
     wait and see. We all -- we all have been watching the
19
     Covid situation carefully and we'll have to see how
20
     everything plays out.
21
22
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
23
24
                     (Teleconference interference -
25
     participants not muted)
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
28
     Anybody else with a question or comment.
29
30
                     (Teleconference interference -
31
     participants not muted)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
34
     Tom.
35
36
                     REPORTER: So somebody's not muted.
37
     Maybe Jim Slater, you could mute your phone.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Check your phones
40
     again for muting.
41
42
                     REPORTER:
                                Thank you, Don.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So we can have
45
     a....
46
47
                     MR. SLATER: Hello, yes?
48
49
                     REPORTER: Mute your line, Jim.
50
```

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: On last action item that would usually close out the meetings and that's selecting future meeting dates and places. That'll be the last item. DeAnna, do you want to lead us through that.

MS. PERRY: Sure, if I could ask
Laurent to put up the fall meeting calendar real quick,
and for Council members it's behind Tab No. 14 in your
book. You'll see that we have currently selected the
dates of October 25th through the 27th and we are
moving forward with selecting locations just in case we
are able to meet in person in the fall and then I also
would suggest us select a location for winter 2023 just
in case we can't have the all Council meetings, just as
fall backs. We are all moving ahead as if we were
going to be meeting in person.

So for those new members, I just want to explain that because of the Staff and the resources that are needed for these meetings we can't schedule any more than two meetings per week. A lot of our Regional Councils do share Staff. So you'll see on the calendar that there are two -- there are many weeks with two Councils already meeting and, again, we are October 25th through 27th. And, Laurent, yeah, if you could just scroll down to that -- you're perfect -- there are a few other weeks that we could move if folks did want to move the Southeast meeting. The week ahead there's only one RAC meeting that week, or if you wanted to go really early in the schedule you can see the end of September is also available.

So you can either confirm October 25th through 27th and choose a location in one big motion, or if you'd like to change those dates you can do that as well.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, DeAnna. Okay, Council members what do you think, we already have a time slot, I don't know if that's good with everybody or if people want to propose to change it they can, but we do need to hear some suggestions on where to hold the meeting. So just go ahead and unmute yourselves and throw out suggestions if you've got them.

```
0499
 1
                     MR. WAGNER: This is Louie. I'm good
 2
    with the dates, it's after moose hunting.
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, that's
 5
     important, we don't want to conflict with moose
 6
     hunting, that's always a consideration.
 7
 8
                     MR. WAGNER: Thank you.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody
11
     out there who doesn't like that date of October 25th.
12
13
                     (No comments)
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I think we
     can keep the date, DeAnna.
16
17
18
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Cathy.
21
22
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
23
     don't have a conflict with the dates. I'm also
24
     wondering, if we're going to be doing the non-rural
25
     determinations, the cycle, that would be a proposal
26
     regulatory meeting and in anticipation of Ketchikan
27
    potentially putting in a petition, that might be an
28
     opportunity to be able to meet and build the record and
29
     work in that community. So Ketchikan might be an
30
     option to meet for that timeframe.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's a good
33
     suggestion, Cathy. Any other ideas from the Council.
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Remind me, again,
     DeAnna, these don't require votes, right, we just -- if
38
39
     we can all come to a consensus agreement that's what we
40
     do.
41
42
                     MS. PERRY: No, Mr. Chair, we do need a
43
     vote. It can be a quick voice vote but we do need a
44
     motion, a second and a vote.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
47
48
                     MR. KITKA: Mr. Chair, this is Harvey.
49
```

```
0500
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. KITKA: I think Ketchikan sounds
 4
    good.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I like Ketchikan.
 7
    Anybody else.
 8
9
                     (No comments)
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do you want to
12
    make a motion.
13
14
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.
17
18
                     MS. NEEDHAM: I move to hold the
19
     Southeast Regional Advisory Council meeting October
20
     25th through the 27th, 2022 in Ketchikan.
21
22
                     MS. PHILLIPS: I second, this is Patty.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Patty.
25
    Okay. All in favor of holding our fall meeting,
26
    October 25th through the 27th in Ketchikan say aye.
27
28
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, is there
31
    anybody opposed to.....
32
33
                     MR. KITKA: Aye.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Harvey,
36
     an aye. Anybody opposed say nay.
37
38
                     (No opposing votes)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I think we
41
    have agreement on that DeAnna.
42
43
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair, October
44
     25th through the 27th in Ketchikan.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good.
47
48
                     MS. PERRY: And so now I'll ask Laurent
49
     to pull up the winter Council meeting calendar and I
50
```

```
0501
    did send this out to folks, it's an updated calendar
    than what was provided in your meeting book because we
    are the last Council to meet. So all the other
    Councils have chosen their meeting dates.
 5
 6
                     (Pause)
 7
 8
                     MS. PERRY: And I have that Laurent, if
 9
    you don't have it handy I'll grab the screen real
10
    quick.
11
12
                     (Pause)
13
14
                     MS. PERRY: Okay, I think everybody can
15
     see my screen, this is the winter 2023 meeting and this
    is reflecting all the Councils that have chosen dates
16
17
     so far. So you'll see there are a few weeks in there
18
    that do not already have two Councils meeting. The
19
    week of February 27th, the week of March 6th, the week
20
    of March 20th and the week of March 27th. We did
21
    extend, or I should say delay the window because we
22
    were finding that the time in between our fall meetings
23
    and our winter meetings was quite compressed so
24
    hopefully this is a little bit more breathing room for
25
     folks.
26
27
                     So, again, Mr. Chair, we're looking for
28
     a motion for some suggested dates and location for our
29
    winter 2023 meeting.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Hopefully
32
     everybody can see this either on the email or on the
33
     screen, do we have some suggestions.
34
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: It looks like
39
    March and....
40
41
                     MR. WAGNER: Louie, Mr. Chair.
42
     February 27th.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So is that Louie.
45
46
                     MR. WAGNER: Yeah. February 27th, Mr.
47
    Chair.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that week is
```

```
0502
     available. Any other comments or suggestions from
     Council.
 2
 3
 4
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.
 5
     Typically this Council likes to do Tuesday, Wednesday
 6
     and Thursday so that they can travel on Monday and
 7
     Friday, we don't have to do that but that would
     require, you know, you all to travel over the weekend,
 9
     so I just wanted to bring that up. I know new members
10
     probably aren't aware of that but I know we usually try
11
     to do the Tuesday through Thursday but we're not stuck
12
     with that. If you guys want to travel on the weekends
13
     that's fine.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Actually that
16
     should February 28th would be the start of the meeting.
17
18
                     MS. PERRY: Yeah.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any other
21
     suggestions, anybody got a problem with that or does
22
     that work for most folks.
23
24
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chair, Mike.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is that Mike.
27
28
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Yep.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Mike.
31
32
                     MR. DOUVILLE: I was just asking does
33
     it require a motion?
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, it will
36
     require a motion, I was just wondering if we could have
37
     a place picked out as well and we could do it all in
38
     one motion. So it sounds like maybe everybody's good
39
     with February 28th, how about a location, any
40
     suggestions there. End of February. It could probably
41
     be a place where it's easy to travel to, sometimes
42
     travel can be a problem in February.
43
44
                     (Pause)
45
46
                     MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, Louie.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Louie.
49
```

0503 1 MR. WAGNER: Sitka or Juneau would be 2 probably the easiest place for most of us to get to. Thank you. 4 5 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: And drive. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I agree, 8 Sitka and Juneau are probably high on the list. 9 Anybody got a preference there. 10 11 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal. 12 I think Juneau would be a good idea because if you 13 think about it, we would have submitted our co-14 management letter to a bunch -- you know several 15 different agencies including the Forest Service and 16 Fish and Game and such and if we were to meet in Juneau 17 perhaps they would be able to -- some of those agencies 18 would be able to send representatives for our meeting 19 and maybe talk about how they're going to respond to us 20 and do what we would like them to do. 21 22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I think 23 that's a good idea Cal. I think I would agree with 24 that. Unless anybody has some strong opinions about 25 Sitka, maybe we're ready to put that into a motion. 26 27 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy. 28 29 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy. 30 31 MS. NEEDHAM: I don't want to disclude 32 Sitka, but I always know about Member Harvey feels 33 about that and so picking those dates we wouldn't 34 necessarily miss herring eggs anyway so I'm going to go 35 ahead and throw out the motion that I move we hold the 36 winter 2023 meeting from February 28th through March 37 2nd in Ketchikan -- or, sorry, in Juneau, Alaska. 38 39 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 40 41 MR. CASIPIT: This is Cal, I second. 42 43 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We got a 44 motion and a second. So all in favor of February 28th 45 through March 2nd in Juneau for our winter meeting say 46 aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

47 48

0504 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody 2 opposed, say nay. 3 4 (No opposing votes) 5 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 7 good choices. And, DeAnna, I guess, you know, if there's some reason something comes up I guess there's 8 9 still possibility to change the winter meeting at our 10 fall meeting, correct. 11 12 MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. 15 16 MS. PERRY: And if we do end up having 17 an all Council meeting it would probably be in 18 Anchorage and, again, as Tom said it would probably be 19 winter of 2023. 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, good, we'll 22 keep that in mind. Okay, real good Council members. 23 24 MR. KRON: Mr. Chair. 25 26 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is that Tom. 27 28 MR. KRON: Yeah, yeah, I just wanted to 29 follow up. The last time we did the All Council 30 meeting, which a number of you attended, it was a full 31 year in preparation and planning for that meeting and I 32 think, you know, given that we don't even know if we're 33 going to be able to do face to face meetings this fall 34 yet, I think it's likely that we will not be able to do 35 an All Council meeting this coming winter, you know, I 36 said that optimistically but I think the reality is 37 that it would just take -- it would take more time to 38 plan and prepare for that. So likely it'll be a year 39 out from there but just wanted to let you know, thanks. 40 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. 41 42 So I do want to check back, I don't know if we've left 43 any issues hanging here or I don't know if there's any 44 information that we asked for maybe that we have to tell the Council before we close out, before we 45 46 adjourn. Can anybody think of anything or is anybody 47 -- or is any new information up to the Council's

attention. I don't know if we ever got an answer for

Harvey on his extraterritorial jurisdiction, if anybody

48

49

0505 was able to track down any new information there. 2 3 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna, 6 you got anything -- left anything hanging here. 7 8 MS. PERRY: No. And I just wanted to 9 mention we are still working on that. The Regional 10 Forester's been tied up in a meeting all week so it 11 might be next week before we actually can get a status 12 on that ETJ. But I have an enormous email I'm 13 compiling right now with lots of follow up items based 14 on what the Council's talked about during this meeting 15 so expect that email from me probably next week. It'll have some links and some attachments, so we'll be 16 17 following up on a lot of what the Council had talked 18 about. And I've looked through our action items, Mr. 19 Chair, and all of our other items and if you wanted to 20 do a round-robin, otherwise your closing comments and a 21 round-robin, all your action items are done. 22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 23 24 DeAnna. So this is the opportunity for the Council 25 members if you would like to give any closing comments 26 go ahead and unmute your phones. 27 28 MR. KITKA: Mr. Chair, Harvey. 29 30 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey. 31 32 MR. KITKA: Yeah, I just wanted to let 33 you know that I'm not too sure what is happening here 34 in Sitka on the herring but we had a tug boat run up on 35 the beach and spilling oil and diesel fuel and some of 36 the diesel went towards south and now it's heading 37 towards the subsistence area and the commercial fishing 38 zone in Sitka Sound. Everything's in question here on what's going to happen. Nobody knows, all they can do 39 is watch, but they're trying to contain it. So 40 41 hopefully it'll get better before it gets worse. 42 43 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yeah, we 44 heard that Harvey, very disturbing news. I know 45 everybody's going to be watching that pretty closely so 46 thanks for the update.

Anybody else, closing comments.

49 50

0506 1 (No comments) 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: There is one thing 4 I want to relay to the Council. I will be attending 5 the Subsistence Board meeting virtually. I can't remember the exact dates, April 15th, thereabouts, it's 6 7 going to be another virtual meeting but I will be participating over the phone and try and do the best I 8 9 can to try and relate what we did last fall to the 10 Board and try and convince them that our actions were 11 valid. I know it's going to be a tough sell on the 12 proposals, we had a lot of opposition, but I'll do my 13 best to defend our position. 14 15 I also did want to mention that 16 somebody brought up, I think it was Mike Douville, you 17 know, that, you know we really enjoyed the 18 participation of the students in past years and I did 19 hear from Heather Bauscher, the teacher, and she does 20 -- she is teaching another class that teaches the kids 21 about our Federal regulatory system and Heather told me 22 that she is going to have some students also 23 participating virtually over the phone and I told her 24 that I'd be able to get together with her before the 25 meeting and have a little session with the students and 26 talk about things a little bit. So I was real glad to 27 hear that and I told her that we really hope that we'll 28 be able to see some of her students at our meetings 29 here in the future. She still has the classes going 30 and hopefully we will see them again. 31 32 So anybody else with a closing comment. 33 34 MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, this is Patty 35 Phillips. 36 37 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty. 38 39 MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah, I'd like to thank 40 DeAnna Perry for, you know, a well ordered meeting, as 41 our dedicated Staff, and thank you, Mr. Chair, for your 42 Chairmanship and for keeping us on track and getting us 43 through this meeting. And to the Office of Subsistence 44 Management for their work and all the information they 45 provided. And to the RAC members, I would like to say 46 that I'm honored and privileged to be serving with you

Thank you, very much.

47

48 49

50

on this Board again.

```
0507
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Patty.
 2
 3
                     Anybody else.
 4
 5
                     (No comments)
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay, I'd
 8
     also like to.....
 9
10
                     MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, Louie.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
13
    Louie.
14
15
                     MR. WAGNER: I'd like to thank all of
16
     you folks and Patty well said it so I'll see you next
17
     time. Thank you.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, look forward
20
     to meeting you in person next time, Louie. I know we've
21
     met in the past at other meetings but look forward to
22
    getting together with you and all the other new Council
23
    members that have been on the Council since we started
24
    these virtual meetings. Really looking forward to
25
     getting together with everybody and talking more about,
26
    you know, our common experiences and, yeah, there are a
27
    number of you I haven't met yet but I do want to say
28
    that I think we have an excellent Council now. I'm
29
    really pleased with all the knowledge and expertise
30
    that we have on this Council. I think we're going to
31
    be able to do some real good work here.
32
33
                     So anybody else.
34
35
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, just -- just for
36
     the good of the order, this is Bob, and I think we
37
     soldiered through again. These teleconference meetings
     aren't the easiest thing but I really appreciate
38
39
     everybody's work and attention over three days and I
40
     really, really look forward to seeing people in person
     some day. And kudos to our Staff and excellent
41
42
    reports. And also keeping us on schedule and getting
43
     through the agenda, which, of course, filled up our
44
     three days and then some. Thanks, again.
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right.
46
                                                  Thank you,
47
     Bob. Anybody else.
48
49
                     (No comments)
```

```
0508
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 1
     all. I think I can adjourn the meeting and say good
    harvesting to all of you in the coming season. So
     we'll see you all in the fall so signing out.
 5
 6
                     (Off record)
 7
8
                       (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0509 1	CERTIFICATE
2 3 4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA))ss.
5	STATE OF ALASKA)
7 8 9 10	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
11 12 13 14 15	THAT the foregoing pages numbered through contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the SOUTHEAST FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME III taken electronically on the 24th day of March;
17 18 19 20 21 22	THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and ability;
23 24 25	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action.
26 27 28 29	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 7th day of May 2022.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	Salena A. Hile Notary Public, State of Alaska My Commission Expires: 09/16/22